

JDEP 384H: Numerical Methods in Business

Instructor: Thomas Shores
Department of Mathematics

Lecture 26, April 19, 2007
110 Kaufmann Center

Outline

- 1 NT: Decision Analysis and Game Theory
 - An Intelligent Opponent: Game Theory
 - An Indifferent Opponent: Nature
 - Decision Making Without Experimentation
 - Decision Making with Experimentation

Schedule for Dead Week

Our Schedule:

- Tuesday, April 24: Finish course with examples from game theory and decision analysis.
- Wednesday, April 25: Official due date for Assignment 5, though I will accept homework on Thursday, April 26, without penalty.
- Thursday, April 27: Discuss the final exam and do in-class course evaluations. In addition, you should do on-line evaluations, about which you should have been notified by email.
- Tuesday, May 1: Final Exam in 110 Kaufmann Center.

Schedule for Dead Week

Our Schedule:

- Tuesday, April 24: Finish course with examples from game theory and decision analysis.
- Wednesday, April 25: Official due date for Assignment 5, though I will accept homework on Thursday, April 26, without penalty.
- Thursday, April 27: Discuss the final exam and do in-class course evaluations. In addition, you should do on-line evaluations, about which you should have been notified by email.
- Tuesday, May 1: Final Exam in 110 Kaufmann Center.

Schedule for Dead Week

Our Schedule:

- Tuesday, April 24: Finish course with examples from game theory and decision analysis.
- Wednesday, April 25: Official due date for Assignment 5, though I will accept homework on Thursday, April 26, without penalty.
- Thursday, April 27: Discuss the final exam and do in-class course evaluations. In addition, you should do on-line evaluations, about which you should have been notified by email.
- Tuesday, May 1: Final Exam in 110 Kaufmann Center.

Schedule for Dead Week

Our Schedule:

- Tuesday, April 24: Finish course with examples from game theory and decision analysis.
- Wednesday, April 25: Official due date for Assignment 5, though I will accept homework on Thursday, April 26, without penalty.
- Thursday, April 27: Discuss the final exam and do in-class course evaluations. In addition, you should do on-line evaluations, about which you should have been notified by email.
- Tuesday, May 1: Final Exam in 110 Kaufmann Center.

Schedule for Dead Week

Our Schedule:

- Tuesday, April 24: Finish course with examples from game theory and decision analysis.
- Wednesday, April 25: Official due date for Assignment 5, though I will accept homework on Thursday, April 26, without penalty.
- Thursday, April 27: Discuss the final exam and do in-class course evaluations. In addition, you should do on-line evaluations, about which you should have been notified by email.
- Tuesday, May 1: Final Exam in 110 Kaufmann Center.

Outline

- 1 NT: Decision Analysis and Game Theory
 - An Intelligent Opponent: Game Theory
 - An Indifferent Opponent: Nature
 - Decision Making Without Experimentation
 - Decision Making with Experimentation

Outline

- 1 NT: Decision Analysis and Game Theory
 - An Intelligent Opponent: Game Theory
 - An Indifferent Opponent: Nature
 - Decision Making Without Experimentation
 - Decision Making with Experimentation

A Model Problem

The Problem:

A small game company, Sixth Degree, has invested considerable effort in preliminary development on a game concept that they believe holds promise. The showed a preliminary prototype at the annual game developer trade show E3 in March.

- Subsequently they found producers who wants to purchase the IP for \$850,000 (the best offer) and continue development without further involvement with Sixth Degree.
- They were also encouraged by some producers to develop a full working prototype and then sell the IP to the producers with a better purchase price and some handsome royalty arrangements.
- A decision has to be made, i.e., a pure strategy has to be selected, and a mixed strategy won't do as a substitute. What

A Model Problem

The Problem:

A small game company, Sixth Degree, has invested considerable effort in preliminary development on a game concept that they believe holds promise. The showed a preliminary prototype at the annual game developer trade show E3 in March.

- Subsequently they found producers who wants to purchase the IP for \$850,000 (the best offer) and continue development without further involvement with Sixth Degree.
- They were also encouraged by some producers to develop a full working prototype and then sell the IP to the producers with a better purchase price and some handsome royalty arrangements.
- A decision has to be made, i.e., a pure strategy has to be selected, and a mixed strategy won't do as a substitute. What

A Model Problem

The Problem:

A small game company, Sixth Degree, has invested considerable effort in preliminary development on a game concept that they believe holds promise. The showed a preliminary prototype at the annual game developer trade show E3 in March.

- Subsequently they found producers who wants to purchase the IP for \$850,000 (the best offer) and continue development without further involvement with Sixth Degree.
- They were also encouraged by some producers to develop a full working prototype and then sell the IP to the producers with a better purchase price and some handsome royalty arrangements.
- A decision has to be made, i.e., a pure strategy has to be selected, and a mixed strategy won't do as a substitute. What

A Model Problem

The Problem:

A small game company, Sixth Degree, has invested considerable effort in preliminary development on a game concept that they believe holds promise. The showed a preliminary prototype at the annual game developer trade show E3 in March.

- Subsequently they found producers who wants to purchase the IP for \$850,000 (the best offer) and continue development without further involvement with Sixth Degree.
- They were also encouraged by some producers to develop a full working prototype and then sell the IP to the producers with a better purchase price and some handsome royalty arrangements.
- A decision has to be made, i.e., a pure strategy has to be selected, and a mixed strategy won't do as a substitute. What

The Data:

- SD estimates the cost of further development to be about one million dollars.
- If the working prototype were accepted by one of the major producers, SD estimates that total profit from sale of the IP and negotiated royalties to be about seven million dollars.
- SD estimates the probability of this game being accepted at about $1/4$.
- The data in “payoff table” form:

Alternatives	States of Nature	
	Acceptable	Unacceptable
Develop IP	\$7M	−\$1M
Sell IP	\$0.85M	\$0.85M
Prior Probabilities	0.25	0.75

The Data:

- SD estimates the cost of further development to be about one million dollars.
- If the working prototype were accepted by one of the major producers, SD estimates that total profit from sale of the IP and negotiated royalties to be about seven million dollars.
- SD estimates the probability of this game being accepted at about $1/4$.
- The data in “payoff table” form:

Alternatives	States of Nature	
	Acceptable	Unacceptable
Develop IP	\$7M	−\$1M
Sell IP	\$0.85M	\$0.85M
Prior Probabilities	0.25	0.75

The Data:

- SD estimates the cost of further development to be about one million dollars.
- If the working prototype were accepted by one of the major producers, SD estimates that total profit from sale of the IP and negotiated royalties to be about seven million dollars.
- SD estimates the probability of this game being accepted at about $1/4$.
- The data in “payoff table” form:

Alternatives	States of Nature	
	Acceptable	Unacceptable
Develop IP	\$7M	−\$1M
Sell IP	\$0.85M	\$0.85M
Prior Probabilities	0.25	0.75

The Data:

- SD estimates the cost of further development to be about one million dollars.
- If the working prototype were accepted by one of the major producers, SD estimates that total profit from sale of the IP and negotiated royalties to be about seven million dollars.
- SD estimates the probability of this game being accepted at about $1/4$.
- The data in “payoff table” form:

Alternatives	States of Nature	
	Acceptable	Unacceptable
Develop IP	\$7M	−\$1M
Sell IP	\$0.85M	\$0.85M
Prior Probabilities	0.25	0.75

The Data:

- SD estimates the cost of further development to be about one million dollars.
- If the working prototype were accepted by one of the major producers, SD estimates that total profit from sale of the IP and negotiated royalties to be about seven million dollars.
- SD estimates the probability of this game being accepted at about $1/4$.
- The data in “payoff table” form:

Alternatives	States of Nature	
	Acceptable	Unacceptable
Develop IP	\$7M	−\$1M
Sell IP	\$0.85M	\$0.85M
Prior Probabilities	0.25	0.75

The Data:

- SD estimates the cost of further development to be about one million dollars.
- If the working prototype were accepted by one of the major producers, SD estimates that total profit from sale of the IP and negotiated royalties to be about seven million dollars.
- SD estimates the probability of this game being accepted at about $1/4$.
- The data in “payoff table” form:

Alternatives	States of Nature	
	Acceptable	Unacceptable
Develop IP	\$7M	−\$1M
Sell IP	\$0.85M	\$0.85M
Prior Probabilities	0.25	0.75

Outline

- 1 NT: Decision Analysis and Game Theory
 - An Intelligent Opponent: Game Theory
 - An Indifferent Opponent: Nature
 - **Decision Making Without Experimentation**
 - Decision Making with Experimentation

Solution:

The idea is to look at the worst outcomes for each alternative, then choose the most favorable of worst payoffs. Since nature is not really a player, this only pertains to the company SD.

- Let's work this example out at the board.
- Problem with this strategy: It makes sense when one is competing against a rational and malevolent opponent. Nature isn't.
- Another problem: It ignores additional information (the probabilities), so is a very conservative choice.

Solution:

The idea is to look at the worst outcomes for each alternative, then choose the most favorable of worst payoffs. Since nature is not really a player, this only pertains to the company SD.

- Let's work this example out at the board.
- Problem with this strategy: It makes sense when one is competing against a rational and malevolent opponent. Nature isn't.
- Another problem: It ignores additional information (the probabilities), so is a very conservative choice.

Solution:

The idea is to look at the worst outcomes for each alternative, then choose the most favorable of worst payoffs. Since nature is not really a player, this only pertains to the company SD.

- Let's work this example out at the board.
- Problem with this strategy: It makes sense when one is competing against a rational and malevolent opponent. Nature isn't.
- Another problem: It ignores additional information (the probabilities), so is a very conservative choice.

Solution:

The idea is to look at the worst outcomes for each alternative, then choose the most favorable of worst payoffs. Since nature is not really a player, this only pertains to the company SD.

- Let's work this example out at the board.
- Problem with this strategy: It makes sense when one is competing against a rational and malevolent opponent. Nature isn't.
- Another problem: It ignores additional information (the probabilities), so is a very conservative choice.

Maximum Likelihood Strategy

Solution:

Identify the most likely state of nature. From this state, find the decision alternative with the maximum payoff.

- Let's work this example out at the board.
- Problem with this strategy: Although still accounting for all the data, it gives excessive weight to one piece of the data – the most likely state. What if there are states that are close in likelihood?
- So again it is a conservative choice whose value might diminish considerably if the prior probabilities are very far off.

Maximum Likelihood Strategy

Solution:

Identify the most likely state of nature. From this state, find the decision alternative with the maximum payoff.

- Let's work this example out at the board.
- Problem with this strategy: Although still accounting for all the data, it gives excessive weight to one piece of the data – the most likely state. What if there are states that are close in likelihood?
- So again it is a conservative choice whose value might diminish considerably if the prior probabilities are very far off.

Maximum Likelihood Strategy

Solution:

Identify the most likely state of nature. From this state, find the decision alternative with the maximum payoff.

- Let's work this example out at the board.
- Problem with this strategy: Although still accounting for all the data, it gives excessive weight to one piece of the data – the most likely state. What if there are states that are close in likelihood?
- So again it is a conservative choice whose value might diminish considerably if the prior probabilities are very far off.

Maximum Likelihood Strategy

Solution:

Identify the most likely state of nature. From this state, find the decision alternative with the maximum payoff.

- Let's work this example out at the board.
- Problem with this strategy: Although still accounting for all the data, it gives excessive weight to one piece of the data – the most likely state. What if there are states that are close in likelihood?
- So again it is a conservative choice whose value might diminish considerably if the prior probabilities are very far off.

Solution:

Calculate the expected value of the payoff for each alternative using the best available estimates of the probabilities of the states of nature.

- Let's work this example out at the board.
- Advantage: This strategy accounts for all the data and gives some weight to states that are not the most likely.
- Advantage: This strategy is amenable to a sensitivity analysis in terms of the prior probabilities. Let's make a sensitivity graph of the decision regions based on the prior probability p of acceptable state. Plot expectation with each decision against p , $0 \leq p \leq 1$. We should make a payoff matrix, priors vector, and calculate the expected payoffs

Solution:

Calculate the expected value of the payoff for each alternative using the best available estimates of the probabilities of the states of nature.

- Let's work this example out at the board.
- Advantage: This strategy accounts for all the data and gives some weight to states that are not the most likely.
- Advantage: This strategy is amenable to a sensitivity analysis in terms of the prior probabilities. Let's make a sensitivity graph of the decision regions based on the prior probability p of acceptable state. Plot expectation with each decision against p , $0 \leq p \leq 1$. We should make a payoff matrix, priors vector, and calculate the expected payoffs

Solution:

Calculate the expected value of the payoff for each alternative using the best available estimates of the probabilities of the states of nature.

- Let's work this example out at the board.
- Advantage: This strategy accounts for all the data and gives some weight to states that are not the most likely.
- Advantage: This strategy is amenable to a sensitivity analysis in terms of the prior probabilities. Let's make a sensitivity graph of the decision regions based on the prior probability p of acceptable state. Plot expectation with each decision against p , $0 \leq p \leq 1$. We should make a payoff matrix, priors vector, and calculate the expected payoffs

Solution:

Calculate the expected value of the payoff for each alternative using the best available estimates of the probabilities of the states of nature.

- Let's work this example out at the board.
- Advantage: This strategy accounts for all the data and gives some weight to states that are not the most likely.
- Advantage: This strategy is amenable to a sensitivity analysis in terms of the prior probabilities. Let's make a sensitivity graph of the decision regions based on the prior probability p of acceptable state. Plot expectation with each decision against p , $0 \leq p \leq 1$. We should make a payoff matrix, priors vector, and calculate the expected payoffs

Outline

- 1 NT: Decision Analysis and Game Theory
 - An Intelligent Opponent: Game Theory
 - An Indifferent Opponent: Nature
 - Decision Making Without Experimentation
 - Decision Making with Experimentation

An Experiment

The Experiment:

SD also made contact with a consulting firm, Game Development Consultants, that specializes in game business issues and has many high level contacts in the business.

- They could be hired to conduct a feasibility study of SD's plans and estimate the probability of success, i.e., acceptable state in the case of development.
- Their success rates are no secret. In fact, GDC uses them to advertise their services. In situation such as SD finds itself, they made an favorable recommendation in 60% of the cases where product was developed and successful, and an unfavorable recommendation 80% of the cases where the not developed.
- The fee for this study is \$30,000. What should SD do?

An Experiment

The Experiment:

SD also made contact with a consulting firm, Game Development Consultants, that specializes in game business issues and has many high level contacts in the business.

- They could be hired to conduct a feasibility study of SD's plans and estimate the probability of success, i.e., acceptable state in the case of development.
- Their success rates are no secret. In fact, GDC uses them to advertise their services. In situation such as SD finds itself, they made an favorable recommendation in 60% of the cases where product was developed and successful, and an unfavorable recommendation 80% of the cases where the not developed.
- The fee for this study is \$30,000. What should SD do?

An Experiment

The Experiment:

SD also made contact with a consulting firm, Game Development Consultants, that specializes in game business issues and has many high level contacts in the business.

- They could be hired to conduct a feasibility study of SD's plans and estimate the probability of success, i.e., acceptable state in the case of development.
- Their success rates are no secret. In fact, GDC uses them to advertise their services. In situation such as SD finds itself, they made an favorable recommendation in 60% of the cases where product was developed and successful, and an unfavorable recommendation 80% of the cases where the not developed.
- The fee for this study is \$30,000. What should SD do?

An Experiment

The Experiment:

SD also made contact with a consulting firm, Game Development Consultants, that specializes in game business issues and has many high level contacts in the business.

- They could be hired to conduct a feasibility study of SD's plans and estimate the probability of success, i.e., acceptable state in the case of development.
- Their success rates are no secret. In fact, GDC uses them to advertise their services. In situation such as SD finds itself, they made an favorable recommendation in 60% of the cases where product was developed and successful, and an unfavorable recommendation 80% of the cases where the not developed.
- The fee for this study is \$30,000. What should SD do?

Probability Background

The relevant tabular data:

- | Consultant
Recommendations | States of Nature | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| | Acceptable | Unacceptable |
| Develop | 0.6 | |
| Sell | | 0.8 |

- This table is equivalent to a table of conditional probabilities as follows. Call the matrix below C for conditional probability:

- | Consultant
Recommendations | States of Nature | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| | Acceptable | Unacceptable |
| Develop | $P(D A)$ | $P(D U)$ |
| Sell | $P(S A)$ | $P(S U)$ |

- We're interested in posterior probabilities $P(A|D)$, etc. These probabilities are backwards, aren't they? What to do?
- In fact, should we experiment at all? What would be the expected value of perfect information (EVPI) = expected payoff with perfect information - expected payoff without experimentation?

Probability Background

The relevant tabular data:

Consultant Recommendations	States of Nature	
	Acceptable	Unacceptable
Develop	0.6	
Sell		0.8

- This table is equivalent to a table of conditional probabilities as follows. Call the matrix below C for conditional probability:

Consultant Recommendations	States of Nature	
	Acceptable	Unacceptable
Develop	$P(D A)$	$P(D U)$
Sell	$P(S A)$	$P(S U)$

- We're interested in posterior probabilities $P(A|D)$, etc. These probabilities are backwards, aren't they? What to do?
- In fact, should we experiment at all? What would be the expected value of perfect information (EVPI) = expected payoff with perfect information - expected payoff without experimentation?

Probability Background

The relevant tabular data:

Consultant Recommendations	States of Nature	
	Acceptable	Unacceptable
Develop	0.6	
Sell		0.8

- This table is equivalent to a table of conditional probabilities as follows. Call the matrix below C for conditional probability:

Consultant Recommendations	States of Nature	
	Acceptable	Unacceptable
Develop	$P(D A)$	$P(D U)$
Sell	$P(S A)$	$P(S U)$

- We're interested in posterior probabilities $P(A|D)$, etc. These probabilities are backwards, aren't they? What to do?
- In fact, should we experiment at all? What would be the expected value of perfect information (EVPI) = expected payoff with perfect information - expected payoff without experimentation?

Probability Background

The relevant tabular data:

- | Consultant
Recommendations | States of Nature | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| | Acceptable | Unacceptable |
| Develop | 0.6 | |
| Sell | | 0.8 |

- This table is equivalent to a table of conditional probabilities as follows. Call the matrix below C for conditional probability:

- | Consultant
Recommendations | States of Nature | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| | Acceptable | Unacceptable |
| Develop | $P(D A)$ | $P(D U)$ |
| Sell | $P(S A)$ | $P(S U)$ |

- We're interested in posterior probabilities $P(A|D)$, etc. These probabilities are backwards, aren't they? What to do?
- In fact, should we experiment at all? What would be the expected value of perfect information (EVPI) = expected payoff with perfect information - expected payoff without experimentation?

Probability Background

The relevant tabular data:

Consultant Recommendations	States of Nature	
	Acceptable	Unacceptable
Develop	0.6	
Sell		0.8

- This table is equivalent to a table of conditional probabilities as follows. Call the matrix below C for conditional probability:

Consultant Recommendations	States of Nature	
	Acceptable	Unacceptable
Develop	$P(D A)$	$P(D U)$
Sell	$P(S A)$	$P(S U)$

- We're interested in posterior probabilities $P(A|D)$, etc. These probabilities are backwards, aren't they? What to do?
- In fact, should we experiment at all? What would be the expected value of perfect information (EVPI) = expected payoff with perfect information - expected payoff without experimentation?

Probability Background

The relevant tabular data:

- | Consultant
Recommendations | States of Nature | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| | Acceptable | Unacceptable |
| Develop | 0.6 | |
| Sell | | 0.8 |

- This table is equivalent to a table of conditional probabilities as follows. Call the matrix below C for conditional probability:

- | Consultant
Recommendations | States of Nature | |
|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| | Acceptable | Unacceptable |
| Develop | $P(D A)$ | $P(D U)$ |
| Sell | $P(S A)$ | $P(S U)$ |

- We're interested in posterior probabilities $P(A|D)$, etc. These probabilities are backwards, aren't they? What to do?
- In fact, should we experiment at all? What would be the expected value of perfect information (EVPI) = expected payoff with perfect information - expected payoff without experimentation?

Facts that we will need in this decision analysis:

- **Law of Total Probability:** Given disjoint and exhaustive events E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n , and another event F ,

$$P(F) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(F | E_i) P(E_i)$$

- **Bayes' Theorem (Short Form):**

$$P(E | F) \equiv \frac{P(F | E) P(E)}{P(F)}.$$

- **Bayes' Theorem (Long Form):** With same notation and hypotheses as Law of Total Probability:

$$P(E_k | F) \equiv \frac{P(F | E_k) P(E_k)}{\sum_{i=1}^n P(F | E_i) P(E_i)}.$$

Facts that we will need in this decision analysis:

- **Law of Total Probability:** Given disjoint and exhaustive events E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n , and another event F ,

$$P(F) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(F | E_i) P(E_i)$$

- **Bayes' Theorem (Short Form):**

$$P(E | F) \equiv \frac{P(F | E) P(E)}{P(F)}.$$

- **Bayes' Theorem (Long Form):** With same notation and hypotheses as Law of Total Probability:

$$P(E_k | F) \equiv \frac{P(F | E_k) P(E_k)}{\sum_{i=1}^n P(F | E_i) P(E_i)}.$$

Facts that we will need in this decision analysis:

- **Law of Total Probability:** Given disjoint and exhaustive events E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n , and another event F ,

$$P(F) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(F | E_i) P(E_i)$$

- **Bayes' Theorem (Short Form):**

$$P(E | F) \equiv \frac{P(F | E) P(E)}{P(F)}.$$

- **Bayes' Theorem (Long Form):** With same notation and hypotheses as Law of Total Probability:

$$P(E_k | F) \equiv \frac{P(F | E_k) P(E_k)}{\sum_{i=1}^n P(F | E_i) P(E_i)}.$$

Facts that we will need in this decision analysis:

- **Law of Total Probability:** Given disjoint and exhaustive events E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n , and another event F ,

$$P(F) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(F | E_i) P(E_i)$$

- **Bayes' Theorem (Short Form):**

$$P(E | F) \equiv \frac{P(F | E) P(E)}{P(F)}.$$

- **Bayes' Theorem (Long Form):** With same notation and hypotheses as Law of Total Probability:

$$P(E_k | F) \equiv \frac{P(F | E_k) P(E_k)}{\sum_{i=1}^n P(F | E_i) P(E_i)}.$$

The table (or matrix Q for posterior probabilities) that we want:

- | State of Nature | Consultant Recommends | |
|-----------------|-----------------------|----------|
| | Develop | Sell |
| Acceptable | $P(A D)$ | $P(A S)$ |
| Unacceptable | $P(U D)$ | $P(U S)$ |

- In matrix form Q can be calculated from Bayes' theorem as

$$\begin{bmatrix} P(A|D) & P(A|S) \\ P(U|D) & P(U|S) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{P(D|A)P(A)}{P(D)} & \frac{P(S|A)P(A)}{P(S)} \\ \frac{P(D|U)P(U)}{P(D)} & \frac{P(S|U)P(U)}{P(S)} \end{bmatrix}$$

- $$= \begin{bmatrix} P(A) & 0 \\ 0 & P(U) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P(D|A) & P(D|U) \\ P(S|A) & P(S|U) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{P(D)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{P(S)} \end{bmatrix}$$

- and by the law of total probability

$$\begin{bmatrix} P(D) \\ P(S) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P(D|A) & P(D|U) \\ P(S|A) & P(S|U) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P(A) \\ P(U) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The table (or matrix Q for posterior probabilities) that we want:

State of Nature	Consultant Recommends	
	Develop	Sell
Acceptable	$P(A D)$	$P(A S)$
Unacceptable	$P(U D)$	$P(U S)$

- In matrix form Q can be calculated from Bayes' theorem as

$$\begin{bmatrix} P(A|D) & P(A|S) \\ P(U|D) & P(U|S) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{P(D|A)P(A)}{P(D)} & \frac{P(S|A)P(A)}{P(S)} \\ \frac{P(D|U)P(U)}{P(D)} & \frac{P(S|U)P(U)}{P(S)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} P(A) & 0 \\ 0 & P(U) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P(D|A) & P(D|U) \\ P(S|A) & P(S|U) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{P(D)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{P(S)} \end{bmatrix}$$

- and by the law of total probability

$$\begin{bmatrix} P(D) \\ P(S) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P(D|A) & P(D|U) \\ P(S|A) & P(S|U) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P(A) \\ P(U) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The table (or matrix Q for posterior probabilities) that we want:

State of Nature	Consultant Recommends	
	Develop	Sell
Acceptable	$P(A D)$	$P(A S)$
Unacceptable	$P(U D)$	$P(U S)$

- In matrix form Q can be calculated from Bayes' theorem as

$$\begin{bmatrix} P(A|D) & P(A|S) \\ P(U|D) & P(U|S) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{P(D|A)P(A)}{P(D)} & \frac{P(S|A)P(A)}{P(S)} \\ \frac{P(D|U)P(U)}{P(D)} & \frac{P(S|U)P(U)}{P(S)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} P(A) & 0 \\ 0 & P(U) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P(D|A) & P(D|U) \\ P(S|A) & P(S|U) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{P(D)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{P(S)} \end{bmatrix}$$

- and by the law of total probability

$$\begin{bmatrix} P(D) \\ P(S) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P(D|A) & P(D|U) \\ P(S|A) & P(S|U) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P(A) \\ P(U) \end{bmatrix}.$$

The table (or matrix Q for posterior probabilities) that we want:

State of Nature	Consultant Recommends	
	Develop	Sell
Acceptable	$P(A D)$	$P(A S)$
Unacceptable	$P(U D)$	$P(U S)$

- In matrix form Q can be calculated from Bayes' theorem as

$$\begin{bmatrix} P(A|D) & P(A|S) \\ P(U|D) & P(U|S) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{P(D|A)P(A)}{P(D)} & \frac{P(S|A)P(A)}{P(S)} \\ \frac{P(D|U)P(U)}{P(D)} & \frac{P(S|U)P(U)}{P(S)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} P(A) & 0 \\ 0 & P(U) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P(D|A) & P(D|U) \\ P(S|A) & P(S|U) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{P(D)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{P(S)} \end{bmatrix}$$

- and by the law of total probability

$$\begin{bmatrix} P(D) \\ P(S) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P(D|A) & P(D|U) \\ P(S|A) & P(S|U) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P(A) \\ P(U) \end{bmatrix}$$

The table (or matrix Q for posterior probabilities) that we want:

State of Nature	Consultant Recommends	
	Develop	Sell
Acceptable	$P(A D)$	$P(A S)$
Unacceptable	$P(U D)$	$P(U S)$

- In matrix form Q can be calculated from Bayes' theorem as

$$\begin{bmatrix} P(A|D) & P(A|S) \\ P(U|D) & P(U|S) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{P(D|A)P(A)}{P(D)} & \frac{P(S|A)P(A)}{P(S)} \\ \frac{P(D|U)P(U)}{P(D)} & \frac{P(S|U)P(U)}{P(S)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} P(A) & 0 \\ 0 & P(U) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P(D|A) & P(D|U) \\ P(S|A) & P(S|U) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{P(D)} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{P(S)} \end{bmatrix}$$

- and by the law of total probability

$$\begin{bmatrix} P(D) \\ P(S) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} P(D|A) & P(D|U) \\ P(S|A) & P(S|U) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P(A) \\ P(U) \end{bmatrix}.$$