

Frobenius and modules of finite flat dimension

Tom Marley
Marcus Webb

University of Nebraska-Lincoln

January 13, 2015

Frobenius and projective dimension

Throughout R will denote a commutative Noetherian ring of prime characteristic p .

Frobenius and projective dimension

Throughout R will denote a commutative Noetherian ring of prime characteristic p .

For $e \geq 1$ let $R^{(e)}$ denote the ring R viewed as an R -module via the action $r \cdot s := r^{p^e} s$ for $r \in R$ and $s \in R^{(e)}$.

Frobenius and projective dimension

Throughout R will denote a commutative Noetherian ring of prime characteristic p .

For $e \geq 1$ let $R^{(e)}$ denote the ring R viewed as an R -module via the action $r \cdot s := r^{p^e} s$ for $r \in R$ and $s \in R^{(e)}$.

Theorem (Peskin-Szpiro, 1973)

If M is a finitely generated R -module and $\text{pd}_R M < \infty$ then $\text{Tor}_i^R(R^{(e)}, M) = 0$ for all $i, e \geq 1$. In particular, $\text{pd}_{R^{(e)}} R^{(e)} \otimes_R M < \infty$ for all $e \geq 1$.

An observation

Suppose $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \dots, x_r$ is a regular sequence on R and let $I = (\mathbf{x})$.

An observation

Suppose $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \dots, x_r$ is a regular sequence on R and let $I = (\mathbf{x})$. Then the Čech complex $C(\mathbf{x}; R)$ gives a finite flat resolution of $H_I^r(R)$; i.e.,

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow \bigoplus_i R_{x_i} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R_{x_1 \cdots x_r} \rightarrow H_I^r(R) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

An observation

Suppose $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \dots, x_r$ is a regular sequence on R and let $I = (\mathbf{x})$. Then the Čech complex $C(\mathbf{x}; R)$ gives a finite flat resolution of $H_I^r(R)$; i.e.,

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow \bigoplus_i R_{x_i} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R_{x_1 \cdots x_r} \rightarrow H_I^r(R) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Tensoring $C(\mathbf{x}; R)$ with $R^{(e)}$ gives the Čech complex $C(\mathbf{x}^{p^e}, R^{(e)})$, which is acyclic as \mathbf{x}^{p^e} is a regular sequence on $R^{(e)}$.

An observation

Suppose $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \dots, x_r$ is a regular sequence on R and let $I = (\mathbf{x})$. Then the Čech complex $C(\mathbf{x}; R)$ gives a finite flat resolution of $H_i^r(R)$; i.e.,

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow \bigoplus_i R_{x_i} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow R_{x_1 \dots x_r} \rightarrow H_i^r(R) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Tensoring $C(\mathbf{x}; R)$ with $R^{(e)}$ gives the Čech complex $C(\mathbf{x}^{p^e}, R^{(e)})$, which is acyclic as \mathbf{x}^{p^e} is a regular sequence on $R^{(e)}$.

In particular, $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R^{(e)}, H_i^r(R)) = 0$ for all $i, e \geq 1$.

Questions

Question

Suppose $\text{fd}_R M < \infty$. Is $\text{Tor}_i^R(R^{(e)}, M) = 0$ for all $i, e > 0$?

Questions

Question

Suppose $\text{fd}_R M < \infty$. Is $\text{Tor}_i^R(R^{(e)}, M) = 0$ for all $i, e > 0$?

While we're at it....

Theorem (Herzog, 1974)

Suppose R has finite Krull dimension and M a finitely generated R -module. If $\text{Tor}_i^R(R^{(e)}, M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and infinitely many e . Then $\text{pd}_R M < \infty$.

Questions

Question

Suppose $\text{fd}_R M < \infty$. Is $\text{Tor}_i^R(R^{(e)}, M) = 0$ for all $i, e > 0$?

While we're at it....

Theorem (Herzog, 1974)

Suppose R has finite Krull dimension and M a finitely generated R -module. If $\text{Tor}_i^R(R^{(e)}, M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and infinitely many e . Then $\text{pd}_R M < \infty$.

Question

Does Herzog's theorem hold for arbitrary modules?

Answers!

Main Theorem

Let M be an R -module.

- (a) If $\text{fd}_R M < \infty$ then $\text{Tor}_i^R(R^{(e)}, M) = 0$ for all $i, e > 0$ and $\text{fd}_R M = \text{fd}_{R^{(e)}} R^{(e)} \otimes_R M$ for all $e > 0$.
- (b) If R has finite Krull dimension and $\text{Tor}_i^R(R^{(e)}, M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and infinitely many e , then $\text{pd}_R M < \infty$.

Answers!

Main Theorem

Let M be an R -module.

- (a) If $\text{fd}_R M < \infty$ then $\text{Tor}_i^R(R^{(e)}, M) = 0$ for all $i, e > 0$ and $\text{fd}_R M = \text{fd}_{R^{(e)}} R^{(e)} \otimes_R M$ for all $e > 0$.
- (b) If R has finite Krull dimension and $\text{Tor}_i^R(R^{(e)}, M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and infinitely many e , then $\text{pd}_R M < \infty$.

If in addition $R^{(1)}$ is finitely generated as an R -module, we have:

- (c) If $\text{id}_R M < \infty$ then $\text{Ext}_R^i(R^{(e)}, M) = 0$ for all $i, e > 0$ and $\text{id}_R M = \text{id}_{R^{(e)}} \text{Hom}_R(R^{(e)}, M)$ for all $e > 0$.
- (d) If R has finite Krull dimension and $\text{Ext}_R^i(R^{(e)}, M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and infinitely many e , then $\text{id}_R M < \infty$.

Flat covers

Definition (Enochs, 1981)

A homomorphism $\phi : F \rightarrow M$ is called a *flat cover* of M if:

Flat covers

Definition (Enochs, 1981)

A homomorphism $\phi : F \rightarrow M$ is called a *flat cover* of M if:

- 1 F is flat.

Flat covers

Definition (Enochs, 1981)

A homomorphism $\phi : F \rightarrow M$ is called a *flat cover* of M if:

- 1 F is flat.
- 2 For any homomorphism $\psi : G \rightarrow M$ where G is flat there exists a map $g : G \rightarrow F$ such that $\psi = \phi g$.

Flat covers

Definition (Enochs, 1981)

A homomorphism $\phi : F \rightarrow M$ is called a *flat cover* of M if:

- 1 F is flat.
- 2 For any homomorphism $\psi : G \rightarrow M$ where G is flat there exists a map $g : G \rightarrow F$ such that $\psi = \phi g$.
- 3 If $h : F \rightarrow F$ satisfies $\phi = \phi h$ then h is an isomorphism.

Flat covers

Definition (Enochs, 1981)

A homomorphism $\phi : F \rightarrow M$ is called a *flat cover* of M if:

- 1 F is flat.
- 2 For any homomorphism $\psi : G \rightarrow M$ where G is flat there exists a map $g : G \rightarrow F$ such that $\psi = \phi g$.
- 3 If $h : F \rightarrow F$ satisfies $\phi = \phi h$ then h is an isomorphism.

Theorem (J. Xu, 1995; Bican, El-Bashir, Enochs, 2001)

Flat covers exist!

Cotorsion modules

Definition (Enochs, 1984)

An R -module is called *cotorsion* if $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, M) = 0$ for every flat R -module F .

Cotorsion modules

Definition (Enochs, 1984)

An R -module is called *cotorsion* if $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, M) = 0$ for every flat R -module F .

Facts:

- The kernel of a flat cover is cotorsion.

Cotorsion modules

Definition (Enochs, 1984)

An R -module is called *cotorsion* if $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, M) = 0$ for every flat R -module F .

Facts:

- The kernel of a flat cover is cotorsion.
- A flat cover of a cotorsion module is cotorsion.

Cotorsion modules

Definition (Enochs, 1984)

An R -module is called *cotorsion* if $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, M) = 0$ for every flat R -module F .

Facts:

- The kernel of a flat cover is cotorsion.
- A flat cover of a cotorsion module is cotorsion.
- If R is complete then any Artinian or Noetherian R -module is cotorsion.

Decomposition of flat cotorsion modules

Theorem (Enochs, 1984)

Let F be a flat cotorsion R -module. Then

(a)

$$F \cong \prod_{q \in \text{Spec } R} T(q),$$

where $T(q)$ is the completion with respect to the qR_q -adic topology of a free R_q -module $G(q)$.

Decomposition of flat cotorsion modules

Theorem (Enochs, 1984)

Let F be a flat cotorsion R -module. Then

(a)

$$F \cong \prod_{q \in \text{Spec } R} T(q),$$

where $T(q)$ is the completion with respect to the qR_q -adic topology of a free R_q -module $G(q)$.

(b) For $q \in \text{Spec } R$ the rank of $G(q)$ is determined by F .

Decomposition of flat cotorsion modules

Theorem (Enochs, 1984)

Let F be a flat cotorsion R -module. Then

(a)

$$F \cong \prod_{q \in \text{Spec } R} T(q),$$

where $T(q)$ is the completion with respect to the qR_q -adic topology of a free R_q -module $G(q)$.

(b) For $q \in \text{Spec } R$ the rank of $G(q)$ is determined by F .

For $q \in \text{Spec } R$ we denote of the rank of $G(q)$ by $\pi(q, F)$.

Minimal flat resolutions

Definition (Enochs-Xu, 1997)

A *minimal flat resolution* of M is an acyclic complex (\mathbf{F}, ∂) such that each F_i is flat, $F_i = 0$ for all $i < 0$, $H_0(\mathbf{F}) \cong M$, and $F_i \rightarrow \text{coker } \partial_{i+1}$ is a flat cover for all i .

Minimal flat resolutions

Definition (Enochs-Xu, 1997)

A *minimal flat resolution* of M is an acyclic complex (\mathbf{F}, ∂) such that each F_i is flat, $F_i = 0$ for all $i < 0$, $H_0(\mathbf{F}) \cong M$, and $F_i \rightarrow \text{coker } \partial_{i+1}$ is a flat cover for all i .

- Minimal flat resolutions exist and are unique up to isomorphism.

Minimal flat resolutions

Definition (Enochs-Xu, 1997)

A *minimal flat resolution* of M is an acyclic complex (\mathbf{F}, ∂) such that each F_i is flat, $F_i = 0$ for all $i < 0$, $H_0(\mathbf{F}) \cong M$, and $F_i \rightarrow \text{coker } \partial_{i+1}$ is a flat cover for all i .

- Minimal flat resolutions exist and are unique up to isomorphism.
- If \mathbf{F} is a minimal flat resolution of M then F_i is cotorsion for $i \geq 1$, and F_0 is cotorsion if and only if M is.

Minimal flat resolutions

Definition (Enochs-Xu, 1997)

A *minimal flat resolution* of M is an acyclic complex (\mathbf{F}, ∂) such that each F_i is flat, $F_i = 0$ for all $i < 0$, $H_0(\mathbf{F}) \cong M$, and $F_i \rightarrow \text{coker } \partial_{i+1}$ is a flat cover for all i .

- Minimal flat resolutions exist and are unique up to isomorphism.
- If \mathbf{F} is a minimal flat resolution of M then F_i is cotorsion for $i \geq 1$, and F_0 is cotorsion if and only if M is.

For $q \in \text{Spec } R$ and $i \geq 1$ we let $\pi_i(q, M) := \pi(q, F_i)$. We set $\pi_0(q, M) := \pi(q, C_R(F_0))$, where $C_R(F_0)$ is the cotorsion envelope of F_0 . We call the $\pi_i(q, M)$ the *Enochs-Xu numbers* of M .

Enochs-Xu Theorem

Theorem (Enochs-Xu, 1997)

Let M be an R -module.

1 $\pi_i(q, M) = \pi_i(q, C_R(M))$ for all $i, q \in \text{Spec } R$.

Enochs-Xu Theorem

Theorem (Enochs-Xu, 1997)

Let M be an R -module.

- 1 $\pi_i(q, M) = \pi_i(q, C_R(M))$ for all $i, q \in \text{Spec } R$.
- 2 If (R, m) is local and M is cotorsion then $\partial_i(F_i) \subseteq mF_{i-1}$ where (\mathbf{F}, ∂) is any minimal flat resolution of M .

Enochs-Xu Theorem

Theorem (Enochs-Xu, 1997)

Let M be an R -module.

- 1 $\pi_i(q, M) = \pi_i(q, C_R(M))$ for all $i, q \in \text{Spec } R$.
- 2 If (R, m) is local and M is cotorsion then $\partial_i(F_i) \subseteq mF_{i-1}$ where (\mathbf{F}, ∂) is any minimal flat resolution of M .
- 3 If M is cotorsion then for all $i \geq 0$ and $q \in \text{Spec } R$,
 $\pi_i(q, M) = \dim_{k(q)} \text{Tor}_i^{R_q}(k(q), \text{Hom}_R(R_q, M))$.

Enochs-Xu Theorem

Theorem (Enochs-Xu, 1997)

Let M be an R -module.

- 1 $\pi_i(q, M) = \pi_i(q, C_R(M))$ for all $i, q \in \text{Spec } R$.
- 2 If (R, m) is local and M is cotorsion then $\partial_i(F_i) \subseteq mF_{i-1}$ where (\mathbf{F}, ∂) is any minimal flat resolution of M .
- 3 If M is cotorsion then for all $i \geq 0$ and $q \in \text{Spec } R$,
 $\pi_i(q, M) = \dim_{k(q)} \text{Tor}_i^{R_q}(k(q), \text{Hom}_R(R_q, M))$.

Note: Part (1) implies that $\text{fd}_R M = \text{fd}_R C_R(M)$.

Peskine and Szpiro's Acyclicity Lemma

Definition

Let (R, m) be local and M an R -module. We define the *depth* of M by

$$\text{depth } M := \inf\{i \geq 0 \mid H_m^i(M) \neq 0\}.$$

Peskine and Szpiro's Acyclicity Lemma

Definition

Let (R, m) be local and M an R -module. We define the *depth* of M by

$$\text{depth } M := \inf\{i \geq 0 \mid H_m^i(M) \neq 0\}.$$

Lemma (Peskine-Szpiro, 1973)

Let R be a local ring and consider a bounded complex \mathbf{T} of R -modules: $0 \rightarrow T_s \rightarrow T_{s-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow 0$. Suppose the following two conditions hold for each $i > 0$:

- 1 depth $T_i \geq i$;
- 2 depth $H_i(\mathbf{T}) = 0$ or $H_{i-1}(\mathbf{T}) = 0$.

Then $H_i(\mathbf{T}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.



Key result: A vanishing result for Enochs-Xu numbers

Theorem

Suppose $\text{fd}_R M < \infty$. Then $\pi_i(p, M) = 0$ for all $p \in \text{Spec } R$ and $i > \text{depth } R_p$.

Key result: A vanishing result for Enochs-Xu numbers

Theorem

Suppose $\text{fd}_R M < \infty$. Then $\pi_i(p, M) = 0$ for all $p \in \text{Spec } R$ and $i > \text{depth } R_p$.

Corollary

Suppose (R, m) is local and $\text{fd}_R M < \infty$. Suppose \mathbf{F} is a minimal flat resolution of M . Then $\text{depth}_{R^{(e)}} R^{(e)} \otimes_R F_i = \infty$ for $i > \text{depth } R$.

Key result: A vanishing result for Enochs-Xu numbers

Theorem

Suppose $\text{fd}_R M < \infty$. Then $\pi_i(p, M) = 0$ for all $p \in \text{Spec } R$ and $i > \text{depth } R_p$.

Corollary

Suppose (R, m) is local and $\text{fd}_R M < \infty$. Suppose \mathbf{F} is a minimal flat resolution of M . Then $\text{depth}_{R^{(e)}} R^{(e)} \otimes_R F_i = \infty$ for $i > \text{depth } R$.

Proof.

Suppose $i > \text{depth } R$. Then $H_m^j(R^{(e)} \otimes_R F_i) \cong H_m^j(R^{(e)}) \otimes_R F_i = 0$ for all j , since F_i is flat and a product of R_p -modules, $p \neq m$. \square

Proof of part (a) of the Main Theorem

Suppose $\text{fd}_R M < \infty$ but $\text{Tor}_i^R(R^{(e)}, M) \neq 0$ for some $i, e > 0$.

Proof of part (a) of the Main Theorem

Suppose $\text{fd}_R M < \infty$ but $\text{Tor}_i^R(R^{(e)}, M) \neq 0$ for some $i, e > 0$.
By localizing, we can assume $\text{Supp}_R \text{Tor}_j^R(R^{(e)}, M) \subseteq \{m\}$ for all $j > 0$, with equality for at least one j .

Proof of part (a) of the Main Theorem

Suppose $\text{fd}_R M < \infty$ but $\text{Tor}_i^R(R^{(e)}, M) \neq 0$ for some $i, e > 0$.

By localizing, we can assume $\text{Supp}_R \text{Tor}_j^R(R^{(e)}, M) \subseteq \{m\}$ for all $j > 0$, with equality for at least one j .

Let \mathbf{F} be a minimal flat resolution of M and $\mathbf{G} = R^{(e)} \otimes_R \mathbf{F}$.

Proof of part (a) of the Main Theorem

Suppose $\text{fd}_R M < \infty$ but $\text{Tor}_i^R(R^{(e)}, M) \neq 0$ for some $i, e > 0$.

By localizing, we can assume $\text{Supp}_R \text{Tor}_j^R(R^{(e)}, M) \subseteq \{m\}$ for all $j > 0$, with equality for at least one j .

Let \mathbf{F} be a minimal flat resolution of M and $\mathbf{G} = R^{(e)} \otimes_R \mathbf{F}$.

Then for $i > 0$, $\text{depth } H_i(\mathbf{G}) = 0$ or $H_i(\mathbf{G}) = 0$.

Proof of part (a) of the Main Theorem

Suppose $\text{fd}_R M < \infty$ but $\text{Tor}_i^R(R^{(e)}, M) \neq 0$ for some $i, e > 0$.

By localizing, we can assume $\text{Supp}_R \text{Tor}_j^R(R^{(e)}, M) \subseteq \{m\}$ for all $j > 0$, with equality for at least one j .

Let \mathbf{F} be a minimal flat resolution of M and $\mathbf{G} = R^{(e)} \otimes_R \mathbf{F}$.

Then for $i > 0$, $\text{depth } H_i(\mathbf{G}) = 0$ or $H_i(\mathbf{G}) = 0$.

If $i \leq \text{depth } R$ then, $\text{depth } G_i \geq \text{depth } R^{(e)}$ as G_i is a flat $R^{(e)}$ -module.

Proof of part (a) of the Main Theorem

Suppose $\text{fd}_R M < \infty$ but $\text{Tor}_i^R(R^{(e)}, M) \neq 0$ for some $i, e > 0$.

By localizing, we can assume $\text{Supp}_R \text{Tor}_j^R(R^{(e)}, M) \subseteq \{m\}$ for all $j > 0$, with equality for at least one j .

Let \mathbf{F} be a minimal flat resolution of M and $\mathbf{G} = R^{(e)} \otimes_R \mathbf{F}$.

Then for $i > 0$, $\text{depth } H_i(\mathbf{G}) = 0$ or $H_i(\mathbf{G}) = 0$.

If $i \leq \text{depth } R$ then, $\text{depth } G_i \geq \text{depth } R^{(e)}$ as G_i is a flat $R^{(e)}$ -module.

If $i > \text{depth } R$ then $\text{depth } G_i = \infty$ by the Corollary.

Proof of part (a) of the Main Theorem

Suppose $\text{fd}_R M < \infty$ but $\text{Tor}_i^R(R^{(e)}, M) \neq 0$ for some $i, e > 0$.

By localizing, we can assume $\text{Supp}_R \text{Tor}_j^R(R^{(e)}, M) \subseteq \{m\}$ for all $j > 0$, with equality for at least one j .

Let \mathbf{F} be a minimal flat resolution of M and $\mathbf{G} = R^{(e)} \otimes_R \mathbf{F}$.

Then for $i > 0$, $\text{depth } H_i(\mathbf{G}) = 0$ or $H_i(\mathbf{G}) = 0$.

If $i \leq \text{depth } R$ then, $\text{depth } G_i \geq \text{depth } R^{(e)}$ as G_i is a flat $R^{(e)}$ -module.

If $i > \text{depth } R$ then $\text{depth } G_i = \infty$ by the Corollary.

Hence, $\mathbf{G} = R^{(e)} \otimes_R \mathbf{F}$ is acyclic by Peskine and Szpiro's acyclicity lemma. Thus, $\text{Tor}_i^R(R^{(e)}, M) = 0$ for $i > 0$, a contradiction.

Thank you!