

Vector Fields and Line Integrals

1. Let C be a curve traced by the vector-valued function

$$\vec{r}(t) = \langle x(t), y(t), z(t) \rangle, \quad (1)$$

for $a \leq t \leq b$. The arclength differential on C is

$$ds = \sqrt{\dot{x}(t)^2 + \dot{y}(t)^2 + \dot{z}(t)^2} dt. \quad (2)$$

As we saw in class, the *line integral* of the function $g : \mathbf{R}^3 \mapsto \mathbf{R}$ over C can be expressed as integral with respect to t :

$$\int_C g(x, y, z) ds = \int_a^b g(x(t), y(t), z(t)) \sqrt{\dot{x}(t)^2 + \dot{y}(t)^2 + \dot{z}(t)^2} dt. \quad (3)$$

2. Let $\vec{F} : \mathbf{R}^3 \mapsto \mathbf{V}_3$ by

$$\vec{F} = \langle M, N, P \rangle. \quad (4)$$

We call \vec{F} *conservative* if there is a function $f : \mathbf{R}^3 \mapsto \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$\vec{F} = \nabla f.$$

The function f is a *potential* for \vec{F} . Note that if f is a potential for \vec{F} , then for any constant c , $f + c$ is also a potential for \vec{F} .

3. Let

$$\vec{r} = \langle x, y, z \rangle. \quad (5)$$

The inverse-square field

$$\vec{F}(x, y, z) = \frac{k}{\|\vec{r}\|^3} \vec{r}, \quad (6)$$

is conservative in any region (not containing the origin) with potential

$$f(x, y, z) = -\frac{k}{\|\vec{r}\|}. \quad (7)$$

4. The line integral of vector field: Let $\vec{F} : \mathbf{R}^3 \mapsto \mathbf{V}_3$ by

$$\vec{F} = \langle M, N, P \rangle. \quad (8)$$

We set

$$\vec{r} = \langle x, y, z \rangle, \quad (9)$$

so that

$$d\vec{r} = \langle dx, dy, dz \rangle. \quad (10)$$

We may thus write the line integral of \vec{F} over the oriented curve C as

$$\int_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \int_C Mdx + Ndy + Pdz. \quad (11)$$

If $\vec{r} = \vec{r}(t)$ is given by (1), then

$$\vec{F} = \vec{F}(x(t), y(t), z(t)), \quad (12)$$

and

$$d\vec{r} = \vec{r}'(t) dt. \quad (13)$$

We can thus express the line integral of \vec{F} over C as an integral with respect to t :

$$\int_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \int_a^b \vec{F}(x(t), y(t), z(t)) \cdot \vec{r}'(t) dt. \quad (14)$$

When we defined the line integral of a *function*, we were only concerned with the length ds of an infinitesimal section of C . When we defined the line integral of a *vector field*, we had to consider both the length and direction of the infinitesimal displacement $d\vec{r}$ along C . For this reason, the curve C in (11) and (14) must be oriented. If $-C$ is the same curve with the opposite orientation, then

$$\int_{-C} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = - \int_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}. \quad (15)$$

5. The curl of a vector field: The curl of $\vec{F} = \langle M, N, P \rangle$ is

$$\text{curl } \vec{F} = \nabla \times \vec{F} = \begin{vmatrix} \vec{i} & \vec{j} & \vec{k} \\ \partial_x & \partial_y & \partial_z \\ M & N & P \end{vmatrix}. \quad (16)$$

In the case of a two-dimensional field

$$\vec{F}(x, y) = \langle M(x, y), N(x, y) \rangle,$$

(16) reduces to

$$\text{curl } \vec{F} = (N_x - M_y) \vec{k}. \quad (17)$$

Remember that the curl of a vector field is another vector field.

6. Physical interpretation of the curl: Let C_ε be a circle of radius ε centered at (x, y, z) , lying in the plane orthogonal to the unit vector \vec{n} . The *circulation* of \vec{F} around C_ε is the line integral of \vec{F} over C_ε . As we showed in class,

$$\text{curl } \vec{F}(x, y, z) \cdot \vec{n} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi \varepsilon^2} \oint_{C_\varepsilon} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}. \quad (18)$$

Thus $\text{curl } \vec{F}(x, y, z)$ is the infinitesimal circulation of \vec{F} , per unit area, about (x, y, z) , normal to \vec{n} . (You don't have to use concentric circles to define the curl. Any family of piecewise smooth, closed curves normal to \vec{n} that can be shrunk to (x, y, z) will do.)

7. Stokes' Theorem: Let \mathcal{S} be an oriented surface with unit normal \vec{n} , bounded by the closed curve $\partial\mathcal{S}$, oriented by the right-hand rule. Let \vec{F} be a C^1 vector field. Then

$$\oint_{\partial\mathcal{S}} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = \iint_{\mathcal{S}} \text{curl } \vec{F} \cdot \vec{n} d\sigma. \quad (19)$$

Think of \mathcal{S} as the union of very small, almost flat, roughly rectangular patches. Let (x, y, z) lie in one such patch. Let \vec{n} be the unit normal to \mathcal{S} at that point. Since the patch is nearly flat, we can take \vec{n} to be the unit normal to the entire patch. By the interpretation of the curl given in paragraph (6), the circulation about (x, y, z) normal to \vec{n} is

$$\text{curl } \vec{F}(x, y, z) \cdot \vec{n} d\sigma. \quad (20)$$

We saw in class that when we "add up" (i.e. integrate) this quantity over \mathcal{S} , the circulation over an *internal* patch boundary is cancelled by circulation about the adjacent patches. This leaves only the circulation about the boundary $\partial\mathcal{S}$. Thus the conclusion (19).

8. Green's Theorem: Let $\vec{F}(x, y) = \langle M(x, y), N(x, y) \rangle$ be a *two-dimensional*, C^1 vector field. Let \mathcal{S} be a region in the plane bounded by the closed curve $\partial\mathcal{S}$. We orient \mathcal{S} by taking $\vec{n} = \vec{k}$, and $\partial\mathcal{S}$ by the counterclockwise direction. In two dimensions,

$$(\text{curl } \vec{F}) \cdot \vec{n} = (N_x - M_y) \vec{k} \cdot \vec{k} = N_x - M_y, \quad (21)$$

$$d\sigma = dA, \quad (22)$$

and

$$\vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = Mdx + Ndy. \quad (23)$$

Thus, Stokes' theorem becomes

$$\oint_{\partial\mathcal{S}} \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} \equiv \oint_{\partial\mathcal{S}} Mdx + Ndy = \iint_{\mathcal{S}} (N_x - M_y) dA. \quad (24)$$

This is the conclusion of Green's theorem. Bear in mind that it is just the two-dimensional version of Stokes' theorem.

9. Let the vector field \vec{F} be C^1 on some simply connected region D . The following are equivalent:
- a. \vec{F} is conservative on D .
 - b. $\nabla \times \vec{F} = \vec{0}$ on D . (The vector field \vec{F} is *irrotational* on D .)
 - c. $\oint_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = 0$ for every closed path C in D .
 - d. $\int_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}$ is path-independent on D .
10. If \vec{F} is conservative with potential f , then

$$\int_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r} = f(B) - f(A), \quad (25)$$

where A and B are respectively the initial and terminal points of C

11. General advice on doing line integrals of vector fields: Let C be a curve lying in a simply connected region on which \vec{F} is C^1 . Suppose that you are to evaluate

$$I = \int_C \vec{F} \cdot d\vec{r}. \quad (26)$$

- a. Compute $\text{curl } \vec{F}$.
- b. If $\text{curl } \vec{F} = \vec{0}$ and C is closed, then by (25), $I = 0$.
- c. If $\text{curl } \vec{F} = \vec{0}$ and C is not closed, find a potential f and use (25).
- d. If $\text{curl } \vec{F} \neq \vec{0}$, and C is closed and lies in the xy -plane, try Green's theorem. The double integral (24) might be easier to evaluate than your original line integral. If C does not lie in the xy -plane, you *might* be able to use Stokes' theorem to simplify your calculation, but this is doubtful. The surface integral on the right-hand side of (19) is usually more complicated than the line integral on the left.
- e. If all else fails, parametrize C and then use (14).