An Introduction to Green’s Functions

Separation of variables is a great tool for working partial differential equation problems without sources. When there are sources, the related method of eigenfunction expansion can be used, but often it is easier to employ the method of Green’s functions. The general idea of a Green’s function solution is to use integrals rather than series; in practice, the two methods often yield the same solution form.

Students find the concept of a Green’s function solution to be hard to understand both because the concept is abstract and because the required notation can be very confusing at first. One way to work through these difficulties is to start by using Green’s functions to solve boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations. Note that we are talking here about problems with sources, not Sturm-Liouville problems.

A Simple Model Problem

Consider heat flow in one dimension with time-independent sources:

\[ \rho c u_t = K_0 u_{xx} + Q(x), \]
\[ u(0, t) = 0, \quad u(L, t) = 0, \]
\[ u(x, 0) = f(x). \]

As time goes to infinity, the effect of the initial condition should disappear and the temperature achieve an equilibrium distribution. This equilibrium temperature will satisfy the problem obtained from the original by dropping the time derivative term from the differential equation and dropping the initial condition. If we fold \( K_0 \) into \( Q \) by defining

\[ q(x) = \frac{Q(x)}{K_0} \]

and use the prime symbol for \( x \) derivatives of the equilibrium temperature \( u(x) \), we get the problem

\[ -u'' = q(x), \quad u(0) = 0, \quad u(L) = 0. \]  

(1)

Solving the Model Problem with Ordinary Calculus

Problem (1) is a very simple problem, as differential equations go. Since \( u'' \) is given explicitly as a function of \( x \), we need only integrate twice. This problem could be used as a project in a multivariable calculus course, but most students would find it difficult. Let’s work through it and see why it is not quite so simple as it appears.\(^1\)

Step 1: Integrate the differential equation once.

Since we don’t know an antiderivative for \( q \), we need to construct one. It is not acceptable to simply write \( -u' = \int q(x) \, dx \). The right side of this formula is not a function because it does not have unique values. Instead, we need to use a definite integral. Using the fundamental theorem of calculus, we can write

\[ \frac{d}{dx} \int_0^x q(x_0) \, dx_0 = q(x), \]

(2)

\(^1\)Of course it would be easy if we had a known simple function for \( q \). But we want to write down a solution that works for arbitrary \( q \). That way we will have solved a general problem rather than a mere example.
which gives us a well-defined antiderivative function. Note that we could have started the integral somewhere other than 0, but we’ll see later that 0 was the most convenient place. Also, we could have used any symbol other than $x$ for the integration variable, as $x$ has already been assigned to be the independent variable for the problem (1).

We’ve found one antiderivative of $q$, but with no useful boundary condition we will still need an integration constant so that our formula includes all antiderivatives. Thus, we have

$$-u' = \int_0^x q(x_0) \, dx_0 - C. \quad (3)$$

Here we have chosen to call the constant $-C$ rather than $C$ for convenience. As it stands, $C$ represents the unknown value of $u'$ at $x = 0$.

**Step 2: Integrate again using $u(0) = 0$.**

For our next integration, we do have a useful boundary condition, so it is best to integrate (3) from the known point $u(0) = 0$ to the arbitrary unknown point $(x, u(x))$. First rewrite (3) using a dummy variable ($s$) in place of $x$:

$$\frac{du}{ds} = C - \int_0^s q(x_0) \, dx_0.$$  

Now integrate both sides from $s = 0$ to $s = x$:

$$\int_0^x \frac{du}{ds} \, ds = \int_0^x \left[ C - \int_0^s q(x_0) \, dx_0 \right] \, ds.$$  

The left side and the first term on the right side are easy, giving us

$$u(x) = C x - \int_0^x \int_0^s q(x_0) \, dx_0 \, ds. \quad (4)$$

**Step 3: Reverse the order of integration to reduce the double integral.**

The formula (4) does not yet satisfy the boundary condition at $x = L$. Before we do that, it would be good to get rid of the double integral. We could easily integrate $q(x_0)$ with respect to $s$, but that requires that we carefully reverse the order of integration.²

Figure 1 shows the domain of the integral. The picture on the left shows the nested inequalities $0 < s < x$, $0 < x_0 < s$, which correspond to the original integral (4). Note that the outer variable marks slices in the $(s, x_0)$ plane, while the inner variable indicates the extent of each slice, with the upper bound dependent on the specific value of $s$ for that slice. The picture on the right is the same region, but with slices marked by the variable $x_0$ rather than $s$. The slices now run over the interval $0 < x_0 < x$, and the range of $s$ along a slice is given by $x_0 < s < x$; note that this time it is the lower bound that is different for each slice. Thus, the solution formula can be rewritten as

$$u(x) = C x - \int_0^x \int_{x_0}^x q(x_0) \, ds \, dx_0 = C x - \int_0^x (x - x_0)q(x_0) \, dx_0. \quad (5)$$

² Multivariable calculus books teach this topic, but they do so with artificial problems. We don’t have to reverse the order of integration for real calculus problems if we set them up correctly the first time. Very strong students can see this for themselves, so they get the idea that reversing the order of integration is busywork of no practical importance. However, differential equations solutions with double integrals necessarily obtain the integrals with the wrong integration order, as has happened here. We should use this example in multivariable calculus so that students know the real importance of the technique of reversing the order of integration.
Figure 1: Integration domains for (4) and (5).

Step 4: Use the boundary condition $u(L) = 0$ to evaluate $C$.

Substituting $x = L$ into the solution (5) yields

$$0 = CL - \int_0^L (L - x_0)q(x_0)\,dx_0,$$

or

$$C = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L (L - x_0)q(x_0)\,dx_0.$$

Substituting this result into (5) yields

$$u(x) = \left[ \int_0^L (L - x_0)q(x_0)\,dx_0 \right] \frac{x}{L} - \int_0^x (x - x_0)q(x_0)\,dx_0,$$

which is the solution for the equilibrium temperature problem. If we want to evaluate the solution for a particular $q$, the simplest procedure is to first calculate the functions

$$q_0(x) = \int_0^x q(x_0)\,dx_0, \quad q_1(x) = \int_0^x x_0q(x_0)\,dx_0.$$  

(7)

Once these functions are known, the solution can be calculated as

$$u(x) = \left[ q_0(L) - \frac{1}{L}q_1(L) \right] x - xq_0(x) + q_1(x).$$

(8)

For general understanding, we can also rewrite the formula (6) in a way that shows an interesting symmetry, first moving factors $x$ and $L$ around to get

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L x(L - x_0)q(x_0)\,dx_0 - \frac{1}{L} \int_0^x L(x - x_0)q(x_0)\,dx_0,$$

and then splitting up the first integral into a portion from 0 to $x$ and a portion from $x$ to $L$, and then combining the two integrals on 0 to $x$. The resulting formula is

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^x x_0(L - x)q(x_0)\,dx_0 + \frac{1}{L} \int_x^L x(L - x_0)q(x_0)\,dx_0.$$  

(9)
Solving the Model Problem with Superposition

Did you enjoy the first method of solving the problem? Integrating by brute force is unnecessarily complicated. There is a more conceptual method based on the idea of superposition. If we break $q$ up into components on different intervals in $x$, we can find the resulting $u$ for each component separately and then add the results. This is only beneficial if we have an easier time obtaining the solution for a component than for the full interval, and that only happens if we have infinitely-many intervals of width 0.

It is natural to think of $q$ as a function distributed over an interval in $x$. However, we can instead think of it as an infinite sum of point sources using the Dirac delta function:

$$q(x) = \int_0^L \delta(x - x_0) q(x_0) \, dx_0. \quad (10)$$

Before we proceed, it is important to confirm that this equation is correct and to understand what it says conceptually. To check its accuracy, note that the integrand is 0 everywhere except at $x_0 = x$; hence, we can replace the function $q(x_0)$ with the constant $q(x)$.\(^3\) Thus,

$$\int_0^L \delta(x - x_0) q(x_0) \, dx_0 = \int_0^L \delta(x - x_0) q(x) \, dx_0 = q(x) \int_0^L \delta(x - x_0) \, dx_0 = q(x),$$

where we have used the basic property of the delta function to evaluate the last integral. To interpret (10), think of $\delta(x - x_0)$ as a unit point source at $x = x_0$ and $q(x_0) \, dx_0$ as the strength of this source. Thus, $\delta(x - x_0)q(x_0) \, dx_0$ is a point source of appropriate strength located at $x_0$ and the distributed function $q(x)$ is the infinite sum of all such point sources.

Now define the Green’s function $G(x; x_0)$ to be the response of the boundary value problem to a unit point source located at $x = x_0$;\(^4\) that is, $G$ is the solution of

$$-u''(x) = \delta(x - x_0), \quad u(0) = 0, \quad u(L) = 0. \quad (11)$$

Once we have found the Green’s function, we will have the response to a unit point source at an arbitrary location. Just as we can build $q(x)$ by adding up products of the unit source $\delta(x - x_0)$ and the source strength $q(x_0) \, dx_0$, we can build $u(x)$ by adding up products of the unit-source response $G(x; x_0)$ and the source strength $q(x_0) \, dx_0$:

$$u(x) = \int_0^L G(x; x_0) q(x_0) \, dx_0. \quad (12)$$

Calculating the Green’s Function

Given that we have already got the solution (9) for (1), we can identify the Green’s function as

$$G(x; x_0) = \frac{1}{L} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} x(L - x_0), & x < x_0 \\ x_0(L - x), & x > x_0 \end{array} \right. \quad (13)$$

Note how simple it is: it is piecewise linear, 0 at both endpoints, continuous at $x = x_0$, and has the symmetry property $G(x; x_0) = G(x_0; x)$.

Now suppose we want to use the Green’s function method to solve (1). This only requires us to solve the problem (11) to find the Green’s function (13); then formula (12) gives us the solution of (1). This is bound to be an improvement over the direct method because we need only solve the simplest possible special case of (1).

\(^3\)In the context of the integral, $x_0$ is the integration variable and $x$ is merely a parameter.

\(^4\)Some authors use a comma rather than a semicolon. The semicolon is helpful in that it identifies $x$ as an independent variable and $x_0$ as a parameter. The function $G$ is the response at all points $x$ to a source located at the specific arbitrary point $x_0$. 
Step 1: Integrate the differential equation on the separate domains $0 < x < x_0$ and $x_0 < x < L$.

Equation (11) reduces to the trivial equation $u'' = 0$ on any interval that does not include $x = x_0$; hence, we can immediately write down the general solution on each of the intervals $0 < x < x_0$ and $x_0 < x < L$:

$$u = \begin{cases} A + Bx, & x < x_0 \\ C + Dx, & x > x_0 \end{cases}$$

(14)

In one extremely quick step, we have reduced the problem from a differential equation with boundary conditions to an algebra problem for four unknown constants.

Step 2: Use the boundary conditions at 0 and L.

The boundary conditions $u(0) = 0$ and $u(L) = 0$, respectively, give us $A = 0$ and $C = -DL$. Thus, we have

$$u = \begin{cases} Bx, & x < x_0 \\ D(x - L), & x > x_0 \end{cases}$$

(15)

Step 3: Integrate the differential equation from $x_0 - \epsilon$ to $x_0 + \epsilon$ for arbitrarily small $\epsilon$.

Integrating the differential equation (11) yields

$$\int_{x_0-\epsilon}^{x_0+\epsilon} \delta(x - x_0) \, dx = -\int_{x_0-\epsilon}^{x_0+\epsilon} u'' \, dx,$$

or

$$1 = -u'(x_0 + \epsilon) + u'(x_0 - \epsilon) = -D + B.$$

Thus, $B = 1 + D$ and

$$u = \begin{cases} (1 + D)x, & x < x_0 \\ D(x - L), & x > x_0 \end{cases} = \frac{1}{L} \begin{cases} (L + DL)x, & x < x_0 \\ DL(x - L), & x > x_0 \end{cases}$$

(16)

Step 4: Enforce continuity at $x_0$.

At $x = x_0$, we must have

$$(1 + D)x_0 = D(x_0 - L);$$

hence, $DL = -x_0$. This yields the final result

$$G(x; x_0) = \frac{1}{L} \begin{cases} x(L - x_0), & x < x_0 \\ x_0(L - x), & x > x_0 \end{cases}$$

(17)

Summary

The Green’s function for any problem with a distributed source is the solution of the corresponding problem with an arbitrary unit point source. Once the Green’s function is known, the solution of the original problem can be computed by integrating the product of the Green’s function with the source function. We’ve seen that this reduces the workload when applied to an ordinary differential equation. In the context of partial differential equations, there will generally be no simple method for finding the solution with a distributed source, but if the geometry is simple we will have little difficulty in finding the Green’s function. In particular, the Green’s function method will always work for the 1D heat equation. It is not generally used for the wave equation because the method of characteristics is much more powerful.