

Local cohomology and G -modules

Emily E. Witt

University of Minnesota

AMS Special Session on Local Commutative Algebra
October 15, 2011



Local cohomology

$$I \subseteq R$$

$$I \subseteq R \rightsquigarrow H_I^i(R)$$

$$I \subseteq R \rightsquigarrow H_I^i(R)$$

Local cohomology modules capture many **properties** of R and I ,
e.g.,

$$I \subseteq R \rightsquigarrow H_I^i(R)$$

Local cohomology modules capture many **properties** of R and I ,
e.g.,

- the *depth* of I

Local cohomology

$$I \subseteq R \rightsquigarrow H_I^i(R)$$

Local cohomology modules capture many **properties** of R and I ,
e.g.,

- the *depth* of I
- the *dimension* of R

$$I \subseteq R \rightsquigarrow H_I^i(R)$$

Local cohomology modules capture many **properties** of R and I ,
e.g.,

- the *depth* of I
- the *dimension* of R
- the *Cohen-Macaulay* and *Gorenstein* properties

Local cohomology

$$I \subseteq R \rightsquigarrow H_I^i(R)$$

Local cohomology modules capture many **properties** of R and I , e.g.,

- the *depth* of I
- the *dimension* of R
- the *Cohen-Macaulay* and *Gorenstein* properties

However, they are often very large (not finitely generated)

$$I \subseteq R \rightsquigarrow H_I^i(R)$$

Local cohomology modules capture many **properties** of R and I , e.g.,

- the *depth* of I
- the *dimension* of R
- the *Cohen-Macaulay* and *Gorenstein* properties

However, they are often very large (not finitely generated) and can be difficult to describe.

Notation.

Notation.

- $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1s} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{r1} & \cdots & x_{rs} \end{bmatrix}$ is an $r \times s$ matrix of indeterminants,

Notation.

- $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1s} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{r1} & \cdots & x_{rs} \end{bmatrix}$ is an $r \times s$ matrix of indeterminants,
 $r \leq s$

Notation.

- $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1s} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{r1} & \cdots & x_{rs} \end{bmatrix}$ is an $r \times s$ matrix of indeterminants,
 $r \leq s$
- $\mathbb{C}[X]$ is the polynomial ring in the entries of X ,

Notation.

- $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1s} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{r1} & \cdots & x_{rs} \end{bmatrix}$ is an $r \times s$ matrix of indeterminants,
 $r \leq s$
- $\mathbb{C}[X]$ is the polynomial ring in the entries of X ,
- $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n)$ the ideal generated by the *maximal* minors of X

Describe $H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$.

Local cohomology of $H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$.

Local cohomology of $H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$.

$$\Delta = (\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X].$$

Local cohomology of $H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$.

$$\Delta = (\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X].$$

$$H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$$

Local cohomology of $H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$.

$$\Delta = (\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X].$$

$H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$ may be computed as the i^{th} cohomology of the complex

Local cohomology of $H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$.

$$\Delta = (\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X].$$

$H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$ may be computed as the i^{th} cohomology of the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]$$

Local cohomology of $H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$.

$$\Delta = (\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X].$$

$H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$ may be computed as the i^{th} cohomology of the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \bigoplus_j \mathbb{C}[X]_{\Delta_j}$$

Local cohomology of $H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$.

$$\Delta = (\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X].$$

$H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$ may be computed as the i^{th} cohomology of the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \bigoplus_j \mathbb{C}[X]_{\Delta_j} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j < k} \mathbb{C}[X]_{\Delta_j \Delta_k}$$

Local cohomology of $H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$.

$$\Delta = (\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[X].$$

$H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$ may be computed as the i^{th} cohomology of the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \bigoplus_j \mathbb{C}[X]_{\Delta_j} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j < k} \mathbb{C}[X]_{\Delta_j \Delta_k} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]_{\Delta_1 \dots \Delta_n} \rightarrow 0.$$

Previously known.

Previously known.

$$\min\{i \mid H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \neq 0\}$$

Previously known.

$$\min\{i \mid H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \neq 0\} = s - r + 1$$

Previously known.

$$\min\{i \mid H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \neq 0\} = s - r + 1$$

- In positive characteristic, this is the *only* nonzero LC module.

[Peskin-Szpiro, Hochster-Eagon]

Previously known.

$$\min\{i \mid H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \neq 0\} = s - r + 1$$

- In positive characteristic, this is the *only* nonzero LC module.

[Peskin-Szpiro, Hochster-Eagon]

$$\max\{i \mid H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \neq 0\}$$

Previously known.

$$\min\{i \mid H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \neq 0\} = s - r + 1$$

- In positive characteristic, this is the *only* nonzero LC module.

[Peskin-Szpiro, Hochster-Eagon]

$$\max\{i \mid H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \neq 0\} = r(s - r) + 1 := N$$

[Hochster, Huneke, Lyubeznik]

Previously known.

$$\min\{i \mid H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \neq 0\} = s - r + 1$$

- In positive characteristic, this is the *only* nonzero LC module.

[Peskin-Szpiro, Hochster-Eagon]

$$\max\{i \mid H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \neq 0\} = r(s - r) + 1 := N$$

[Hochster, Huneke, Lyubeznik]

- Note that $N = \dim \mathbb{C}[\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n]$.

Walther's example.

Walther's example.

- $r = 2, s = 3$

Walther's example.

- $r = 2, s = 3$
- $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \end{bmatrix}$

Walther's example.

- $r = 2, s = 3$
- $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \end{bmatrix}$
- $N = r(s - r) + 1 = 3$

Walther's example.

- $r = 2, s = 3$
- $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \end{bmatrix}$
- $N = r(s - r) + 1 = 3$

$$H_{\Delta}^3(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C}),$$

Walther's example.

- $r = 2, s = 3$
- $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} \end{bmatrix}$
- $N = r(s - r) + 1 = 3$

$$H_{\Delta}^3(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C}),$$

the injective hull of \mathbb{C} as a $\mathbb{C}[X]$ -module.

Main Theorem on Minors (N case).

Main Theorem on Minors (N case).

Theorem.

Main Theorem on Minors (N case).

Theorem. For any $r \leq s$,

Main Theorem on Minors (N case).

Theorem. For any $r \leq s$,

$$H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$$

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

$$\text{Supp } H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) = \{\mathfrak{m}\},$$

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

$$\mathrm{Supp} H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) = \{\mathfrak{m}\},$$

\Downarrow (Lyubeznik's D -modules)

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

$$\mathrm{Supp} \, H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) = \{\mathfrak{m}\},$$

\Downarrow (Lyubeznik's D -modules)

$$H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})^{\oplus \alpha}$$

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

$$\mathrm{Supp} H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) = \{\mathfrak{m}\},$$

\Downarrow (Lyubeznik's D -modules)

$$H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})^{\oplus \alpha} \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

$$\mathrm{Supp} H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) = \{\mathfrak{m}\},$$

\Downarrow (Lyubeznik's D -modules)

$$H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})^{\oplus \alpha} \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Goal:

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

$$\mathrm{Supp} H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) = \{\mathfrak{m}\},$$

\Downarrow (Lyubeznik's D -modules)

$$H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})^{\oplus \alpha} \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Goal: Show $\alpha = 1$.

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $G = SL_r(\mathbb{C})$.

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $G = SL_r(\mathbb{C})$.

G acts on:

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $G = SL_r(\mathbb{C})$.

G acts on:

- $\mathbb{C}[X]$: Given $A \in G$, $A \mapsto A^{-1} \cdot X$

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $G = SL_r(\mathbb{C})$.

G acts on:

- $\mathbb{C}[X]$: Given $A \in G$, $A \mapsto A^{-1} \cdot X$
 - [Weyl] $\implies \mathbb{C}[X]^G = \mathbb{C}[\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n]$

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $G = SL_r(\mathbb{C})$.

G acts on:

- $\mathbb{C}[X]$: Given $A \in G$, $A \mapsto A^{-1} \cdot X$
 - [Weyl] $\implies \mathbb{C}[X]^G = \mathbb{C}[\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n]$
- $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n)$

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $G = SL_r(\mathbb{C})$.

G acts on:

- $\mathbb{C}[X]$: Given $A \in G$, $A \mapsto A^{-1} \cdot X$
 - [Weyl] $\implies \mathbb{C}[X]^G = \mathbb{C}[\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n]$
- $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n)$
- The complex:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]$$

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $G = SL_r(\mathbb{C})$.

G acts on:

- $\mathbb{C}[X]$: Given $A \in G$, $A \mapsto A^{-1} \cdot X$
 - [Weyl] $\implies \mathbb{C}[X]^G = \mathbb{C}[\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n]$
- $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n)$
- The complex:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \bigoplus_j \mathbb{C}[X]_{\Delta_j}$$

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $G = SL_r(\mathbb{C})$.

G acts on:

- $\mathbb{C}[X]$: Given $A \in G$, $A \mapsto A^{-1} \cdot X$
 - [Weyl] $\implies \mathbb{C}[X]^G = \mathbb{C}[\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n]$
- $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n)$
- The complex:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \bigoplus_j \mathbb{C}[X]_{\Delta_j} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j < k} \mathbb{C}[X]_{\Delta_j \Delta_k}$$

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $G = SL_r(\mathbb{C})$.

G acts on:

- $\mathbb{C}[X]$: Given $A \in G$, $A \mapsto A^{-1} \cdot X$
 - [Weyl] $\implies \mathbb{C}[X]^G = \mathbb{C}[\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n]$
- $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n)$
- The complex:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \bigoplus_j \mathbb{C}[X]_{\Delta_j} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j < k} \mathbb{C}[X]_{\Delta_j \Delta_k} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]_{\Delta_1 \dots \Delta_n} \rightarrow 0$$

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $G = SL_r(\mathbb{C})$.

G acts on:

- $\mathbb{C}[X]$: Given $A \in G$, $A \mapsto A^{-1} \cdot X$
 - [Weyl] $\implies \mathbb{C}[X]^G = \mathbb{C}[\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n]$

- $\Delta = (\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n)$

- The complex:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X] \rightarrow \bigoplus_j \mathbb{C}[X]_{\Delta_j} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j < k} \mathbb{C}[X]_{\Delta_j \Delta_k} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]_{\Delta_1 \dots \Delta_n} \rightarrow 0$$

- Each $H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Each $H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$ is a *rational* $R[G]$ -module:

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Each $H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$ is a *rational* $R[G]$ -module:

- For any $g \in G, r \in \mathbb{C}[X]$, and $u \in H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$,

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Each $H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$ is a *rational* $R[G]$ -module:

- For any $g \in G$, $r \in \mathbb{C}[X]$, and $u \in H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$,
$$g(ru) = (gr)(gu).$$

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Each $H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$ is a *rational* $R[G]$ -module:

- For any $g \in G, r \in \mathbb{C}[X]$, and $u \in H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$,
$$g(ru) = (gr)(gu).$$
- Directed union of V s.t.

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Each $H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$ is a *rational* $R[G]$ -module:

- For any $g \in G, r \in \mathbb{C}[X]$, and $u \in H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$,
$$g(ru) = (gr)(gu).$$
- Directed union of V s.t.
 - $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V < \infty$

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Each $H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$ is a *rational* $R[G]$ -module:

- For any $g \in G, r \in \mathbb{C}[X]$, and $u \in H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$,
$$g(ru) = (gr)(gu).$$
- Directed union of V s.t.
 - $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V < \infty$
 - $G \times V \rightarrow V$ is regular map

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Each $H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$ is a *rational* $R[G]$ -module:

- For any $g \in G, r \in \mathbb{C}[X]$, and $u \in H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$,
$$g(ru) = (gr)(gu).$$
- Directed union of V s.t.
 - $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V < \infty$
 - $G \times V \rightarrow V$ is regular map

Critical:

Ingredients of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Each $H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$ is a *rational* $R[G]$ -module:

- For any $g \in G, r \in \mathbb{C}[X]$, and $u \in H_{\Delta}^i(\mathbb{C}[X])$,
$$g(ru) = (gr)(gu).$$
- Directed union of V s.t.
 - $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V < \infty$
 - $G \times V \rightarrow V$ is regular map

Critical: G is *linearly reductive*.

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

General Idea:

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

General Idea: Reduce from the study of

$$H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X])$$

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

General Idea: Reduce from the study of $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X])$ to that of $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n])$.

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

General Idea: Reduce from the study of $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X])$ to that of $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n])$.

$$H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X])^G$$

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

General Idea: Reduce from the study of $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X])$ to that of $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n])$.

$$H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X])^G = H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]^G)$$

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

General Idea: Reduce from the study of $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X])$ to that of $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n])$.

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X])^G &= H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]^G) \\ &= H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n]) \end{aligned}$$

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

General Idea: Reduce from the study of $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X])$ to that of $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n])$.

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X])^G &= H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]^G) \\ &= H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n]) \\ &\cong E_{\mathbb{C}[\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n]}(\mathbb{C}) \end{aligned}$$

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

General Idea: Reduce from the study of $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X])$ to that of $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n])$.

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X])^G &= H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]^G) \\ &= H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n]) \\ &\cong E_{\mathbb{C}[\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n]}(\mathbb{C}) \\ &= E_{\mathbb{C}[X]^G}(\mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

$H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X])^G$ indecomposable $\mathbb{C}[X]^G$ -module

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

$H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X])^G$ indecomposable $\mathbb{C}[X]^G$ -module

\Downarrow

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

$H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X])^G$ indecomposable $\mathbb{C}[X]^G$ -module

\Downarrow

$\text{Soc } H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X])$

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

$H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X])^G$ indecomposable $\mathbb{C}[X]^G$ -module

\Downarrow

$$\mathrm{Soc} H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) := \mathrm{Ann}_{H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X])}(\mathfrak{m})$$

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

$H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X])^G$ indecomposable $\mathbb{C}[X]^G$ -module

\Downarrow

$\text{Soc } H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) := \text{Ann}_{H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X])}(\mathfrak{m})$ *simple*
 G -module

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma.

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma. As rational $R[G]$ -modules,

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma. As rational $R[G]$ -modules,

$$H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$$

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma. As rational $R[G]$ -modules,

$$H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Soc } H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]).$$

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma. As rational $R[G]$ -modules,

$$H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Soc } H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]).$$

Using invariant theory

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma. As rational $R[G]$ -modules,

$$H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Soc } H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]).$$

Using invariant theory as well as the representation theory of $G = SL_r(\mathbb{C})$

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma. As rational $R[G]$ -modules,

$$H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Soc } H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]).$$

Using invariant theory as well as the representation theory of $G = SL_r(\mathbb{C})$ we are able to conclude that

Sketch of proof that $H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C})$.

Lemma. As rational $R[G]$ -modules,

$$H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Soc } H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]).$$

Using invariant theory as well as the representation theory of $G = SL_r(\mathbb{C})$ we are able to conclude that

$$H_{\Delta}^N(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Main Theorem on Minors.

Main Theorem on Minors.

$$(a) \quad H_{\Delta}^{r(s-r)+1}(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C}).$$

Main Theorem on Minors.

(a) $H_{\Delta}^{r(s-r)+1}(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C}).$

(b) $H_{\Delta}^{i_t}(\mathbb{C}[X]) \neq 0$

Main Theorem on Minors.

- (a) $H_{\Delta}^{r(s-r)+1}(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C}).$
- (b) $H_{\Delta}^{i_t}(\mathbb{C}[X]) \neq 0 \iff i = i_t$ for some $0 \leq t < r,$

Main Theorem on Minors.

- (a) $H_{\Delta}^{r(s-r)+1}(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C}).$
- (b) $H_{\Delta}^{i_t}(\mathbb{C}[X]) \neq 0 \iff i = i_t$ for some $0 \leq t < r,$

Main Theorem on Minors.

- (a) $H_{\Delta}^{r(s-r)+1}(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C}).$
- (b) $H_{\Delta}^{i_t}(\mathbb{C}[X]) \neq 0 \iff i = i_t$ for some
 $0 \leq t < r$, where

$$i_t = (r - t)(s - r) + 1.$$

Main Theorem on Minors.

- (a) $H_{\Delta}^{r(s-r)+1}(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C}).$
- (b) $H_{\Delta}^{i_t}(\mathbb{C}[X]) \neq 0 \iff i = i_t$ for some $0 \leq t < r$, where

$$i_t = (r - t)(s - r) + 1.$$

Furthermore,

Main Theorem on Minors.

- (a) $H_{\Delta}^{r(s-r)+1}(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C}).$
- (b) $H_{\Delta}^{i_t}(\mathbb{C}[X]) \neq 0 \iff i = i_t$ for some $0 \leq t < r$, where

$$i_t = (r - t)(s - r) + 1.$$

Furthermore,

Main Theorem on Minors.

- (a) $H_{\Delta}^{r(s-r)+1}(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C}).$
- (b) $H_{\Delta}^{i_t}(\mathbb{C}[X]) \neq 0 \iff i = i_t$ for some $0 \leq t < r$, where

$$i_t = (r - t)(s - r) + 1.$$

Furthermore,

$$H_{\Delta}^{i_t}(\mathbb{C}[X]) \hookrightarrow H_{\Delta}^{i_t}(\mathbb{C}[X])_{I_{t+1}}$$

Main Theorem on Minors.

- (a) $H_{\Delta}^{r(s-r)+1}(\mathbb{C}[X]) \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C}).$
- (b) $H_{\Delta}^{i_t}(\mathbb{C}[X]) \neq 0 \iff i = i_t$ for some $0 \leq t < r$, where

$$i_t = (r - t)(s - r) + 1.$$

Furthermore,

$$H_{\Delta}^{i_t}(\mathbb{C}[X]) \hookrightarrow H_{\Delta}^{i_t}(\mathbb{C}[X])_{I_{t+1}} \cong E_{\mathbb{C}[X]}(\mathbb{C}[X]/I_{t+1})$$

Main Theorem.

Main Theorem. Let

Main Theorem. Let

- Let R be a polynomial ring / k ,

Main Theorem. Let

- Let R be a polynomial ring / k , $\text{char}(k) = 0$,

Main Theorem.

Let

- Let R be a polynomial ring / k , $\text{char}(k) = 0$,
- G linearly reductive group / k

Main Theorem. Let

- Let R be a polynomial ring / k , $\text{char}(k) = 0$,
- G linearly reductive group / k acting “nicely” on R ,

Main Theorem. Let

- Let R be a polynomial ring / k , $\text{char}(k) = 0$,
- G linearly reductive group / k acting “nicely” on R ,
- $I = \mathfrak{m}_{R^G} R$,

Main Theorem. Let

- Let R be a polynomial ring / k , $\text{char}(k) = 0$,
- G linearly reductive group / k acting “nicely” on R ,
- $I = \mathfrak{m}_{R^G} R$, and $N = \dim R^G$.

Main Theorem. Let

- Let R be a polynomial ring / k , $\text{char}(k) = 0$,
- G linearly reductive group / k acting “nicely” on R ,
- $I = \mathfrak{m}_{R^G} R$, and $N = \dim R^G$.

Then $H_I^N(R) \neq 0$

Main Theorem. Let

- Let R be a polynomial ring / k , $\text{char}(k) = 0$,
- G linearly reductive group / k acting “nicely” on R ,
- $I = \mathfrak{m}_{R^G} R$, and $N = \dim R^G$.

Then $H_I^N(R) \neq 0$ and $H_I^i(R) = 0$ for $i > d$.

Main Theorem. Let

- Let R be a polynomial ring / k , $\text{char}(k) = 0$,
- G linearly reductive group / k acting “nicely” on R ,
- $I = \mathfrak{m}_{R^G} R$, and $N = \dim R^G$.

Then $H_I^N(R) \neq 0$ and $H_I^i(R) = 0$ for $i > d$.

(a) If $i < N$,

Main Theorem.

Let

- Let R be a polynomial ring / k , $\text{char}(k) = 0$,
- G linearly reductive group / k acting “nicely” on R ,
- $I = \mathfrak{m}_{R^G} R$, and $N = \dim R^G$.

Then $H_I^N(R) \neq 0$ and $H_I^i(R) = 0$ for $i > d$.

(a) If $i < N$, then $\mathfrak{m} \notin \text{Ass}_R H_I^i(R)$.

Main Theorem. Let

- Let R be a polynomial ring / k , $\text{char}(k) = 0$,
- G linearly reductive group / k acting “nicely” on R ,
- $I = \mathfrak{m}_{R^G} R$, and $N = \dim R^G$.

Then $H_I^N(R) \neq 0$ and $H_I^i(R) = 0$ for $i > d$.

(a) If $i < N$, then $\mathfrak{m} \notin \text{Ass}_R H_I^i(R)$.

If $\text{Supp } H_I^N(R) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, then

Main Theorem. Let

- Let R be a polynomial ring / k , $\text{char}(k) = 0$,
- G linearly reductive group / k acting “nicely” on R ,
- $I = \mathfrak{m}_{R^G} R$, and $N = \dim R^G$.

Then $H_I^N(R) \neq 0$ and $H_I^i(R) = 0$ for $i > d$.

(a) If $i < N$, then $\mathfrak{m} \notin \text{Ass}_R H_I^i(R)$.

If $\text{Supp } H_I^N(R) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, then

Main Theorem. Let

- Let R be a polynomial ring / k , $\text{char}(k) = 0$,
- G linearly reductive group / k acting “nicely” on R ,
- $I = \mathfrak{m}_{R^G} R$, and $N = \dim R^G$.

Then $H_I^N(R) \neq 0$ and $H_I^i(R) = 0$ for $i > d$.

(a) If $i < N$, then $\mathfrak{m} \notin \text{Ass}_R H_I^i(R)$.

If $\text{Supp } H_I^N(R) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, then

(b) $V := \text{Soc } H_I^i(R)$

Main Theorem. Let

- Let R be a polynomial ring / k , $\text{char}(k) = 0$,
- G linearly reductive group / k acting “nicely” on R ,
- $I = \mathfrak{m}_{R^G} R$, and $N = \dim R^G$.

Then $H_I^N(R) \neq 0$ and $H_I^i(R) = 0$ for $i > d$.

(a) If $i < N$, then $\mathfrak{m} \notin \text{Ass}_R H_I^i(R)$.

If $\text{Supp } H_I^N(R) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, then

(b) $V := \text{Soc } H_I^i(R)$ is a simple G -module, and

Main Theorem. Let

- Let R be a polynomial ring / k , $\text{char}(k) = 0$,
- G linearly reductive group / k acting “nicely” on R ,
- $I = \mathfrak{m}_{R^G} R$, and $N = \dim R^G$.

Then $H_I^N(R) \neq 0$ and $H_I^i(R) = 0$ for $i > d$.

(a) If $i < N$, then $\mathfrak{m} \notin \text{Ass}_R H_I^i(R)$.

If $\text{Supp } H_I^N(R) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, then

(b) $V := \text{Soc } H_I^i(R)$ is a simple G -module, and

(c) As *rational* $R[G]$ -modules,

Main Theorem. Let

- Let R be a polynomial ring / k , $\text{char}(k) = 0$,
- G linearly reductive group / k acting “nicely” on R ,
- $I = \mathfrak{m}_{R^G} R$, and $N = \dim R^G$.

Then $H_I^N(R) \neq 0$ and $H_I^i(R) = 0$ for $i > d$.

(a) If $i < N$, then $\mathfrak{m} \notin \text{Ass}_R H_I^i(R)$.

If $\text{Supp } H_I^N(R) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$, then

(b) $V := \text{Soc } H_I^i(R)$ is a simple G -module, and

(c) As rational $R[G]$ -modules, $H_I^N(R) \cong E_R(k) \otimes_k V$.

Example of further application.

Example of further application.

- $\text{char}(k) = 0$.

Example of further application.

- $\text{char}(k) = 0$.
- X an $r \times t$ matrix, Y a $t \times s$ matrix.

Example of further application.

- $\text{char}(k) = 0$.
- X an $r \times t$ matrix, Y a $t \times s$ matrix.
- $R = k[X, Y]$.

Example of further application.

- $\text{char}(k) = 0$.
- X an $r \times t$ matrix, Y a $t \times s$ matrix.
- $R = k[X, Y]$.
- For $A \in SL_t(k)$,

Example of further application.

- $\text{char}(k) = 0$.
- X an $r \times t$ matrix, Y a $t \times s$ matrix.
- $R = k[X, Y]$.
- For $A \in SL_t(k)$,
 - $X \mapsto X \cdot A$

Example of further application.

- $\text{char}(k) = 0$.
- X an $r \times t$ matrix, Y a $t \times s$ matrix.
- $R = k[X, Y]$.
- For $A \in SL_t(k)$,
 - $X \mapsto X \cdot A$
 - $Y \mapsto A^{-1} \cdot Y$

Example of further application.

- $\text{char}(k) = 0$.
- X an $r \times t$ matrix, Y a $t \times s$ matrix.
- $R = k[X, Y]$.
- For $A \in SL_t(k)$,
 - $X \mapsto X \cdot A$
 - $Y \mapsto A^{-1} \cdot Y$
- $R^G = k[X \cdot Y]$,

Example of further application.

- $\text{char}(k) = 0$.
- X an $r \times t$ matrix, Y a $t \times s$ matrix.
- $R = k[X, Y]$.
- For $A \in SL_t(k)$,
 - $X \mapsto X \cdot A$
 - $Y \mapsto A^{-1} \cdot Y$
- $R^G = k[X \cdot Y]$, so I is generated by the entries of $X \cdot Y$.

Example of further application.

- $\text{char}(k) = 0$.
- X an $r \times t$ matrix, Y a $t \times s$ matrix.
- $R = k[X, Y]$.
- For $A \in SL_t(k)$,
 - $X \mapsto X \cdot A$
 - $Y \mapsto A^{-1} \cdot Y$
- $R^G = k[X \cdot Y]$, so I is generated by the entries of $X \cdot Y$.
- We may study $H_I^i(R)$.