# The weak Lefschetz property for monomial complete intersections in positive characteristic

Adela Vraciu

**University of South Carolina** 

joint work with Andy Kustin

### **Definitions**

Let  $A = \bigoplus A_i$  be a standard graded algebra over an algebraically closed field k.

We say that A has the weak Lefschetz property (WLP) if there exists a linear form  $L \in A_1$  such that the map

$$\times L: A_i \to A_{i+1}$$

has maximal rank (i.e. it is injective or surjective) for all i.

Such a linear form L is called a Lefschetz element. The set of Lefschetz elements forms a (possibly empty) Zarisky subset of  $A_1$ .

# A key fact

Migliore, Miro-Roig, and Nagel (2011) show that if  $A=k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]/I$  is a standard graded Artinian Gorenstein algebra, then A has WLP if and only if  $L=x_1+\ldots+x_n$  is a Lefschetz element, and this is if and only if the map

$$\times L: A_{\lfloor \frac{e-1}{2} \rfloor} \to A_{\lfloor \frac{e+1}{2} \rfloor}$$

is injective, where e is the degree of the socle generator of A.

In the case when  $I=(x_1^{d_1},\ldots,x_n^{d_n})$ , we translate this into a condition on the degrees of the non-Koszul relations on  $x_1^{d_1},\ldots,x_{n-1}^{d_{n-1}},(x_1+\ldots+x_{n-1})^{d_n}\in k[x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}]$ :

# Proposition

$$A=rac{k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]}{(x_1^{d_1},\ldots,x_n^{d_n})}$$
 has WLP if and only if

the smallest total degree of a non-Koszul relation on  $x_1^{d_1},\ldots,x_{n-1}^{d_{n-1}},(x_1+\ldots+x_{n-1})^{d_n}$  is  $\lfloor \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n d_i-n+3}{2} \rfloor$ .

We use the convention that the total degree of a relation  $a_1x_1^{d_1} + \cdots + a_n(x_1 + \dots x_{n-1})^{d_n}$  is  $\deg(a_1) + d_1$ .

### The role of the characteristic

**Theorem** [Stanley - J. Watanabe]:

If 
$$\operatorname{char}(k)=0$$
, then  $A=\dfrac{k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]}{(x_1^{d_1},\ldots,x_n^{d_n})}$  has WLP for every  $d_1,\ldots,d_n\geq 1$ .

#### THIS IS NO LONGER TRUE IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC!

Li-Zanello (2010) found a surprising connection between the monomial complete intersections in three variables that have WLP (as a function of the characteristic) and enumerations of plane partitions.

Brenner-Kaid (2011) gave an explicit description of the values of d (in terms of p) such that  $\frac{k[x,y,z]}{(x^d,y^d,z^d)}$  has WLP.

# WLP in three variables and finite projective dimension

Theorem [Kustin - Rahmati -V.]

Let  $R=\frac{k[x,y,z]}{(x^n+y^n+z^n)}$  where  $n\geq 2$ , and let  $N\geq n$  be an integer not divisible by n. Then

$$R/(x^N, y^N, z^N)R$$

has finite projective dimension as an R-module if and only if

$$A = \frac{k[x, y, z]}{(x^a, y^a, z^a)}$$

DOES NOT have WLP for at least one of the values  $a = \lfloor \frac{N}{n} \rfloor$  or  $a = \lceil \frac{N}{n} \rceil$ .

### Our main results

# Theorem 1 [Kustin - V.]

Assume  $\operatorname{char}(k) = p \geq 3$ , and let  $d = lp^e + d'$ , where  $l \leq p-1$  and  $d' < p^e$ .

Then  $\frac{k[x,y,z,w]}{(x^d,y^d,z^d,w^d)}$  has WLP if and only if  $l \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$  and

$$d' \in \{\frac{p^e-1}{2}, \frac{p^e+1}{2}\}.$$

# Main results - continued

Theorem 2 [Kustin - V.]

Let  $n \ge 5$  and char(k) = p > 0. Then

$$A = \frac{k[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{(x_1^d, \dots, x_n^d)}$$

has WLP if and only if

$$\lfloor \frac{n(d-1)+3}{2} \rfloor \le p$$

In particular, A does not have WLP for any  $d \geq p$ .

# Necessary conditions for WLP

We use the Frobenius endomorphism to create relations of small degree. The following is the key observation:

Write 
$$d = lp^e + d'$$
 and fix  $1 \le i \le n$ . If

$$a_1 x_1^l + \ldots + a_i x_i^l + b_{i+1} x_i^{l+1} + \ldots + b_{n-1} x_{n-1}^{l+1} + b_n (x_1 + \ldots + x_{n-1})^{l+1} = 0$$

is a relation on  $x_1^l, \dots, x_i^l, x_{i+1}^{l+1}, \dots, x_{n-1}^{l+1}(x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1})^{l+1}$ , then

$$(x_1 \cdots x_i)^{d'} \left( a_1^{p^e} x_1^{lp^e} + \ldots + b_{n-1}^{p^e} x_{n-1}^{(l+1)p^e} + b_n^{p^e} (x_1 + \ldots + x_{n-1})^{(l+1)p^e} \right)$$

is a relation on  $x_1^d, ..., x_{n-1}^d, (x_1 + ..., x_{n-1})^d$ .

# Necessary conditions - continued

If  $\underline{l}=(l_1,\ldots,l_n)$ , we use  $N(\underline{l})$  to denote the smallest total degree of a non-Koszul relation on  $x_1^{l_1},\ldots,x_{n-1}^{l_{n-1}},(x_1+\ldots+x_{n-1})^{l_n}$ .

We have shown that

$$N(\underline{d}) \le p^e N(\underline{l}) + id'$$

where  $\underline{d}=(d,\ldots,d)$ ,  $\underline{l}=(l,\ldots,l,l+1,\ldots,l+1)$  (i l's and n-i l+1's), and  $d=lp^e+d'$ .

# Necessary conditions - continued

#### Lemma:

Let  $\underline{l} = (l, \dots, l, l+1, \dots, l+1)$  be as above. Then we have

$$N(\underline{l}) \le \lfloor \frac{nl - i + 3}{2} \rfloor.$$

### Sketch of Proof:

Let  $A_{\underline{l}}=\frac{k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]}{(x_1^l,\ldots,x_i^l,x_{i+1}^{l+1},\ldots,x_n^{l+1})}$ . The socle generator of  $A_{\underline{l}}$  has degree e=nl-i and the Hilbert function of  $A_{\underline{l}}$  becomes strictly decreasing after step  $\lfloor\frac{e+1}{2}\rfloor$ , which implies that the map

$$\times L: [A_{\underline{l}}]_{\lfloor \frac{e+1}{2} \rfloor} \to [A_{\underline{l}}]_{\lfloor \frac{e+3}{2} \rfloor}$$

is not injective. This gives rise to a non-Koszul relation of degree  $\lfloor \frac{e+3}{2} \rfloor$ .



# Necessary conditions - conclusion

### **Corollary:**

Assume that  $d=lp^e+d'$  (where  $p=\operatorname{char}(k)$ ) and  $1\leq i\leq n.$  If

$$A = \frac{k[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{(x_1^d, \dots, x_n^d)}$$

has WLP, then

$$\lfloor \frac{n(d-1)+3}{2} \rfloor \le N(\underline{d}) \le p^e \lfloor \frac{nl-i+3}{2} \rfloor + id'.$$

# Sufficient conditions for WLP

#### Lemma:

Let  $c \leq d$ . The ideal  $(x^d, y^d) : (x+y)^{2c}$  in k[x,y] is generated in degrees  $\geq d-c$  if and only if  $\Delta_c(d) \neq 0$  in k, where

$$\Delta_{c}(d) = \begin{vmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} d \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{pmatrix} d \\ c \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} d \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} d \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{pmatrix} d \\ c+1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \begin{pmatrix} d \\ c \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} d \\ c+1 \end{pmatrix} & \cdots & \begin{pmatrix} d \\ 2c-1 \end{pmatrix} \end{vmatrix}$$

### Proof of Lemma

The statement is equivalent to  $(x^d,y^d)\cap (x+y)^{2c}$  has no non-zero elements of degree  $\leq d-c-1$  if and only if  $\Delta_c(d)\neq 0$ . Write a general element of  $(x^d,y^d)$  of degree d-c-1 as a polynomial in the variables x and x+y:

$$H = \left[ a_1 x^{c-1} + a_2 x^{c-2} (x+y) + \dots + a_c (x+y)^{c-1} \right] x^d + \left[ b_1 x^{c-1} + b_2 x^{c-2} (x+y) + \dots + b_c (x+y)^{c-1} \right] y^d$$

Write y=(x+y)-x and use the binomial expansion for  $y^d$ ; the condition that  $H\in (x+y)^{2c}$  amounts to saying that the coefficients for  $(x+y)^{2c-1}, (x+y)^{2c-2}, \ldots, (x+y), 1$  that are obtained when the expression for H is expanded are equal to zero. This gives rise to a homogeneous system of 2c equations in the unknowns  $a_1,\ldots,a_c,b_1,\ldots,b_c$ . The first c equations tell us that the  $a_i$ 's can be expressed as linear combinations of the  $b_i$ 's. The last c equations (in the unknowns  $b_1,\ldots,b_c$ ) have determinant  $\Delta_c(d)$ , so  $\Delta_c(d) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow$  there is no non-trivial solution.

# Sufficient conditions for WLP in four variables

#### Theorem:

Assume that  $\Delta_c(d) \neq 0$  in k for every  $c \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ .

Then

$$A = \frac{k[x, y, z, w]}{(x^d, y^d, z^d, w^d)}$$

has WLP.

#### Sketch of Proof:

WLP is equivalent to the statement that  $(x^d, y^d, z^d) : (x + y + z)^d$  has no non-zero elements of degree d - 2.

Let  $u=u_{d-2}+u_{d-3}z+\ldots+u_0z^{d-2}\in (x^d,y^d,z^d):(x+y+z)^d$ , with  $u_i\in k[x,y]$  homogeneous of degree i. We want to show

$$u=0.$$
 Expand  $(x+y+z)^d=\Sigma_{i=0}^d\left(\begin{array}{c} d\\ i\end{array}\right)(x+y)^iz^{d-i}$  and

multiply  $u(x+y+z)^d$ ; the condition is that the coefficients of  $1, z, \ldots, z^{d-1}$  in the resulting expression are in  $(x^d, y^d)$ .



The coefficient of  $z^{d-1}$  is

$$d(x+y)u_{d-2} + {d \choose 2}(x+y)^2u_{d-3} + \ldots + d(x+y)^{d-1}u_0.$$

Since it has degree d-1, the only way it can be in  $(x^d, y^d)$  is if it is zero; this implies  $u_{d-2} \in (x+y)$ . Now the coefficient of  $z^{d-2}$ 

$$\binom{d}{2}(x+y)^2u_{d-2} + \binom{d}{3}(x+y)^3u_{d-3} + \dots + u_0(x+y)^d$$

must be in  $(x^d, y^d) \cap (x + y)^2$ .

According to the lemma, it has insufficient degree, so it must be zero; this implies  $u_{d-2} \in (x+y)^2, u_{d-3} \in (x+y)$ , etc.

# The determinants $\Delta_c(d)$

It is known that

$$\Delta_c(d) = \frac{\binom{d}{c} \binom{d+1}{c} \cdots \binom{d+c-1}{c}}{\binom{c}{c} \binom{c+1}{c} \cdots \binom{2c-1}{c}}$$

If  $d=lp^e+d'$ , with  $l\leq \frac{p-1}{2}$  and  $d'\in \{\frac{p^e-1}{2},\frac{p^e+1}{2}\}$ , we show that  $\Delta_c(d)\neq 0$  in k (where  $\mathrm{char}(k)=p$ ) for all  $c=1,\ldots,d$  by counting the powers of p in the numerator and in the denominator.