

# DG Ext and Yoneda Ext for DG modules

**Saeed Nasseh**    **Sean Sather-Wagstaff**

Department of Mathematics  
North Dakota State University

The 2011 Fall Central Sectional Meeting of the AMS,  
Special Session on Local Commutative Algebra

## Assumption

*In this talk  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is assumed to be a local commutative noetherian ring with unity.*

## Assumption

*In this talk  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is assumed to be a local commutative noetherian ring with unity.*

## Definition

The finitely generated  $R$ -module  $C$  is **semidualizing** if

- 1 The homothety map  $\chi_C^R: R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C)$  given by  $\chi_C^R(r)(c) = rc$  is an isomorphism, and
- 2  $\text{Ext}_R^i(C, C) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ .

## Assumption

*In this talk  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is assumed to be a local commutative noetherian ring with unity.*

## Definition

The finitely generated  $R$ -module  $C$  is **semidualizing** if

- 1 The homothety map  $\chi_C^R: R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C)$  given by  $\chi_C^R(r)(c) = rc$  is an isomorphism, and
- 2  $\text{Ext}_R^i(C, C) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ .

- 1 in Vasconcelos' analysis of divisors associated to modules

## Assumption

*In this talk  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is assumed to be a local commutative noetherian ring with unity.*

## Definition

The finitely generated  $R$ -module  $C$  is **semidualizing** if

- 1 The homothety map  $\chi_C^R: R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C)$  given by  $\chi_C^R(r)(c) = rc$  is an isomorphism, and
- 2  $\text{Ext}_R^i(C, C) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ .

- 1 in Vasconcelos' analysis of divisors associated to modules
- 2 in studies of local ring homomorphisms by Avramov and Foxby

## Assumption

*In this talk  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is assumed to be a local commutative noetherian ring with unity.*

## Definition

The finitely generated  $R$ -module  $C$  is **semidualizing** if

- 1 The homothety map  $\chi_C^R: R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C)$  given by  $\chi_C^R(r)(c) = rc$  is an isomorphism, and
- 2  $\text{Ext}_R^i(C, C) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ .

- 1 in Vasconcelos' analysis of divisors associated to modules
- 2 in studies of local ring homomorphisms by Avramov and Foxby
- 3 in Wakamatsu's work on tilting theory

### Conjecture (W. V. Vasconcelos, 1974)

*The set of isomorphism classes of semidualizing modules over a Cohen-Macaulay local ring is finite.*

**Theorem (L. W. Christensen and S. Sather-Wagstaff, 2008)**

If  $R$  is *Cohen-Macaulay* and *equicharacteristic*, then the set of isomorphism classes of semidualizing modules is finite.

## Theorem (L. W. Christensen and S. Sather-Wagstaff, 2008)

If  $R$  is *Cohen-Macaulay* and *equicharacteristic*, then the set of isomorphism classes of semidualizing modules is finite.

### Outline of the proof:

- 1 Reduce to the case where  $k$  is algebraically closed and  $R$  is a finite dimensional  $k$ -algebra

## Theorem (L. W. Christensen and S. Sather-Wagstaff, 2008)

If  $R$  is *Cohen-Macaulay* and *equicharacteristic*, then the set of isomorphism classes of semidualizing modules is finite.

### Outline of the proof:

- 1 Reduce to the case where  $k$  is algebraically closed and  $R$  is a finite dimensional  $k$ -algebra
- 2 Parametrize the set of  $R$ -modules  $M$  such that  $\dim_k(M) = r$  by an algebraic scheme

## Theorem (L. W. Christensen and S. Sather-Wagstaff, 2008)

If  $R$  is *Cohen-Macaulay* and *equicharacteristic*, then the set of isomorphism classes of semidualizing modules is finite.

### Outline of the proof:

- 1 Reduce to the case where  $k$  is algebraically closed and  $R$  is a finite dimensional  $k$ -algebra
- 2 Parametrize the set of  $R$ -modules  $M$  such that  $\dim_k(M) = r$  by an algebraic scheme
- 3  $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$  acts on this scheme so that orbits are exactly the isomorphism classes

## Theorem (L. W. Christensen and S. Sather-Wagstaff, 2008)

If  $R$  is *Cohen-Macaulay* and *equicharacteristic*, then the set of isomorphism classes of semidualizing modules is finite.

### Outline of the proof:

- 1 Reduce to the case where  $k$  is algebraically closed and  $R$  is a finite dimensional  $k$ -algebra
- 2 Parametrize the set of  $R$ -modules  $M$  such that  $\dim_k(M) = r$  by an algebraic scheme
- 3  $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$  acts on this scheme so that orbits are exactly the isomorphism classes
- 4 Ext vanishing implies that every semidualizing  $R$ -module has an open orbit

## Theorem (L. W. Christensen and S. Sather-Wagstaff, 2008)

If  $R$  is *Cohen-Macaulay* and *equicharacteristic*, then the set of isomorphism classes of semidualizing modules is finite.

### Outline of the proof:

- 1 Reduce to the case where  $k$  is algebraically closed and  $R$  is a finite dimensional  $k$ -algebra
- 2 Parametrize the set of  $R$ -modules  $M$  such that  $\dim_k(M) = r$  by an algebraic scheme
- 3  $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$  acts on this scheme so that orbits are exactly the isomorphism classes
- 4 **Ext vanishing implies that every semidualizing  $R$ -module has an open orbit**
- 5 There can only be finitely many open orbits, so there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of semidualizing  $R$ -modules

## Definition

A **commutative differential graded algebra over  $R$**  (DG  $R$ -algebra for short) is an  $R$ -complex  $A$  with  $A_i = 0$  for  $i < 0$  equipped with a chain map  $\mu^A: A \otimes_R A \rightarrow A$  denoted  $\mu^A(a \otimes b) = ab$  (which is called the product) that is

## Definition

A **commutative differential graded algebra over  $R$**  (DG  $R$ -algebra for short) is an  $R$ -complex  $A$  with  $A_i = 0$  for  $i < 0$  equipped with a chain map  $\mu^A: A \otimes_R A \rightarrow A$  denoted  $\mu^A(a \otimes b) = ab$  (which is called the product) that is

- 1 **associative**: for all  $a, b, c \in A$  we have  $(ab)c = a(bc)$ ;
- 2 **unital**: there is an element  $1 \in A_0$  such that for all  $a \in A$  we have  $1a = a$ ;
- 3 **graded commutative**: for all  $a, b \in A$  we have  $ab = (-1)^{|a||b|}ba$  and  $a^2 = 0$  when  $|a|$  is odd.

## Definition

A **commutative differential graded algebra over  $R$**  (DG  $R$ -algebra for short) is an  $R$ -complex  $A$  with  $A_i = 0$  for  $i < 0$  equipped with a chain map  $\mu^A: A \otimes_R A \rightarrow A$  denoted  $\mu^A(a \otimes b) = ab$  (which is called the product) that is

- 1 **associative**: for all  $a, b, c \in A$  we have  $(ab)c = a(bc)$ ;
- 2 **unital**: there is an element  $1 \in A_0$  such that for all  $a \in A$  we have  $1a = a$ ;
- 3 **graded commutative**: for all  $a, b \in A$  we have  $ab = (-1)^{|a||b|}ba$  and  $a^2 = 0$  when  $|a|$  is odd.

## Examples

- 1  $R$  is a DG  $R$ -algebra.

## Definition

A **commutative differential graded algebra over  $R$**  (DG  $R$ -algebra for short) is an  $R$ -complex  $A$  with  $A_i = 0$  for  $i < 0$  equipped with a chain map  $\mu^A: A \otimes_R A \rightarrow A$  denoted  $\mu^A(a \otimes b) = ab$  (which is called the product) that is

- 1 **associative**: for all  $a, b, c \in A$  we have  $(ab)c = a(bc)$ ;
- 2 **unital**: there is an element  $1 \in A_0$  such that for all  $a \in A$  we have  $1a = a$ ;
- 3 **graded commutative**: for all  $a, b \in A$  we have  $ab = (-1)^{|a||b|}ba$  and  $a^2 = 0$  when  $|a|$  is odd.

## Examples

- 1  $R$  is a DG  $R$ -algebra.
- 2 The Koszul complex  $K^R(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  with  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$  is a DG  $R$ -algebra.

## Definition

Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra. A **differential graded module over  $A$**  (DG  $A$ -module for short) is an  $R$ -complex  $M$  with a chain map  $\mu^M: A \otimes_R M \rightarrow M$  with  $am := \mu^M(a \otimes m)$  that is **unitary** and **associative**. The map  $\mu^M$  is the scalar product on  $M$ .

## Definition

Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra. A **differential graded module over  $A$**  (DG  $A$ -module for short) is an  $R$ -complex  $M$  with a chain map  $\mu^M: A \otimes_R M \rightarrow M$  with  $am := \mu^M(a \otimes m)$  that is **unitary** and **associative**. The map  $\mu^M$  is the scalar product on  $M$ .

## Example

If we consider  $R$  as a DG  $R$ -algebra, then the DG  $R$ -modules are exactly the  $R$ -complexes.

## Definition

Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra, and let  $P$  be a DG  $A$ -module.  $P$  is called **semiprojective** if  $\mathrm{Hom}_A(P, -)$  preserves surjective quasiisomorphisms.

## Definition

Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra, and let  $P$  be a DG  $A$ -module.  $P$  is called **semiprojective** if  $\mathrm{Hom}_A(P, -)$  preserves surjective quasiisomorphisms.

## Remark

*Every homologically finite DG  $A$ -module  $M$  has a semiprojective resolution  $P \xrightarrow{\simeq} M$ .*

## Definition

Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra, and let  $P$  be a DG  $A$ -module.  $P$  is called **semiprojective** if  $\mathrm{Hom}_A(P, -)$  preserves surjective quasiisomorphisms.

## Remark

*Every homologically finite DG  $A$ -module  $M$  has a semiprojective resolution  $P \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ .*

## Definition

Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra, and let  $M, N$  be DG  $A$ -modules. Given a semiprojective resolution  $P \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ , we set  $\mathrm{Ext}_A^i(M, N) = H_{-i}(\mathrm{Hom}_A(P, N))$  for each integer  $i$ .

## Example

Let  $R = k[[x]]$  where  $k$  is a field. Then we have the following exact sequence of  $R$ -complexes and chain maps:

## Example

Let  $R = k[[x]]$  where  $k$  is a field. Then we have the following exact sequence of  $R$ -complexes and chain maps:

$$0 \longrightarrow \underline{R} \xrightarrow{x \cdot} \underline{R} \longrightarrow \underline{k} \longrightarrow 0$$

## Example

Let  $R = k[[x]]$  where  $k$  is a field. Then we have the following exact sequence of  $R$ -complexes and chain maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{R} & \xrightarrow{x \cdot} & \underline{R} & \longrightarrow & \underline{k} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & R & \xrightarrow{x \cdot} & R & \longrightarrow & k \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow 1 \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & R & \xrightarrow{x \cdot} & R & \longrightarrow & k \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

## Example

Let  $R = k[[x]]$  where  $k$  is a field. Then we have the following exact sequence of  $R$ -complexes and chain maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{R} & \xrightarrow{x \cdot} & \underline{R} & \longrightarrow & \underline{k} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{R} & \xrightarrow{x \cdot} & \underline{R} & \longrightarrow & \underline{k} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow 1 \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{R} & \xrightarrow{x \cdot} & \underline{R} & \longrightarrow & \underline{k} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

Now we have  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\underline{k}, \underline{R}) = 0$ ,

## Example

Let  $R = k[[x]]$  where  $k$  is a field. Then we have the following exact sequence of  $R$ -complexes and chain maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{R} & \xrightarrow{x \cdot} & \underline{R} & \longrightarrow & \underline{k} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{R} & \xrightarrow{x \cdot} & \underline{R} & \longrightarrow & \underline{k} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow 1 \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \underline{R} & \xrightarrow{x \cdot} & \underline{R} & \longrightarrow & \underline{k} \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

Now we have  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\underline{k}, \underline{R}) = 0$ , but  $\text{YExt}_R^1(\underline{k}, \underline{R}) \neq 0$ .

## Theorem

Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra, and let  $P$  be a **semiprojective** DG  $A$ -module. Then for each DG  $A$ -module  $N$  we have

$$\mathrm{YExt}_A^1(P, N) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_A^1(P, N).$$

## Theorem

Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra, and let  $P$  be a **semiprojective** DG  $A$ -module. Then for each DG  $A$ -module  $N$  we have

$$\mathrm{YExt}_A^1(P, N) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_A^1(P, N).$$

**Sketch of Proof.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathrm{YExt}_A^1(P, N)$  be represented by the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow X \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$ . This gives a graded split exact sequence of  $A^{\natural}$ -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow N^{\natural} \rightarrow X^{\natural} \rightarrow P^{\natural} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence,  $\alpha$  is isomorphic to a degreewise split sequence of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 \longrightarrow & N_i & \longrightarrow & N_i \oplus P_i & \longrightarrow & P_i & \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow \partial_i^N & & \downarrow \begin{bmatrix} \partial_i^N & \lambda_i \\ 0 & \partial_i^P \end{bmatrix} & & \downarrow \partial_i^P & \\
 0 \longrightarrow & N_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & N_{i-1} \oplus P_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & P_{i-1} & \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & 
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 \longrightarrow & N_i & \longrightarrow & N_i \oplus P_i & \longrightarrow & P_i & \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow \partial_i^N & & \downarrow \begin{bmatrix} \partial_i^N & \lambda_i \\ 0 & \partial_i^P \end{bmatrix} & & \downarrow \partial_i^P & \\
 0 \longrightarrow & N_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & N_{i-1} \oplus P_{i-1} & \longrightarrow & P_{i-1} & \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & 
 \end{array}$$

Define the isomorphism by  $[\alpha] \mapsto [(\lambda_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}]$ .

## Theorem

Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra, and let  $M, N$  be DG  $A$ -modules where  $H_i(M) = 0$  for all  $i > n$  and

$$N = 0 \longrightarrow N_n \longrightarrow N_{n-1} \longrightarrow N_{n-2} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

for an integer  $n$ . Set

$$t_n M = 0 \longrightarrow \frac{M_n}{\text{Im } \partial_{n+1}^M} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_n^M} M_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}^M} M_{n-2} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Then the natural map

$$\text{YExt}_A^1(t_n M, N) \xrightarrow{\psi} \text{YExt}_A^1(M, N)$$

is one-to-one.

**Sketch of Proof.** Let  $\alpha \in \text{YExt}_A^1(t_n M, N)$  be represented by the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow X \rightarrow t_n M \rightarrow 0$ . Consider the pull-back diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \beta : & 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X} & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0 . \\
 & & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \\
 \alpha : & 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & t_n M & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

The  $\Psi$  is defined by the formula  $\Psi([\alpha]) = [\beta]$ . It can be shown that  $t_n \tilde{X} \cong X$  and we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \beta: & & 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X} & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & \searrow & & \swarrow & & \swarrow & & \searrow \\
 & & & & & = & & \cong & & \cong & \\
 t_n\beta: & & 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & t_n\tilde{X} & \longrightarrow & t_nM & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & \searrow & & \swarrow & & \swarrow & & \searrow \\
 \alpha: & & & & & & 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & t_nM & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

Hence, if  $\beta$  is split, then  $\alpha$  is split. Therefore,  $\Psi$  is 1-1.

## Corollary

*Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra, and let  $C$  be a semiprojective semidualizing DG  $A$ -module. Then  $\text{YExt}_A^1(t_n C, t_n C) = 0$ .*