

**The bi-graded structure of Symmetric Algebras  
with applications to Rees rings**

AMS meeting at the University of Nebraska  
October, 2011

Andy Kustin  
University of South Carolina

This talk is about joint work with Claudia Polini and Bernd Ulrich.

## The Data:

- $k$  is a field,
- $R = k[x, y]$ ,
- $I$  is a height 2 ideal of  $R$  minimally generated by 3 forms,  $g_1, g_2, g_3$  of the same positive degree  $d$ ,
- $\varphi$  is a homogeneous Hilbert-Burch matrix for  $[g_1, g_2, g_3]$ . (So,  $\varphi$  is a  $3 \times 2$  matrix, the columns of  $\varphi$  generate the kernel of  $[g_1, g_2, g_3]$  and the signed  $2 \times 2$  minors of  $\varphi$  are equal to a unit times  $[g_1, g_2, g_3]$ .)
- the entries of  $\varphi$  in column  $j$  have degree  $d_j$  with  $d_1 \leq d_2$  and  $d_1 + d_2 = d$ .

### The objects of interest:

- the symmetric algebra of  $I$ :

$$\mathrm{Sym}(I) = \frac{R[T_1, T_2, T_3]}{I_1([T_1, T_2, T_3]\phi)},$$

- the Rees algebra of  $I$ :

$$\mathcal{R}(I) = R \oplus I \oplus I^2 \oplus I^3 \oplus \cdots = R[It],$$

- and especially,

$$\mathcal{A} = \ker \left( \mathrm{Sym}(I) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(I) \right).$$

The ideal  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathrm{Sym}(I)$  is the **defining ideal of Rees algebra of  $I$** .

### The geometric significance of $\mathcal{A}$ :

- The data  $g_1, g_2, g_3$  gives rise to a morphism  $\Psi : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ , with

$$\Psi(q) = [g_1(q) : g_2(q) : g_3(q)],$$

for all  $q \in \mathbb{P}^1$ .

- The image of  $\Psi$  is a plane curve  $\mathcal{C}$  of degree  $d^\dagger$ .
- One way to learn about the singularities of  $\mathcal{C}$  is to study

the graph of  $\Psi = \Gamma = \{(q, \Psi(q)) \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \mid q \in \mathbb{P}^1\}$ .

- Notice that  $\Gamma = \text{Bi-Proj } \mathcal{R}(I)^\ddagger$ ; so,  $\mathcal{A} = I(\Gamma)\text{Sym}(I)$ .
- On this slide  $k$  is algebraically closed $^\ddagger$  and  $\Psi$  is birational $^\dagger$  onto its image.

The approach of looking at  $\Gamma$  (or  $\mathcal{A}$ ) to learn about the singularities on  $\mathcal{C}$  bears fruit:

- See [Cox,\_\_,Polini,Ulrich] “A study of singularities on rational curves via syzygies”, available: now on the arXiv, eventually in the Memoirs.
- Much of [CKPU] is about parameterized plane curves  $\mathcal{C}$  of even degree  $d$  with a singularity of multiplicity  $= d/2$ .
- Today I talk about the **algebra** that corresponds to parameterized plane curves  $\mathcal{C}$  with a singularity of multiplicity  $> d/2$ . (The parity of  $d$  is not longer relevant.)
- That is, I insist that  $d_1 < d_2$  and that  $\wp$  has a generalized zero in col. 1.
- See the “General Lemma” in [CKPU] or Song-Chen-Goldman (Computer Aided Geometric Design) for the translation from Geometry to Algebra.

## “The bi-graded structure of Symmetric Algebras:”

- The symmetric algebra  $\text{Sym}(I) = \frac{R[T_1, T_2, T_3]}{(f_1, f_2)}$ , with  $[f_1, f_2] = [T_1, T_2, T_3]\phi$ , is a **bi-graded complete intersection**.
- Recall  $R = k[x, y]$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y)R$  the homogeneous maximal ideal of  $R$ .
- Let  $S = k[T_1, T_2, T_3]$  and  $B = R \otimes_k S = k[x, y, T_1, T_2, T_3]$ .
- Give  $x$  and  $y$  degree  $(1, 0)$  and each  $T_i$  degree  $(0, 1)$ .
- The  $\text{Sym}(I)$ -ideal  $\mathcal{A}$  is readily seen to equal the  $\text{Sym}(I)$ -ideal

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(\text{Sym}(I)) = 0 :_{\text{Sym}(I)} \mathfrak{m}^{\infty}.$$

The ultimate goal is:

- to describe  $B$ -structure of  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- But I don't know that (unless  $d_1 = 2$ ).
- So instead I will tell you the structure of  $\mathcal{A}_{(\geq d_1 - 1, *)}$
- first as an  $S$ -module, (This is called **Theorem**.)
- then as a  $B$ -module. (This is called **Corollary**.)

Recall:  $S = k[T_1, T_2, T_3]$ ,  $B = k[x, y, T_1, T_2, T_3]$ ,  $\deg x = \deg y = (1, 0)$ ,  
 $\deg T_i = (0, 1)$ .

## Theorem [KPU]

If  $d_1 < d_2$  and  $\wp$  has a generalized zero in column 1, then

$\mathcal{A}_{(\geq d_1-1,*)}$  is a free  $S$ -module. Furthermore,

1. If  $d_1 - 1 \leq i \leq d_2 - 1$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_{(i,*)} \simeq \bigoplus_{\ell=1}^{d_1} S(-i, -a_\ell)$ , where

$$\left\lfloor \frac{d + d_1 - 1 - i}{d_1} \right\rfloor = a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_{d_1} = \left\lceil \frac{d + d_1 - 1 - i}{d_1} \right\rceil \quad \text{and}$$

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{d_1} a_\ell = d + d_1 - 1 - i.$$

2. If  $d_2 - 1 \leq i \leq d - 2$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_{(i,*)} \simeq S(-i, -2)^{d-1-i}$ .
3. If  $d - 1 \leq i$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_{(i,*)} = 0$ .



The following table records the  $S$ -module structure of:

$$\mathcal{A}_{(\geq d_1-1,*)} \simeq \bigoplus S(-(i,j))^{n_{i,j}}.$$

So, the minimal homogeneous basis for the free  $S$ -module  $\mathcal{A}_{(i,j)}$  has  $n_{i,j}$  generators of bi-degree  $(i,j)$ .

$\lceil \frac{d}{d_1} \rceil$	$r$	...										
$\lfloor \frac{d}{d_1} \rfloor$	$d_1 - r$	...										
$\vdots$												
$\lfloor \frac{d}{d_1} \rfloor - \lambda + 1$		...	$d_1$	$d_1 - 1$	$d_1 - 2$	...						
$\lfloor \frac{d}{d_1} \rfloor - \lambda$				1	2	...						
$\vdots$												
3						...	1					
2						...	$d_1 - 1$	$d_1$	$d_1 - 1$	$d_1 - 2$	...	1
	$d_1 - 1$	...	$\lambda d_1 + r - 1$	$\lambda d_1 + r$	$\lambda d_1 + r + 1$	...	$d_2 - 2$	$d_2 - 1$	$d_2$	$d_2 + 1$	...	$d - 2$

**The generator degrees for the free  $S$ -module  $\mathcal{A}_{(\geq d_1 - 1, *)}$ .**

- Define  $r$  by  $d = d_1 \lfloor \frac{d}{d_1} \rfloor + r$ , with  $0 \leq r \leq d_1 - 1$ .
- The position  $(i, j) = (\lambda d_1 + r, \lfloor \frac{d}{d_1} \rfloor - \lambda)$  appears in the table if and only if  $1 \leq \lambda \leq \lfloor \frac{d}{d_1} \rfloor - 2$ . (These are the “exterior corner points”.)

We describe, in words, the transition of the generator degrees to  $\mathcal{A}_{i-1}$  from  $\mathcal{A}_i$ , beginning at the right side of the table.

- The module  $\mathcal{A}_{(i,*)} = 0$  for  $d - 1 \leq i$ .
- If  $d_2 - 1 \leq i \leq d - 2$ , then the generators of  $\mathcal{A}_{(i,*)}$  are concentrated in the unique degree 2 and rank  $\mathcal{A}_{(i-1,*)}$  is rank  $\mathcal{A}_{(i,*)} + 1$ . The actual generators of  $\mathcal{A}_{(\geq d_2-1,*)}$  can be explicitly described by the technique of linkage.
- In the range  $d_1 - 1 \leq i \leq d_2 - 1$ , the rank of  $\mathcal{A}_{(i,*)}$  remains constant at  $d_1$  and the generators of  $\mathcal{A}_{(i,*)}$  live in two degrees, or, occasionally, only one degree. As one looks from right to left, one free rank one summand of **lowest** shift in  $\mathcal{A}_{(i,*)}$  is replaced by a free rank one summand with shift **one** higher in  $\mathcal{A}_{(i-1,*)}$ .

The  $B$  module structure of  $\mathcal{A}_{(\geq d_1-1,*)}$ :

Corollary [KPU]

As a  $B$ -module,  $\mathcal{A}_{(\geq d_1-1,*)}$  is minimally generated by the union

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{a } k\text{-basis for } \mathcal{A}_{(d_1-1, \lceil \frac{d}{d_1} \rceil)} \\ \cup \text{ a } k\text{-basis for } \mathcal{A}_{(d_1-1, \lfloor \frac{d}{d_1} \rfloor)} \\ \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq \lambda \leq \lfloor \frac{d}{d_1} \rfloor - 2} \text{ a } k\text{-basis for } \mathcal{A}_{(\lambda d_1 + r, \lfloor \frac{d}{d_1} \rfloor - \lambda)} \end{array} \right.$$

### The $B$ module structure of $\mathcal{A}_{(\geq d_1-1,*)}$ , Part 2:

- One direction of the proof is obvious. The other direction is some amusing linear algebra over  $k$  and  $S$ . (Remember, we do not know formulas for any of these basis elements!)
- **Conjecture:** We conjecture that if  $j < d_1 - 1$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_{(i,j)} = 0$  for  $i < \lfloor \frac{d}{d_1} \rfloor$ . In particular, we conjecture that the basis elements from “the exterior corner points” are part of a minimal generating set for the  $B$ -module  $\mathcal{A}$ .

$\lceil \frac{d}{d_1} \rceil$	<b>r</b>	...										
$\lfloor \frac{d}{d_1} \rfloor$	<b>d<sub>1</sub>-r</b>	...										
$\vdots$												
$\lfloor \frac{d}{d_1} \rfloor - \lambda + 1$		...	$d_1$	$d_1 - 1$	$d_1 - 2$	...						
$\lfloor \frac{d}{d_1} \rfloor - \lambda$				<b>1</b>	2	...						
$\vdots$												
3						...	1					
2						...	$d_1 - 1$	$d_1$	$d_1 - 1$	$d_1 - 2$	...	1
	$d_1 - 1$	...	$\lambda d_1 + r - 1$	$\lambda d_1 + r$	$\lambda d_1 + r + 1$	...	$d_2 - 2$	$d_2 - 1$	$d_2$	$d_2 + 1$	...	$d - 2$

**The generator degrees for the free  $S$ -module  $\mathcal{A}_{(\geq d_1 - 1, *)}$ .**

- Define  $r$  by  $d = d_1 \lfloor \frac{d}{d_1} \rfloor + r$ , with  $0 \leq r \leq d_1 - 1$ .
- The position  $(i, j) = (\lambda d_1 + r, \lfloor \frac{d}{d_1} \rfloor - \lambda)$  appears in the table if and only if  $1 \leq \lambda \leq \lfloor \frac{d}{d_1} \rfloor - 2$ . (These are the “exterior corner points”.)

### Some ideas from the proof of Theorem:

- The mathematics that sets the project in motion is due to Jouanolou [Formes d’inertie et résultant: un formulaire, Adv. Math. 126 (1997), 119–250] who proved that the multiplication map

$$\mathcal{A}_{(i,*)} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(I)_{(d-2-i,*)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{(d-2,*)} \simeq S(-2) \quad (*)$$

gives a perfect pairing of  $S$ -modules.

- The “Sylvester form” of bi-degree  $(d-2, 2)$  is a basis for the free  $S$ -module  $A_{(d-2,*)}$ . (Wolmer Vasconcelos would call this form the “Jacobian dual of  $\varphi$ ”.)
- Jouanolou uses “Morley forms” to exhibit dual bases for the modules of  $(*)$ .
- We met  $(*)$  in a paper Busé posted on the arXiv on December 17, 2007.

## Some ideas from the proof of Theorem, Part II:

- Almost immediately after reading Busé's paper we understood  $\mathcal{A}$  when  $d_1 = 2$  or when  $d_1 = d_2 = 3$ .
- The perfect pairing

$$\mathcal{A}_{(i,*)} \otimes \mathrm{Sym}(I)_{(d-2-i,*)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{(d-2,*)} \simeq S(-2) \quad (*)$$

shows that the  $S$ -module structure of  $\mathcal{A}_{(i,*)}$  is completely determined by the  $S$ -module structure of  $\mathrm{Sym}(I)_{(d-2-i,*)}$ . The symmetric algebra  $\mathrm{Sym}(I)$  is a complete intersection defined by the regular sequence  $f_1, f_2$

$$(\text{Recall: } [f_1, f_2] = [T_1, T_2, T_3]\varphi);$$

so, the  $S$ -module structure of  $\mathrm{Sym}(I)_{d-2-i}$  depends on the relationship between  $d - 2 - i$ ,  $d_1$ , and  $d_2$ .



### Some ideas from the proof of Theorem, Part III:

- The part of  $\text{Sym}(I)$  that corresponds to  $\mathcal{A}_{\geq d_1-1}$ , under the duality of (\*), is  $\text{Sym}(I)_{\leq d_2-1}$ . There is no contribution from  $f_2$  to the  $S$ -module  $\text{Sym}(I)_{\leq d_2-1}$  in the bi-homogeneous  $B$ -resolution of  $\text{Sym}(I)$ . So, basically, **we may ignore  $f_2$** .
- On the other hand, the hypothesis that the first column of  $\varphi$  has a generalized zero allows us to make the critical calculation over a subring  $U$  of  $S$ , where  $U$  is a polynomial ring in **two variables**.
- In the proof of Theorem we **decompose** various bi-graded complexes over  $R \otimes_k U$  into their  $R$ -graded components and their  $U$ -graded components.

### Some ideas from the proof of Theorem, Part IV:

- Ultimately,

the critical calculation is to produce a **lower bound for the degrees of the syzygies** of a  $U$ -module homomorphism. (★)

- Once (★) is carried out, then **Hilbert series tricks** lead to a complete description of all of the syzygy degrees of the relevant modules.
- During the process of proving this result we were inspired by a classification of **matrices whose entries are linear forms from  $U$**  due to Weierstrass (in the non-singular case) and Kronecker (in the general case); see Gantmacher “Matrix Theory”, Chapter XII.