Take Home Midterm Exam MATH 939, Due Nov 14

1. Let $f: I \to I$ be a Markovian map on I = [0, 1] with partition $I = \bigcup_{i=1}^n I_i$ with $n \ge 2$. Prove the following identities.

a.
$$|f^{-1}(I_k) \cap I_i| |f^{-1}(I_j) \cap I_k| = |f^{-1}(f^{-1}(I_j) \cap I_k) \cap I_i| |I_k|$$
.

b.
$$\frac{|f^{-2}(I_j) \cap I_i|}{|I_i|} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|f^{-1}(I_k) \cap I_i|}{|I_i|} \frac{|f^{-1}(I_j) \cap I_k|}{|I_k|}$$

(Hint: Use the fact that f is linear on each I_j with slope s_j , and $|f(b) - f(a)| = |s_j||b - a|$ if $[a, b] \subset I_j$.)

Solution: Notice first this general result. Let $J_k \subset I_k$ be any subinterval of any $I_k, 1 \le k \le n$. Then $f^{-1}(J_k) \cap I_i$ is a subinterval of I_i , empty interval included. Because f is linear on I_i with $|s_i| = m_i > 0$ being the absolute value of its slope on I_i , we must have

$$|f^{-1}(J_k) \cap I_i| = \frac{|J_k \cap f(I_i)|}{m_i}.$$

Either $J_k \cap f(I_i) = \emptyset$, in which case

$$|f^{-1}(J_k) \cap I_i| = |J_k \cap f(I_i)|/m_i = 0,$$

or $J_k \cap f(I_i) \neq \emptyset$, in which case $J_k \cap f(I_i) = J_k$ because is Markovian as $I_k \subset f(I_i)$, and

$$|f^{-1}(J_k) \cap I_i| = |J_k|/m_i.$$

Now we prove (a). Two cases are considered. For the first case when either $|f^{-1}(I_k) \cap I_i| = 0$ or $|f^{-1}(I_j) \cap I_k| = 0$, equivalent to $I_k \cap f(I_i) = \emptyset$ or $I_j \cap f(I_k) = \emptyset$, we must have

$$(f^{-1}(I_j) \cap I_k) \cap I_i \subset f^{-1}(I_k) \cap I_i = \emptyset$$
 and $|f^{-1}(f^{-1}(I_j) \cap I_k) \cap I_i| = 0$

or

$$f^{-1}(f^{-1}(I_i) \cap I_k) \cap I_i \subset f^{-1}(\emptyset) \cap I_i$$
 and $|f^{-1}(f^{-1}(I_i) \cap I_k) \cap I_i| = 0$.

For the second case when $|f^{-1}(I_k) \cap I_i| \neq 0$ and $|f^{-1}(I_j) \cap I_k| \neq 0$, we have from the general result

$$\begin{split} |f^{-1}(f^{-1}(I_j) \cap I_k) \cap I_i| \, |I_k| &= |f^{-1}(J_k) \cap I_i| \, |I_k| \\ &= \frac{|J_k|}{m_i} \, |I_k| \\ &= |f^{-1}(I_j) \cap I_k| \frac{|I_k|}{m_i} \\ &= \frac{|I_j|}{m_k} \frac{|I_k|}{m_i} \\ &= |f^{-1}(I_j) \cap I_k| \, |f^{-1}(I_k) \cap I_i|. \end{split}$$

(b) Because $\{I_k : 1 \le k \le n\}$ is a Markovian partition of I, we have

$$\frac{|f^{-2}(I_j) \cap I_i|}{|I_i|} = \frac{|f^{-1}(f^{-1}(I_j) \cap [\cup_{k=1}^n I_k]) \cap I_i|}{I_i}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|f^{-1}(f^{-1}(I_j) \cap I_k) \cap I_i|}{I_i}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|f^{-1}(I_k) \cap I_i|}{|I_i|} \frac{|f^{-1}(I_j) \cap I_k|}{|I_k|}.$$

2. Let $P=[p_{ij}]$ be a probability transition matrix which is irreducible and transitive with all positive entries for P^k for some $k \geq 1$. Complete the proof of the Perron-Frobenius Theorem that $\lim_{t\to\infty} P^{kt} \to W$ implies $\lim_{t\to\infty} P^t \to W$.

Solution: Because $WP=W, WP^\ell=W$ for all $\ell=0,1,2,;k-1$. Thus, every sequence of the k subsequences $P^{kt+\ell}, 0 \le \ell \le k-1$ converges to the same limit

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} P^{kt+\ell} = [\lim_{t \to \infty} P^{kt}]P^{\ell} = WP^{\ell} = W,$$

implying $P^k \to W$ as $k \to \infty$.

3. Let X be a Banach space with norm $|\cdot|$. Let $T:X\to X$ be a linear operator (with the operator norm $|T|=\sup_{|x|<1}T(x)$). If $|T|\le \theta<1$, then the linear operator I-T is invertible, and the inverse is

$$[I-T]^{-1} = I + T + T^2 + \dots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n$$

with bound

$$|[I-T]^{-1}| \le \frac{1}{1-\theta}.$$

Solution: First $A == \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n$ converges uniformly because it is dominated by $\sum \theta^n$ with $\theta < 1$, and $|A| \leq \frac{1}{1-\theta}$. Second, for each partial sum $A_n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T^i$, we have

$$[I-T]A_n = [I-T][I+T+T^2+\cdots+T^n] = I-T^{n+1}.$$

Take limit as $n \to \infty$ to get [I - T]A = I. Similarly, we can have A[I - T] = I, showing $[I - T]^{-1} = A$ as required.

4. Let X,Y be Banach spaces, and denote by L(X,X) the Banach space of all bounded linear operators from X to X with the operator norm defined as in Problem 3 above. Let $T:Y\to L(X,X)$. Assume there is a constant $\theta<1$ so that $\sup_{y\in Y}|T(y)|\leq \theta<1$, and T is continuously differentiable in y, i.e., for $\Delta=T(y+h)-T(y)-DT(y)h$, $|\Delta|=o(|h|)$, and $DT(y)\in L(Y,L(X,X))$ is continuous. Then $[I-T(y)]^{-1}$ is also continuously differentiable in y. Describe how the result can be generalized to the case that T(y) is C^k or analytic in y. (Hint: Show first the power rule $DT^n(y)h=[DT(y)h]T^{n-1}(y)+T(y)[DT(y)h]T^{n-2}(y)+\cdots+T^{n-1}(y)[DT(y)h]$ for $y,h\in Y$. Also, you can cite without proof any reasonable result on uniformly convergent series.)

Solution: For $y, h \in Y$, we have

$$\begin{split} \|\Delta_n\| &:= \|T(y+h)^n - T(y)^n - DT^n(y)h\| \\ &= \|[(T(y+h) - T(y) - DT(y)h)T(y+h)^{n-1} \\ &+ T(y)T(y+h)^{n-1} + (DT(y)h)[T(y+h)^{n-1} - T(y)^{n-1}] - T(y)^n \\ &- (T(y)[DT(y)h]T^{n-2}(y) + T(y)^2[DT(y)h]T^{n-3}(y) + \dots + T^{n-1}(y)[DT(y)h]\| \\ &\leq \|\Delta\|\|T(y+h)^{n-1}\| + \|DT(y)h\|\|T(y+h)^{n-1} - T(y)^{n-1}\| \\ &+ \|T(y)\|\|T(y+h)^{n-1} - T(y)^{n-1} - ([DT(y)h]T^{n-2}(y) + \dots + T^{n-2}(y)[DT(y)h])\| \end{split}$$

Notice that the first two terms are $o(\|h\|)$. For the third term, we can apply the same technique recursively to show $\|\Delta_n\|$ is $o(\|h\|)$. So $T(y)^n$ is differentiable with the given derivative. Moreover, the derivative is bounded by

$$||DT^{n}(y)|| \le n||DT||||T||^{n-1} \le n\theta^{n-1}||DT||.$$

Hence, the infinite series of the derivatives is dominated by $\sum n\theta^{n-1}\|DT\|$ and therefore converges to the derivative of the sum, i.e., $[I-T(y)]^{-1}$ is differentiable in y. This argument can be used to show $[I-T(y)]^{-1}$ is C^k if T(y) is analytic, so is $[I-T(y)]^{-1}$ because it is differentiable in the complex variable y.

5. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a C^k function with $k \geq 1$. Assume $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a hyperbolic fixed point of $f(\cdot, y_0)$ for some y_0 . Prove that x_0 persists in the sense that there is a small neighborhood V of y_0 and U of x_0 and a C^k function $\phi: V \to U$ so that f(x,y) = x in $U \times V$ iff $x = \phi(y)$.

Solution: Use Implicit Function Theorem for F(x,y) = x - f(x,y), and use the fact that $D_x F(x_0,y_0) = I - D_x f(x_0,y_0)$ is nonsingular, thus invertible, because $\lambda = 1$ is not an eigenvalue of $D_x f(x_0,y_0)$.