Ex: max: $z = 3x_1 + 5x_2$

sub. to $x_1 \le 4$

$2x_2 \le 12$

$3x_1 + 2x_2 \le 18$

$x_1 \ge 0,\ x_2 \ge 0.$

$\Leftrightarrow$ Aug. LP

max. $z = 3x_1 + 5x_2$

$x_1 + x_3 = 4$

$2x_2 + x_4 = 12$

$3x_1 + 2x_2 + x_5 = 18$

$x_i \ge 0$

$\Leftrightarrow$ $z - 3x_1 - 5x_2 + 0x_3 + 0x_4 + 0x_5 = 0$

$x_1 + x_3 = 4$

$2x_2 + x_4 = 12$

$3x_1 + 2x_2 + x_5 = 18$

feasibility w/ $x_i \ge 0$ and max of z — obje.

$\Leftrightarrow$ By simplex method (in matrix or tabular form). Assume $b \ge 0$.

Basic variable	z	x_1	x_2 ← entering	x_3	x_4	x_5	: rht	Ratio Test
z	1	−(+3)	−(+5)	0	0	0	: 0	
x_3	0	1	0	1	0	0	: 4	$\frac{4}{0} = \infty$
leaving x_4	0	0	2	0	1	0	: 12	$\frac{12}{2} = 6$
x_5	0	3	2	0	0	1	: 18	$\frac{18}{2} = 9$

- From ratio test, $\min_i(b_i/a_{ij})$ w/ x_j entering, x_4 is leaving to become nonbasic, i.e. $x_4 = 0$ in the next step (FEF).
- The crossover entry is to become (PIV) a new leading one entry in the next step.

- Feasible echelon form.
  $\Rightarrow$ One BFP: $x = (0, 0, 4, 12, 18)$ w/ $z = 0$
- Optimal Test: If $z = z_0 = 0$ at BFP a local max. No since there are (positive coefficient) in z row.
- x_2 — entering variable to be a basic variable for the next FEF (feas. Edh. Form)
  The posible new sdn. value = smallest + value of b_i/a_{ij}

- Use row operation to ~~derive~~ derive the next FEF.
  - Multiple row 3 by 1/2 to get $\overset{new}{R_3}$
  - $(-2)\overset{new}{R_3} + R_4 \rightarrow$ new R_4.
  - $(+5)R_3 + R_1 \rightarrow$ new R_1

] $\Rightarrow$ new FEF.

enter

b.v.	z	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	rhs.	Ratio Test
z	1	-(+3)	0	0	-(5/2)	0	30	
x_3	0	1	0	1	0	0	4	4/1 = 4
x_2	0	0	1	0	1/2	0	6	6/0 = ∞
leave x_5	0	3	0	0	-1	1	6	6/3 = 2

- BFP: $x = (0, 6, 4, 0, 6)$ w/ $z = 30$
- Opt. Test. No ~~since~~ because of -(+3) coefficient.

$R_4/2 \rightarrow R_4$
$-R_4 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2$
$\text{old } R_4 + R_1 \rightarrow R_1$

	1	0	0	0	-(-3/2)	-(-1)	36.
x_3	0	0	0	0	1/3	-1/3	2
x_2	0	0	1	0	1/2	0	6
x_1	0	1	0	0	-1/3	1/3	2

BFP: $x = (2, 6, 2, 0, 0)$
$z = 36$, max.
opt. test. yes.