Dynamic Programming is about optimization on multi-staged (multi-layered) directed graphs. Let  $k = 1, \dots, n$  denote the kth stage (layer) of the graph, and  $s_k \in V_k$  denote the state (node/vertex) variable from the set,  $V_k$ , of the beginning states (nodes/vertices) of stage k, and similarly,  $s_{k+1} \in V_{k+1}$  denote the state (node/vertex) variable from the set,  $V_{k+1}$ , of the destination states (nodes/vertices) of stage k. Thus, by definition,  $V_{k+1}$  is the set of beginning states for the (k+1)th stage, and so on. All state/node/vertex sets,  $V_k$ , contain no more than M many elements each. Let  $p_{s_k s_{k+1}}^{(k)}$  denote the payoff or penalty from state  $s_k$  to state  $s_{k+1}$  that is represented as a weight over the directed edge/path from  $s_k$  to  $s_{k+1}$ . The superscript, (k), is necessary for stage k because it is often the case that the states of a node set  $V_i$  are coded by natural numbers shared by other node sets  $V_j$ . (The node set  $V_k$  and  $V_{k+1}$  together with the directed edges between them with nonzero weights  $p_{s_k s_{k+1}}^{(k)}$  make up a so-called directed bipartite graph.) An objective function is a function of directed paths of the graph whose value is defined by the payoff or penalty weights/parameters  $p_{ij}^{(k)}$ . Let opt denote either min or max operation on the objective function. The goal for such a dynamic programming problem is to determine a path from  $V_1$  to  $V_{n+1}$  such that the objective function value along the path is optimal and the corresponding path is therefore the optimal solution to the problem. For this notes we will assume the objective function is a summation of the edge weight  $p_{ij}^{(k)}$  along (directed) paths from  $V_1$  to  $V_{n+1}$ , but the method can be adapted to other objective functional forms, such as products of the weights which may represent some kind of likelihood in probability distributions, etc. Many practical problems can be modeled by such multi-layered directed graphs even though there are no literal paths or stages in them.

## Backward Iterative Method. Define

$$f_n(s_n, s_{n+1}) = p_{s_n s_{n+1}}^{(n)}$$
 for  $s_n \in V_n$  and  $s_{n+1} \in V_{n+1}$ .

For each  $s_n \in V_n$ , find

$$f_n^*(s_n) = \text{opt}_{s_{n+1} \in V_{n+1}} f_n(s_n, s_{n+1}).$$

This can be done since  $V_{n+1}$  is a finite set. (For most cases, the last state set,  $V_{n+1}$  is a singleton.) For  $1 \le k \le n-1$ , suppose  $f_{k+1}^*(s_{k+1})$  is found, which is the case for k=n-1. Define

$$f_k(s_k, s_{k+1}) = p_{s_k s_{k+1}}^{(k)} + f_{k+1}^*(s_{k+1})$$

which is the suboptimal objective value from state  $s_k \in V_k$  to  $s_{k+1} \in V_{k+1}$  and then onwards to  $V_{n+1}$ . Then, the kth stage optimal value from state  $s_k$  is defined inductively as

$$f_k^*(s_k) = \operatorname{opt}_{s_{k+1} \in V_{k+1}} f_k(s_k, s_{k+1}) = \operatorname{opt}_{s_{k+1} \in V_{k+1}} [p_{s_k s_{k+1}}^{(k)} + f_{k+1}^*(s_{k+1})] = f_k(s_k, s_{k+1}^*) \text{ for some } s_{k+1}^* \in V_{k+1}$$

which must exist since  $V_{k+1}$  is a finite set. That is, this is an optimization over all possible destination states of  $V_{k+1}$  for the suboptimal function  $f_k$ .

Finally, for k=1, the optimal solution is found so that for  $s_1^* \in V_1$ , which is a singleton set for many cases,

$$f_1^*(s_1^*) = \operatorname{opt}_{s_1 \in V_1} f_1^*(s_1).$$

Now, starting from  $s_1^*$  we can find the corresponding  $s_2^*$  from  $f_1^*(s_1^*) = f_1(s_1^*, s_2^*)$ . From  $s_2^*$  we can find  $s_3^*$  from  $f_2^*(s_2^*) = f_2(s_2^*, s_3^*)$ , and so on and so forth, until we find the last state  $s_{n+1}^*$ . That is,  $s^* = s_1^* \to s_2^* \to \cdots \to s_n^* \to s_{n+1}^*$  is the optimal path, with the optimal value  $f_1^*(s_1^*)$ .

In terms of a programming pseudo code, we have the following

**Forward Iterative Method.** The method has its symmetrical version in forward iteration. A programming pseudo code looks like this.