

A New Proof of Mercer's Extension Theorem

Vrej Zarikian (USNA)

(joint with Jan Cameron and David Pitts)

AMS Central Section Meeting, UNL, 16 October 2011

Mercer's Extension Theorem

Theorem (Mercer '91)

Let $\mathcal{A}_i \subseteq (\mathcal{M}_i, \mathcal{D}_i)$ be Cartan bimodule algebras and $\theta : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ be a Cartan bimodule isomorphism. Then there exists a \star -isomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ such that $\pi|_{\mathcal{A}_1} = \theta$.

Normalizers

Let \mathcal{C} be a unital C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be a unital abelian C^* -subalgebra. Then

- $UN(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) = \{u \in U(\mathcal{C}) : u\mathcal{D}u^* = \mathcal{D}\}$
- $GN(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) = \{v \in \mathcal{C} : v \text{ is a partial isometry and } v\mathcal{D}v^*, v^*\mathcal{D}v \subseteq \mathcal{D}\}$
- $N(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) = \{x \in \mathcal{C} : x\mathcal{D}x^*, x^*\mathcal{D}x \subseteq \mathcal{D}\}$

Normalizers

Let \mathcal{C} be a unital C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be a unital abelian C^* -subalgebra. Then

- $UN(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) = \{u \in U(\mathcal{C}) : u\mathcal{D}u^* = \mathcal{D}\}$
- $GN(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) = \{v \in \mathcal{C} : v \text{ is a partial isometry and } v\mathcal{D}v^*, v^*\mathcal{D}v \subseteq \mathcal{D}\}$
- $N(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) = \{x \in \mathcal{C} : x\mathcal{D}x^*, x^*\mathcal{D}x \subseteq \mathcal{D}\}$

Note:

- $UN(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is a group
- $GN(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ and $N(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ are \star -semigroups
- $U(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq UN(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \subseteq GN(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \subseteq N(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$

Cartan Subalgebras

Definition (Cartan subalgebra)

Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra. We say that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is a **Cartan subalgebra** if the following conditions hold:

- 1 \mathcal{D} is a MASA in \mathcal{M} .
- 2 $\overline{\text{span}}^\sigma(UN(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D})) = \mathcal{M}$.
- 3 There exists a normal faithful conditional expectation $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$.

Cartan Subalgebras

Definition (Cartan subalgebra)

Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra. We say that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is a **Cartan subalgebra** if the following conditions hold:

- 1 \mathcal{D} is a MASA in \mathcal{M} .
- 2 $\overline{\text{span}}^\sigma(UN(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D})) = \mathcal{M}$.
- 3 There exists a normal faithful conditional expectation $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$.

Example

$D_n(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a Cartan subalgebra. Indeed,

$$UN(M_n(\mathbb{C}), D_n(\mathbb{C})) = \{PV : P \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \text{ permutation matrix, } V \in D_n(\mathbb{T})\}.$$

The Feldman-Moore Construction ('77)

Inputs:

- X , a standard Borel space
- R , a countable Borel equivalence relation on X
- μ , a probability measure on X which is quasi-invariant for R
- s , a normalized 2-cocycle on R

The Feldman-Moore Construction ('77)

Inputs:

- X , a standard Borel space
- R , a countable Borel equivalence relation on X
- μ , a probability measure on X which is quasi-invariant for R
- s , a normalized 2-cocycle on R

Output:

- ν , right counting measure on R relative to μ
- $L^2(R, \nu)$, a separable Hilbert space
- $\mathbf{M}(R, s) \subseteq B(L^2(R, \nu))$, a von Neumann algebra consisting of certain bounded Borel functions $T : R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ acting on $L^2(R, \nu)$ by twisted matrix multiplication:

$$T\xi(x, y) = \sum_{zRx} T(x, z)\xi(z, y)s(x, z, y), \quad \xi \in L^2(R, \nu), \quad (x, y) \in R$$

- $\mathbf{D}(R, s) = \{T \in \mathbf{M}(R, s) : T(x, y) = 0 \text{ if } x \neq y\}$, a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathbf{M}(R, s)$

The Feldman-Moore Representation Theorem

Theorem (Feldman, Moore '77)

Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra with separable predual and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ be a Cartan subalgebra. Then there exists X , R , μ , and s such that $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathbf{M}(R, s)$, with $\mathcal{D} \cong \mathbf{D}(R, s)$.

Cartan Bimodule Algebras

Definition (Cartan bimodule algebra)

Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra with separable predual and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ be a Cartan subalgebra. We say that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is a **Cartan bimodule algebra** if the following conditions hold:

- 1 \mathcal{A} is a σ -weakly closed (non-self-adjoint) subalgebra.
- 2 $W^*(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{M}$.

Cartan Bimodule Algebras

Definition (Cartan bimodule algebra)

Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra with separable predual and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ be a Cartan subalgebra. We say that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is a **Cartan bimodule algebra** if the following conditions hold:

- 1 \mathcal{A} is a σ -weakly closed (non-self-adjoint) subalgebra.
- 2 $W^*(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{M}$.

Example

$$D_4(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & a_{14} \\ 0 & a_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{32} & a_{33} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{44} \end{bmatrix} : a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \subseteq M_4(\mathbb{C})$$

is a Cartan bimodule algebra.

The Spectral Theorem for Bimodules

Theorem (Muhly, Saito, Solel '88)

Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D})$ be a Cartan bimodule algebra. Then there exists a unique Borel set $\Gamma(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq R$ such that

$$\mathcal{A} \cong \{T \in \mathbf{M}(R, s) : T(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } (x, y) \notin \Gamma(\mathcal{A})\}.$$

In fact, $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ is a reflexive and transitive relation which generates R .

The Spectral Theorem for Bimodules

Theorem (Muhly, Saito, Solel '88)

Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D})$ be a Cartan bimodule algebra. Then there exists a unique Borel set $\Gamma(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq R$ such that

$$\mathcal{A} \cong \{T \in \mathbf{M}(R, s) : T(x, y) = 0 \text{ for all } (x, y) \notin \Gamma(\mathcal{A})\}.$$

In fact, $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ is a reflexive and transitive relation which generates R .

Corollary (abundance of normalizers)

Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq (\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{D})$ be a Cartan bimodule algebra. Then

$$\overline{\text{span}}^\sigma(GN(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D})) = \mathcal{A}.$$

Cartan Bimodule Isomorphisms

Definition (Cartan bimodule isomorphism)

Let $\mathcal{A}_i \subseteq (\mathcal{M}_i, \mathcal{D}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, be Cartan bimodule algebras. We say that $\theta : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ is a **Cartan bimodule isomorphism** if the following conditions hold:

- 1 θ is an isometric isomorphism.
- 2 $\theta(\mathcal{D}_1) = \mathcal{D}_2$.

Cartan Bimodule Isomorphisms

Definition (Cartan bimodule isomorphism)

Let $\mathcal{A}_i \subseteq (\mathcal{M}_i, \mathcal{D}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, be Cartan bimodule algebras. We say that $\theta : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ is a **Cartan bimodule isomorphism** if the following conditions hold:

- ① θ is an isometric isomorphism.
- ② $\theta(\mathcal{D}_1) = \mathcal{D}_2$.

Example

Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & a_{14} \\ 0 & a_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{32} & a_{33} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{44} \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & e^{i\alpha} a_{12} & 0 & e^{i\beta} a_{14} \\ 0 & a_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\gamma} a_{32} & a_{33} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{44} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a Cartan bimodule isomorphism.

Mercer's Representation Theorem

Theorem (Mercer '91)

Let $\mathcal{A}_i \subseteq (\mathcal{M}_i, \mathcal{D}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, be a Cartan bimodule algebras and let $\theta : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ be an Cartan bimodule isomorphism. Then there exists a Borel isomorphism $\tau : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ and a Borel function $m : \Gamma(\mathcal{A}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that the following conditions hold:

- 1 $(\tau \times \tau)(R_1) = R_2$.
- 2 $(\tau \times \tau)(\Gamma(\mathcal{A}_1)) = \Gamma(\mathcal{A}_2)$.
- 3 $\theta(T)(x, y) = m(x, y)T(\tau^{-1}(x), \tau^{-1}(y))$, $T \in \mathcal{A}_1$, $(x, y) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A}_2)$.

Mercer's Representation Theorem

Theorem (Mercer '91)

Let $\mathcal{A}_i \subseteq (\mathcal{M}_i, \mathcal{D}_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, be a Cartan bimodule algebras and let $\theta : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ be an Cartan bimodule isomorphism. Then there exists a Borel isomorphism $\tau : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ and a Borel function $m : \Gamma(\mathcal{A}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ such that the following conditions hold:

- ① $(\tau \times \tau)(R_1) = R_2$.
- ② $(\tau \times \tau)(\Gamma(\mathcal{A}_1)) = \Gamma(\mathcal{A}_2)$.
- ③ $\theta(T)(x, y) = m(x, y)T(\tau^{-1}(x), \tau^{-1}(y))$, $T \in \mathcal{A}_1$, $(x, y) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A}_2)$.

Example

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & e^{i\alpha} a_{12} & 0 & e^{i\beta} a_{14} \\ 0 & a_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\gamma} a_{32} & a_{33} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{44} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{i\alpha} & 0 & e^{i\beta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\gamma} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \bullet \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & a_{14} \\ 0 & a_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{32} & a_{33} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{44} \end{bmatrix}$$

Mercer's Extension Theorem

Theorem (Mercer '91)

Let $\mathcal{A}_i \subseteq (\mathcal{M}_i, \mathcal{D}_i)$ be Cartan bimodule algebras and $\theta : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ be a Cartan bimodule isomorphism. Then there exists a \ast -isomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ such that $\pi|_{\mathcal{A}_1} = \theta$.

Mercer's Extension Theorem

Theorem (Mercer '91)

Let $\mathcal{A}_i \subseteq (\mathcal{M}_i, \mathcal{D}_i)$ be Cartan bimodule algebras and $\theta : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ be a Cartan bimodule isomorphism. Then there exists a \star -isomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ such that $\pi|_{\mathcal{A}_1} = \theta$.

Proof.

Extend $m : \Gamma(\mathcal{A}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ in Mercer's Representation Theorem to $\bar{m} : R_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ in an appropriate way and define

$$\pi(T)(x, y) = \bar{m}(x, y)T(\tau^{-1}(x), \tau^{-1}(y)), \quad T \in \mathcal{M}_1, (x, y) \in R_2.$$



Mercer's Extension Theorem

Theorem (Mercer '91)

Let $\mathcal{A}_i \subseteq (\mathcal{M}_i, \mathcal{D}_i)$ be Cartan bimodule algebras and $\theta : \mathcal{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2$ be a Cartan bimodule isomorphism. Then there exists a \ast -isomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ such that $\pi|_{\mathcal{A}_1} = \theta$.

Proof.

Extend $m : \Gamma(\mathcal{A}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ in Mercer's Representation Theorem to $\bar{m} : R_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ in an appropriate way and define

$$\pi(T)(x, y) = \bar{m}(x, y)T(\tau^{-1}(x), \tau^{-1}(y)), \quad T \in \mathcal{M}_1, \quad (x, y) \in R_2.$$



Example

$$m = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{i\alpha} & 0 & e^{i\beta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\gamma} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \bar{m} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{i\alpha} & e^{i(\alpha-\gamma)} & e^{i\beta} \\ e^{-i\alpha} & 1 & e^{-i\gamma} & e^{-i(\alpha-\beta)} \\ e^{-i(\alpha-\gamma)} & e^{i\gamma} & 1 & e^{-i(\alpha-\beta-\gamma)} \\ e^{-i\beta} & e^{i(\alpha-\beta)} & e^{i(\alpha-\beta-\gamma)} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

On the other hand...

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & e^{i\alpha} a_{12} & 0 & e^{i\beta} a_{14} \\ 0 & a_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\gamma} a_{32} & a_{33} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{44} \end{bmatrix} = U \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & 0 & a_{14} \\ 0 & a_{22} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{32} & a_{33} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{44} \end{bmatrix} U^*,$$

where

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\alpha} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\gamma} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{i(\alpha-\beta)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Norming Subalgebras

Definition (Pop, Sinclair, Smith '00)

Let \mathcal{A} be a unital operator algebra and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be a unital C^* -subalgebra. We say that \mathcal{D} norms \mathcal{A} if for all $X \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$, we have that

$$\|X\| = \sup\{\|RXC\| : R \in \text{Ball}(M_{1,n}(\mathcal{D})), C \in \text{Ball}(M_{n,1}(\mathcal{D}))\}.$$

Norming Subalgebras

Theorem (Pop, Sinclair, Smith '00)

- 1 Any unital C^* -algebra norms itself.
- 2 Any MASA norms $B(\mathcal{H})$.
- 3 A unital C^* -algebra is normed by the scalars if and only if it is abelian.
- 4 If $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is a finite-index inclusion of II_1 factors, then \mathcal{N} norms \mathcal{M} .

Norming Subalgebras

Theorem (Pop, Sinclair, Smith '00)

- 1 Any unital C^* -algebra norms itself.
- 2 Any MASA norms $B(\mathcal{H})$.
- 3 A unital C^* -algebra is normed by the scalars if and only if it is abelian.
- 4 If $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ is a finite-index inclusion of II_1 factors, then \mathcal{N} norms \mathcal{M} .

Theorem (Sinclair, Smith '98)

Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{M} \subseteq B(\mathcal{H})$ be von Neumann algebras. Suppose there exists an abelian von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{M}'$ such that $C^*(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is cyclic. Then \mathcal{A} norms \mathcal{M} .

Cartan Subalgebras are Norming

Corollary (Cameron, Pitts, Z.)

Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra with separable predual and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ be a Cartan subalgebra. Then \mathcal{D} norms \mathcal{M} .

Cartan Subalgebras are Norming

Corollary (Cameron, Pitts, Z.)

Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra with separable predual and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ be a Cartan subalgebra. Then \mathcal{D} norms \mathcal{M} .

Proof.

From Feldman-Moore, $\mathbf{M}(R, s)$ has a cyclic and separating vector, and so there exists an anti-unitary $J : L^2(R, \nu) \rightarrow L^2(R, \nu)$ such that $J\mathbf{M}(R, s)J = \mathbf{M}(R, s)'$. Also $W^*(\mathbf{D}(R, s), J\mathbf{D}(R, s)J)$ is a MASA in $B(L^2(R, \nu))$, and therefore is cyclic, so that $C^*(\mathbf{D}(R, s), J\mathbf{D}(R, s)J)$ is cyclic as well. By Sinclair and Smith, $\mathbf{D}(R, s)$ norms $\mathbf{M}(R, s)$. □

Pitts' Automatic Complete-Boundedness Theorem

Theorem (Pitts '08)

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be operator algebras and $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a bounded isomorphism. If \mathcal{B} contains a norming C^ -subalgebra, then θ is completely bounded and*

$$\|\theta\|_{cb} \leq \|\theta\| \|\theta^{-1}\|^4.$$

Pitts' Automatic Complete-Boundedness Theorem

Theorem (Pitts '08)

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be operator algebras and $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a bounded isomorphism. If \mathcal{B} contains a norming C^* -subalgebra, then θ is completely bounded and

$$\|\theta\|_{cb} \leq \|\theta\| \|\theta^{-1}\|^4.$$

Remark

Pitts' theorem relies crucially on the following remarkable theorem of Pisier/Haagerup ('78/'83): Let \mathcal{C} be a C^* -algebra and $\rho : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ be a bounded homomorphism.

Then

$$\|\rho_{n,1}(\mathcal{C})\| \leq \|\rho\|^2 \|\mathcal{C}\|, \quad \mathcal{C} \in M_{n,1}(\mathcal{C})$$

and

$$\|\rho_{1,n}(\mathcal{C})\| \leq \|\rho\|^2 \|\mathcal{C}\|, \quad \mathcal{C} \in M_{1,n}(\mathcal{C}).$$

The Unique Pseudo-Expectation Property (Pitts, Z.)

Definition

Let \mathcal{C} be a unital C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be a unital C^* -subalgebra. We say that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ has the **unique pseudo-expectation property** if there exists a unique ucp map $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow I(\mathcal{D})$ such that $\mathbb{E}|_{\mathcal{D}} = \text{id}$.

The Unique Pseudo-Expectation Property (Pitts, Z.)

Definition

Let \mathcal{C} be a unital C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be a unital C^* -subalgebra. We say that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ has the **unique pseudo-expectation property** if there exists a unique ucp map $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow I(\mathcal{D})$ such that $\mathbb{E}|_{\mathcal{D}} = \text{id}$.

Example

$(B(\ell^2), \ell^\infty)$ has the unique pseudo-expectation property.

The Unique Pseudo-Expectation Property (Pitts, Z.)

Definition

Let \mathcal{C} be a unital C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be a unital C^* -subalgebra. We say that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ has the **unique pseudo-expectation property** if there exists a unique ucp map $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow I(\mathcal{D})$ such that $\mathbb{E}|_{\mathcal{D}} = \text{id}$.

Example

$(B(\ell^2), \ell^\infty)$ has the unique pseudo-expectation property.

Theorem (Pitts '11)

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ be a regular MASA inclusion, i.e., $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is a MASA and $\overline{\text{span}}(N(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})) = \mathcal{C}$. Then $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ has the unique pseudo-expectation property.

The Unique Pseudo-Expectation Property (Pitts, Z.)

Definition

Let \mathcal{C} be a unital C^* -algebra and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be a unital C^* -subalgebra. We say that $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ has the **unique pseudo-expectation property** if there exists a unique ucp map $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow I(\mathcal{D})$ such that $\mathbb{E}|_{\mathcal{D}} = \text{id}$.

Example

$(B(\ell^2), \ell^\infty)$ has the unique pseudo-expectation property.

Theorem (Pitts '11)

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ be a regular MASA inclusion, i.e., $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is a MASA and $\overline{\text{span}}(N(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})) = \mathcal{C}$. Then $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ has the unique pseudo-expectation property.

Proposition

Suppose $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ has the unique pseudo-expectation property. If $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is a C^ -subalgebra, then $(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{D})$ has the unique pseudo-expectation property.*

The Unique Pseudo-Expectation Property + Faithfulness

Proposition

Suppose $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ has the unique pseudo-expectation property and $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow I(\mathcal{D})$ is faithful. If $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is a unital \star -homomorphism and $\pi|_{\mathcal{D}}$ is faithful, then π is faithful.

The Unique Pseudo-Expectation Property + Faithfulness

Proposition

Suppose $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ has the unique pseudo-expectation property and $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow I(\mathcal{D})$ is faithful. If $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is a unital \star -homomorphism and $\pi|_{\mathcal{D}}$ is faithful, then π is faithful.

Proof.

We may assume $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \pi(\mathcal{C})$ and $\pi|_{\mathcal{D}} = \text{id}$. By injectivity, there exists a ucp map $\Phi : \pi(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow I(\mathcal{D})$ such that $\Phi|_{\mathcal{D}} = \text{id}$. Then $\Phi \circ \pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow I(\mathcal{D})$ is a ucp map such that $(\Phi \circ \pi)|_{\mathcal{D}} = \text{id}$, and so $\Phi \circ \pi = \mathbb{E}$. If $\pi(x) = 0$, then

$$\mathbb{E}(x^*x) = \Phi(\pi(x^*x)) = \Phi(\pi(x)^*\pi(x)) = 0.$$

Since \mathbb{E} is faithful, $x = 0$. □

The Unique Pseudo-Expectation Property + Faithfulness

Proposition

Suppose $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ has the unique pseudo-expectation property and $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow I(\mathcal{D})$ is faithful. If $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is a unital \star -homomorphism and $\pi|_{\mathcal{D}}$ is faithful, then π is faithful.

Proof.

We may assume $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \pi(\mathcal{C})$ and $\pi|_{\mathcal{D}} = \text{id}$. By injectivity, there exists a ucp map $\Phi : \pi(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow I(\mathcal{D})$ such that $\Phi|_{\mathcal{D}} = \text{id}$. Then $\Phi \circ \pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow I(\mathcal{D})$ is a ucp map such that $(\Phi \circ \pi)|_{\mathcal{D}} = \text{id}$, and so $\Phi \circ \pi = \mathbb{E}$. If $\pi(x) = 0$, then

$$\mathbb{E}(x^*x) = \Phi(\pi(x^*x)) = \Phi(\pi(x)^*\pi(x)) = 0.$$

Since \mathbb{E} is faithful, $x = 0$. □

Corollary

Suppose $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ has the unique pseudo-expectation property and $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow I(\mathcal{D})$ is faithful. If $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is a unital operator algebra, then $C_{\text{env}}^(\mathcal{A}) = C^*(\mathcal{A})$.*

Step 1: Nice properties of θ

Step 1: Nice properties of θ

- 1 θ is complete isometry.

Step 1: Nice properties of θ

- 1 θ is complete isometry.

Proof.

Since \mathcal{D}_2 norms \mathcal{M}_2 , it norms \mathcal{A}_2 . By Pitts' Automatic Complete-Boundedness Theorem,

$$\|\theta\|_{cb} \leq \|\theta\| \|\theta^{-1}\|^4 = 1.$$

Likewise, since \mathcal{D}_1 norms \mathcal{M}_1 , it norms \mathcal{A}_1 , and so

$$\|\theta^{-1}\|_{cb} \leq \|\theta^{-1}\| \|\theta\|^4 = 1.$$



Step 1: Nice properties of θ

- 1 θ is complete isometry.

Proof.

Since \mathcal{D}_2 norms \mathcal{M}_2 , it norms \mathcal{A}_2 . By Pitts' Automatic Complete-Boundedness Theorem,

$$\|\theta\|_{cb} \leq \|\theta\| \|\theta^{-1}\|^4 = 1.$$

Likewise, since \mathcal{D}_1 norms \mathcal{M}_1 , it norms \mathcal{A}_1 , and so

$$\|\theta^{-1}\|_{cb} \leq \|\theta^{-1}\| \|\theta\|^4 = 1.$$



- 2 θ is σ -weakly continuous.

Step 1: Nice properties of θ

- 1 θ is complete isometry.

Proof.

Since \mathcal{D}_2 norms \mathcal{M}_2 , it norms \mathcal{A}_2 . By Pitts' Automatic Complete-Boundedness Theorem,

$$\|\theta\|_{cb} \leq \|\theta\| \|\theta^{-1}\|^4 = 1.$$

Likewise, since \mathcal{D}_1 norms \mathcal{M}_1 , it norms \mathcal{A}_1 , and so

$$\|\theta^{-1}\|_{cb} \leq \|\theta^{-1}\| \|\theta\|^4 = 1.$$



- 2 θ is σ -weakly continuous.

Proof.

Mercer's Representation Theorem.



Step 1: Nice properties of θ

- ① θ is complete isometry.

Proof.

Since \mathcal{D}_2 norms \mathcal{M}_2 , it norms \mathcal{A}_2 . By Pitts' Automatic Complete-Boundedness Theorem,

$$\|\theta\|_{cb} \leq \|\theta\| \|\theta^{-1}\|^4 = 1.$$

Likewise, since \mathcal{D}_1 norms \mathcal{M}_1 , it norms \mathcal{A}_1 , and so

$$\|\theta^{-1}\|_{cb} \leq \|\theta^{-1}\| \|\theta\|^4 = 1.$$



- ② θ is σ -weakly continuous.

Proof.

Mercer's Representation Theorem.



- ③ $\theta(GN(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{D}_1)) = GN(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{D}_2)$.

Step 1: Nice properties of θ

- ① θ is complete isometry.

Proof.

Since \mathcal{D}_2 norms \mathcal{M}_2 , it norms \mathcal{A}_2 . By Pitts' Automatic Complete-Boundedness Theorem,

$$\|\theta\|_{cb} \leq \|\theta\| \|\theta^{-1}\|^4 = 1.$$

Likewise, since \mathcal{D}_1 norms \mathcal{M}_1 , it norms \mathcal{A}_1 , and so

$$\|\theta^{-1}\|_{cb} \leq \|\theta^{-1}\| \|\theta\|^4 = 1.$$



- ② θ is σ -weakly continuous.

Proof.

Mercer's Representation Theorem.



- ③ $\theta(GN(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{D}_1)) = GN(\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{D}_2)$.

Proof.

Mercer's Representation Theorem.



Step 2: Replace weak with norm

Define:

- $\mathcal{A}_i^\circ = \overline{\text{span}}(GN(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{D}_i))$, a unital operator algebra
- $\mathcal{M}_i^\circ = C^*(\mathcal{A}_i^\circ)$, a unital C^* -algebra
- $\theta^\circ = \theta|_{\mathcal{A}_1^\circ} : \mathcal{A}_1^\circ \rightarrow \theta(\mathcal{A}_1^\circ) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2$, a completely isometric isomorphism

Step 2: Replace weak with norm

Define:

- $\mathcal{A}_i^\circ = \overline{\text{span}}(GN(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{D}_i))$, a unital operator algebra
- $\mathcal{M}_i^\circ = C^*(\mathcal{A}_i^\circ)$, a unital C^* -algebra
- $\theta^\circ = \theta|_{\mathcal{A}_1^\circ} : \mathcal{A}_1^\circ \rightarrow \theta(\mathcal{A}_1^\circ) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2$, a completely isometric isomorphism

Note that:

- $\mathcal{D}_i \subseteq \mathcal{A}_i^\circ \subseteq \mathcal{M}_i^\circ$
- $\mathcal{D}_i \subseteq \mathcal{M}_i^\circ$ is a MASA
- $\overline{\text{span}}(GN(\mathcal{M}_i^\circ, \mathcal{D}_i)) = \mathcal{M}_i^\circ$
- $(\mathcal{M}_i^\circ, \mathcal{D}_i)$ has the unique pseudo-expectation property
- The unique ucp map $\mathbb{E}_i : \mathcal{M}_i^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_i$ such that $\mathbb{E}_i|_{\mathcal{D}_i} = \text{id}$ is faithful
- $C_{\text{env}}^*(\mathcal{A}_i^\circ) = \mathcal{M}_i^\circ$
- $\overline{\mathcal{A}_i^\circ}^\sigma = \mathcal{A}_i$
- $\overline{\mathcal{M}_i^\circ}^\sigma = \mathcal{M}_i$
- $\theta^\circ(\mathcal{A}_1^\circ) = \mathcal{A}_2^\circ$

Step 2: Replace weak with norm

Define:

- $\mathcal{A}_i^\circ = \overline{\text{span}}(GN(\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{D}_i))$, a unital operator algebra
- $\mathcal{M}_i^\circ = C^*(\mathcal{A}_i^\circ)$, a unital C^* -algebra
- $\theta^\circ = \theta|_{\mathcal{A}_1^\circ} : \mathcal{A}_1^\circ \rightarrow \theta(\mathcal{A}_1^\circ) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_2$, a completely isometric isomorphism

Note that:

- $\mathcal{D}_i \subseteq \mathcal{A}_i^\circ \subseteq \mathcal{M}_i^\circ$
- $\mathcal{D}_i \subseteq \mathcal{M}_i^\circ$ is a MASA
- $\overline{\text{span}}(GN(\mathcal{M}_i^\circ, \mathcal{D}_i)) = \mathcal{M}_i^\circ$
- $(\mathcal{M}_i^\circ, \mathcal{D}_i)$ has the unique pseudo-expectation property
- The unique ucp map $\mathbb{E}_i : \mathcal{M}_i^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_i$ such that $\mathbb{E}_i|_{\mathcal{D}_i} = \text{id}$ is faithful
- $C_{\text{env}}^*(\mathcal{A}_i^\circ) = \mathcal{M}_i^\circ$
- $\overline{\mathcal{A}_i^\circ}^\sigma = \mathcal{A}_i$
- $\overline{\mathcal{M}_i^\circ}^\sigma = \mathcal{M}_i$
- $\theta^\circ(\mathcal{A}_1^\circ) = \mathcal{A}_2^\circ$

In fact:

- $(\mathcal{M}_i^\circ, \mathcal{D}_i)$ is a C^* -diagonal in the sense of Kumjian ('86)

Step 3: Extend θ° to π°

There exists a unique \star -isomorphism $\pi^\circ : \mathcal{M}_1^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2^\circ$ which extends $\theta^\circ : \mathcal{A}_1^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2^\circ$.

Step 3: Extend θ° to π°

There exists a unique \star -isomorphism $\pi^\circ : \mathcal{M}_1^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2^\circ$ which extends $\theta^\circ : \mathcal{A}_1^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2^\circ$.

Proof.

Since $\theta^\circ : \mathcal{A}_1^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2^\circ$ is a completely isometric isomorphism, there exists a unique \star -isomorphism $\pi^\circ : C_{\text{env}}^\star(\mathcal{A}_1^\circ) \rightarrow C_{\text{env}}^\star(\mathcal{A}_2^\circ)$ such that $\pi^\circ|_{\mathcal{A}_1^\circ} = \theta^\circ$. But $C_{\text{env}}^\star(\mathcal{A}_i^\circ) = \mathcal{M}_i^\circ$. □

Step 4: Define an implementing unitary for π°

There exists a cyclic and separating vector ξ_1 for $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and a cyclic vector ξ_2 for $\mathcal{M}_2 \subseteq B(\mathcal{H}_2)$ such that

$$\mathcal{M}_1^\circ \xi_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2^\circ \xi_2 : x\xi_1 \mapsto \pi^\circ(x)\xi_2$$

is isometric. Thus there exists a unitary $U : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$ such that

$$\pi^\circ(x) = UxU^*, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}_1^\circ.$$

Step 4: Define an implementing unitary for π°

There exists a cyclic and separating vector ξ_1 for $\mathcal{M}_1 \subseteq B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and a cyclic vector ξ_2 for $\mathcal{M}_2 \subseteq B(\mathcal{H}_2)$ such that

$$\mathcal{M}_1^\circ \xi_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2^\circ \xi_2 : x\xi_1 \mapsto \pi^\circ(x)\xi_2$$

is isometric. Thus there exists a unitary $U : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$ such that

$$\pi^\circ(x) = UxU^*, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}_1^\circ.$$

Proof.

Straightforward but a little tedious. □

Step 5: Conclusion

Define

$$\pi(x) = UxU^*, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}_1.$$

Then $\pi : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ is a σ -weakly continuous \star -isomorphism such that $\pi|_{\mathcal{M}_1^\circ} = \pi^\circ$.
Since

$$\pi|_{\mathcal{A}_1^\circ} = \pi^\circ|_{\mathcal{A}_1^\circ} = \theta^\circ = \theta|_{\mathcal{A}_1^\circ}$$

and θ is σ -weakly continuous,

$$\pi|_{\mathcal{A}_1} = \theta.$$

Future Directions

- 1 Rely less on Feldman-Moore. In particular, eliminate the use of Mercer's Representation Theorem.
- 2 Prove Mercer's Extension Theorem in the norm context. ✓ (Pitts)
- 3 Study (characterize?) the unique pseudo-expectation property.

Thanks

Thanks for your attention!

Questions?