

# Math 4/896: Seminar in Mathematics

## Topic: Inverse Theory

Instructor: Thomas Shores  
Department of Mathematics

AvH 10

# Outline

- 1 Chapter 3: Discretizing Continuous Inverse Problems
  - Motivating Example
  - Quadrature Methods
  - Representer Method
  - Generalizations
  - Method of Backus and Gilbert
- 2 Chapter 4: Rank Deficiency and Ill-Conditioning
  - Properties of the SVD

## A Motivating Example: Integral Equations

### Contaminant Transport

Let  $C(x, t)$  be the concentration of a pollutant at point  $x$  in a linear stream, time  $t$ , where  $0 \leq x < \infty$  and  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . The defining model

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} &= D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} - v \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \\ C(0, t) &= C_{in}(t) \\ C(x, t) &\rightarrow 0, \quad x \rightarrow \infty \\ C(x, 0) &= C_0(x)\end{aligned}$$

## Solution:

In the case that  $C_0(x) \equiv 0$ , the explicit solution is

$$C(x, T) = \int_0^T C_{in}(t) f(x, T-t) dt,$$

where

$$f(x, \tau) = \frac{x}{2\sqrt{\pi D \tau^3}} e^{-(x-v\tau)^2/(4D\tau)}$$

## Problem:

Given simultaneous measurements at time  $T$ , to estimate the contaminant inflow history. That is, given data

$$d_i = C(x_i, T), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

to estimate

$$C_{in}(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

More generally

Problem:

Given the IFK

$$d(s) = \int_a^b g(x, s) m(x) dx$$

and a finite sample of values  $d(s_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , to estimate parameter  $m(x)$ .

# Outline

- 1 Chapter 3: Discretizing Continuous Inverse Problems
  - Motivating Example
  - Quadrature Methods
  - Representer Method
  - Generalizations
  - Method of Backus and Gilbert
- 2 Chapter 4: Rank Deficiency and Ill-Conditioning
  - Properties of the SVD

# Quadrature

## Basic Ideas:

Approximate the integrals

$$d_i \approx d(s_i) = \int_a^b g(s_i, x) m(x) dx \equiv \int_a^b g_i(x) m(x) dx, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

(where the representer or data kernels  $g_i(x) = g(s_i, x)$ ) by

- Selecting a set of collocation points  $x_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . (It might be wise to ensure  $n < m$ .)
- Select an integration approximation method based on the collocation points.
- Use the integration approximations to obtain a linear system  $G\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}$  in terms of the unknowns  $m_j \equiv m(x_j), j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

# Quadrature

## Basic Ideas:

Approximate the integrals

$$d_i \approx d(s_i) = \int_a^b g(s_i, x) m(x) dx \equiv \int_a^b g_i(x) m(x) dx, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

(where the representer or data kernels  $g_i(x) = g(s_i, x)$ ) by

- Selecting a set of collocation points  $x_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . (It might be wise to ensure  $n < m$ .)
- Select an integration approximation method based on the collocation points.
- Use the integration approximations to obtain a linear system  $G\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}$  in terms of the unknowns  $m_j \equiv m(x_j), j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

# Quadrature

## Basic Ideas:

Approximate the integrals

$$d_i \approx d(s_i) = \int_a^b g(s_i, x) m(x) dx \equiv \int_a^b g_i(x) m(x) dx, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

(where the representer or data kernels  $g_i(x) = g(s_i, x)$ ) by

- Selecting a set of collocation points  $x_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . (It might be wise to ensure  $n < m$ .)
- Select an integration approximation method based on the collocation points.
- Use the integration approximations to obtain a linear system  $G\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}$  in terms of the unknowns  $m_j \equiv m(x_j), j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

# Quadrature

## Basic Ideas:

Approximate the integrals

$$d_i \approx d(s_i) = \int_a^b g(s_i, x) m(x) dx \equiv \int_a^b g_i(x) m(x) dx, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

(where the representer or data kernels  $g_i(x) = g(s_i, x)$ ) by

- Selecting a set of collocation points  $x_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . (It might be wise to ensure  $n < m$ .)
- Select an integration approximation method based on the collocation points.
- Use the integration approximations to obtain a linear system  $G\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}$  in terms of the unknowns  $m_j \equiv m(x_j), j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

# Outline

- 1 Chapter 3: Discretizing Continuous Inverse Problems
  - Motivating Example
  - Quadrature Methods
  - **Representer Method**
  - Generalizations
  - Method of Backus and Gilbert
- 2 Chapter 4: Rank Deficiency and Ill-Conditioning
  - Properties of the SVD

## Representers

Rather than focusing on the value of  $m$  at individual points, take a global view that  $m(x)$  lives in a function space which is spanned by the **representer** functions  $g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_n(x), \dots$

### Basic Ideas:

- Make a selection of the basis functions  $g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_n(x)$  to approximate  $m(x)$ , say

$$m(x) \approx \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j g_j(x)$$

- Derive a system  $\Gamma \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}$  with a Gramian coefficient matrix

$$\Gamma_{i,j} = \langle g_i, g_j \rangle = \int_a^b g_i(x) g_j(x) dx$$

## Representers

Rather than focusing on the value of  $m$  at individual points, take a global view that  $m(x)$  lives in a function space which is spanned by the **representer** functions  $g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_n(x), \dots$

### Basic Ideas:

- Make a selection of the basis functions  $g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_n(x)$  to approximate  $m(x)$ , say

$$m(x) \approx \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j g_j(x)$$

- Derive a system  $\Gamma \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}$  with a Gramian coefficient matrix

$$\Gamma_{i,j} = \langle g_i, g_j \rangle = \int_a^b g_i(x) g_j(x) dx$$

## Representers

Rather than focusing on the value of  $m$  at individual points, take a global view that  $m(x)$  lives in a function space which is spanned by the **representer** functions  $g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_n(x), \dots$

### Basic Ideas:

- Make a selection of the basis functions  $g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_n(x)$  to approximate  $m(x)$ , say

$$m(x) \approx \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j g_j(x)$$

- Derive a system  $\Gamma \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}$  with a Gramian coefficient matrix

$$\Gamma_{i,j} = \langle g_i, g_j \rangle = \int_a^b g_i(x) g_j(x) dx$$

## The Most Famous Gramian of Them All:

- Suppose the basis functions turn out to be  $g_i(x) = x^{i-1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , on the interval  $[0, 1]$ .
- Exhibit the infamous Hilbert matrix.

## The Most Famous Gramian of Them All:

- Suppose the basis functions turn out to be  $g_i(x) = x^{i-1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , on the interval  $[0, 1]$ .
- Exhibit the infamous Hilbert matrix.

# Outline

- 1 Chapter 3: Discretizing Continuous Inverse Problems
  - Motivating Example
  - Quadrature Methods
  - Representer Method
  - **Generalizations**
  - Method of Backus and Gilbert
- 2 Chapter 4: Rank Deficiency and Ill-Conditioning
  - Properties of the SVD

## Other Choices of Trial Functions

Take a still more global view that  $m(x)$  lives in a function space spanned by a spanning set which may *not* be the representer!

### Basic Ideas:

- Make a selection of the basis functions  $h_1(x), h_2(x), \dots, h_n(x)$  with linear span  $H_n$  (called “trial functions” in the weighted residual literature) to approximate  $m(x)$ , say

$$m(x) \approx \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j h_j(x)$$

- Derive a system  $G\alpha = \mathbf{d}$  with a coefficient matrix

$$G_{i,j} = \langle g_i, h_j \rangle = \int_a^b g_i(x) h_j(x) dx$$

## Other Choices of Trial Functions

Take a still more global view that  $m(x)$  lives in a function space spanned by a spanning set which may *not* be the representer!

### Basic Ideas:

- Make a selection of the basis functions  $h_1(x), h_2(x), \dots, h_n(x)$  with linear span  $H_n$  (called “trial functions” in the weighted residual literature) to approximate  $m(x)$ , say

$$m(x) \approx \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j h_j(x)$$

- Derive a system  $G\alpha = \mathbf{d}$  with a coefficient matrix

$$G_{i,j} = \langle g_i, h_j \rangle = \int_a^b g_i(x) h_j(x) dx$$

## Other Choices of Trial Functions

Take a still more global view that  $m(x)$  lives in a function space spanned by a spanning set which may *not* be the representer!

### Basic Ideas:

- Make a selection of the basis functions  $h_1(x), h_2(x), \dots, h_n(x)$  with linear span  $H_n$  (called “trial functions” in the weighted residual literature) to approximate  $m(x)$ , say

$$m(x) \approx \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j h_j(x)$$

- Derive a system  $G\alpha = \mathbf{d}$  with a coefficient matrix

$$G_{i,j} = \langle g_i, h_j \rangle = \int_a^b g_i(x) h_j(x) dx$$

## Orthogonal Idea:

An appealing choice of basis vectors is an orthonormal (o.n.) set of nonzero vectors. If we do so:

- $\|m(x)\| = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^2$
- $\text{Proj}_{H_n}(g_i(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle g_i, h_j \rangle h_j(x), \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$
- Meaning of  $i$ th equation:  $\langle \text{Proj}_{H_n}(g_i), m \rangle = d_i$

## Orthogonal Idea:

An appealing choice of basis vectors is an orthonormal (o.n.) set of nonzero vectors. If we do so:

- $\|m(x)\| = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^2$
- $\text{Proj}_{H_n}(g_i(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle g_i, h_j \rangle h_j(x), \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$
- Meaning of  $i$ th equation:  $\langle \text{Proj}_{H_n}(g_i), m \rangle = d_i$

## Orthogonal Idea:

An appealing choice of basis vectors is an orthonormal (o.n.) set of nonzero vectors. If we do so:

- $\|m(x)\| = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^2$
- $\text{Proj}_{H_n}(g_i(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle g_i, h_j \rangle h_j(x), \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$
- Meaning of  $i$ th equation:  $\langle \text{Proj}_{H_n}(g_i), m \rangle = d_i$

## Orthogonal Idea:

An appealing choice of basis vectors is an orthonormal (o.n.) set of nonzero vectors. If we do so:

- $\|m(x)\| = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^2$
- $\text{Proj}_{H_n}(g_i(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle g_i, h_j \rangle h_j(x), \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$
- Meaning of  $i$ th equation:  $\langle \text{Proj}_{H_n}(g_i), m \rangle = d_i$

# Outline

- 1 Chapter 3: Discretizing Continuous Inverse Problems
  - Motivating Example
  - Quadrature Methods
  - Representer Method
  - Generalizations
  - Method of Backus and Gilbert
- 2 Chapter 4: Rank Deficiency and Ill-Conditioning
  - Properties of the SVD

## Backus-Gilbert Method

**Problem:** we want to estimate  $m(x)$  at a single point  $\hat{x}$  using the available data, and do it well. How to proceed?

### Basic Ideas:

- Write  $m(\hat{x}) \approx \hat{m} = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j d_j$  and  $d_j = \int_a^b g_j(x) m(x) dx$ .
- Reduce the integral conditions to  $\hat{m} = \int_a^b A(x) m(x) dx$  with  $A(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j g_j(x)$ .
- Ideally  $A(x) = \delta(x - \hat{x})$ . What's the next best thing?

## Backus-Gilbert Method

**Problem:** we want to estimate  $m(x)$  at a single point  $\hat{x}$  using the available data, and do it well. How to proceed?

### Basic Ideas:

- Write  $m(\hat{x}) \approx \hat{m} = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j d_j$  and  $d_j = \int_a^b g_j(x) m(x) dx$ .
- Reduce the integral conditions to  $\hat{m} = \int_a^b A(x) m(x) dx$  with  $A(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j g_j(x)$ .
- Ideally  $A(x) = \delta(x - \hat{x})$ . What's the next best thing?

## Backus-Gilbert Method

**Problem:** we want to estimate  $m(x)$  at a single point  $\hat{x}$  using the available data, and do it well. How to proceed?

### Basic Ideas:

- Write  $m(\hat{x}) \approx \hat{m} = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j d_j$  and  $d_j = \int_a^b g_j(x) m(x) dx$ .
- Reduce the integral conditions to  $\hat{m} = \int_a^b A(x) m(x) dx$  with  $A(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j g_j(x)$ .
- Ideally  $A(x) = \delta(x - \hat{x})$ . What's the next best thing?

## Backus-Gilbert Method

**Problem:** we want to estimate  $m(x)$  at a single point  $\hat{x}$  using the available data, and do it well. How to proceed?

### Basic Ideas:

- Write  $m(\hat{x}) \approx \hat{m} = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j d_j$  and  $d_j = \int_a^b g_j(x) m(x) dx$ .
- Reduce the integral conditions to  $\hat{m} = \int_a^b A(x) m(x) dx$  with  $A(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j g_j(x)$ .
- Ideally  $A(x) = \delta(x - \hat{x})$ . What's the next best thing?

## Constraints on the averaging kernel $A(x)$ :

- First, an area constraint: total area  $\int_a^b A(x) dx = 1$ . Set  $q_j = \int_a^b g_j(x) dx$  and get  $\mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{c} = 1$ .
- Secondly, minimize second moment  $\int_a^b A(x)^2 (x - \hat{x})^2 dx$ .
- This becomes a quadratic programming problem: objective function quadratic and constraints linear.
- In fact, it is convex, i.e., objective function matrix is positive definite. We have a tool for solving this: `quad_prog.m`.
- One could constrain the variance of the estimate  $\hat{m}$ , say  $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i^2 \sigma_i^2 \leq \Delta$ , where  $\sigma_i$  is the known variance of  $d_i$ . This is a more complicated optimization problem.

## Constraints on the averaging kernel $A(x)$ :

- First, an area constraint: total area  $\int_a^b A(x) dx = 1$ . Set  $q_j = \int_a^b g_j(x) dx$  and get  $\mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{c} = 1$ .
- Secondly, minimize second moment  $\int_a^b A(x)^2 (x - \hat{x})^2 dx$ .
- This becomes a quadratic programming problem: objective function quadratic and constraints linear.
- In fact, it is convex, i.e., objective function matrix is positive definite. We have a tool for solving this: `quad_prog.m`.
- One could constrain the variance of the estimate  $\hat{m}$ , say  $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i^2 \sigma_i^2 \leq \Delta$ , where  $\sigma_i$  is the known variance of  $d_i$ . This is a more complicated optimization problem.

## Constraints on the averaging kernel $A(x)$ :

- First, an area constraint: total area  $\int_a^b A(x) dx = 1$ . Set  $q_j = \int_a^b g_j(x) dx$  and get  $\mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{c} = 1$ .
- Secondly, minimize second moment  $\int_a^b A(x)^2 (x - \hat{x})^2 dx$ .
- This becomes a quadratic programming problem: objective function quadratic and constraints linear.
- In fact, it is convex, i.e., objective function matrix is positive definite. We have a tool for solving this: `quad_prog.m`.
- One could constrain the variance of the estimate  $\hat{m}$ , say  $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i^2 \sigma_i^2 \leq \Delta$ , where  $\sigma_i$  is the known variance of  $d_i$ . This is a more complicated optimization problem.

## Constraints on the averaging kernel $A(x)$ :

- First, an area constraint: total area  $\int_a^b A(x) dx = 1$ . Set  $q_j = \int_a^b g_j(x) dx$  and get  $\mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{c} = 1$ .
- Secondly, minimize second moment  $\int_a^b A(x)^2 (x - \hat{x})^2 dx$ .
- This becomes a quadratic programming problem: objective function quadratic and constraints linear.
- In fact, it is convex, i.e., objective function matrix is positive definite. We have a tool for solving this: `quad_prog.m`.
- One could constrain the variance of the estimate  $\hat{m}$ , say  $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i^2 \sigma_i^2 \leq \Delta$ , where  $\sigma_i$  is the known variance of  $d_i$ . This is a more complicated optimization problem.

## Constraints on the averaging kernel $A(x)$ :

- First, an area constraint: total area  $\int_a^b A(x) dx = 1$ . Set  $q_j = \int_a^b g_j(x) dx$  and get  $\mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{c} = 1$ .
- Secondly, minimize second moment  $\int_a^b A(x)^2 (x - \hat{x})^2 dx$ .
- This becomes a quadratic programming problem: objective function quadratic and constraints linear.
- In fact, it is convex, i.e., objective function matrix is positive definite. We have a tool for solving this: `quad_prog.m`.
- One could constrain the variance of the estimate  $\hat{m}$ , say  $\sum_{i=1}^m c_i^2 \sigma_i^2 \leq \Delta$ , where  $\sigma_i$  is the known variance of  $d_i$ . This is a more complicated optimization problem.

## Constraints on the averaging kernel $A(x)$ :

- First, an area constraint: total area  $\int_a^b A(x) dx = 1$ . Set  $q_j = \int_a^b g_j(x) dx$  and get  $\mathbf{q}^T \mathbf{c} = 1$ .
- Secondly, minimize second moment  $\int_a^b A(x)^2 (x - \hat{x})^2 dx$ .
- This becomes a quadratic programming problem: objective function quadratic and constraints linear.
- In fact, it is convex, i.e., objective function matrix is positive definite. We have a tool for solving this: `quad_prog.m`.
- One could constrain the variance of the estimate  $\hat{m}$ , say 
$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i^2 \sigma_i^2 \leq \Delta,$$
 where  $\sigma_i$  is the known variance of  $d_i$ . This is a more complicated optimization problem.

# A Case Study for the EPA

## The Problem:

A factory on a river bank has recently been polluting a previously unpolluted river with unacceptable levels of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). We have discovered a plume of PCB and want to estimate its size to assess damage and fines, as well as confirm or deny claims about the amounts by the company owning the factory.

- We control measurements but have an upper bound on the number of samples we can handle, that is, at most 100.
- Measurements may be taken at different times, but at most 20 per time at different locales.
- How would we design a testing procedure that accounts for and reasonably estimates this pollution dumping using the contaminant transport equation as our model?

# A Case Study for the EPA

## The Problem:

A factory on a river bank has recently been polluting a previously unpolluted river with unacceptable levels of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). We have discovered a plume of PCB and want to estimate its size to assess damage and fines, as well as confirm or deny claims about the amounts by the company owning the factory.

- We control measurements but have an upper bound on the number of samples we can handle, that is, at most 100.
- Measurements may be taken at different times, but at most 20 per time at different locales.
- How would we design a testing procedure that accounts for and reasonably estimates this pollution dumping using the contaminant transport equation as our model?

# A Case Study for the EPA

## The Problem:

A factory on a river bank has recently been polluting a previously unpolluted river with unacceptable levels of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). We have discovered a plume of PCB and want to estimate its size to assess damage and fines, as well as confirm or deny claims about the amounts by the company owning the factory.

- We control measurements but have an upper bound on the number of samples we can handle, that is, at most 100.
- Measurements may be taken at different times, but at most 20 per time at different locales.
- How would we design a testing procedure that accounts for and reasonably estimates this pollution dumping using the contaminant transport equation as our model?

## A Case Study for the EPA

### The Problem:

A factory on a river bank has recently been polluting a previously unpolluted river with unacceptable levels of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). We have discovered a plume of PCB and want to estimate its size to assess damage and fines, as well as confirm or deny claims about the amounts by the company owning the factory.

- We control measurements but have an upper bound on the number of samples we can handle, that is, at most 100.
- Measurements may be taken at different times, but at most 20 per time at different locales.
- How would we design a testing procedure that accounts for and reasonably estimates this pollution dumping using the contaminant transport equation as our model?

# Outline

- 1 Chapter 3: Discretizing Continuous Inverse Problems
  - Motivating Example
  - Quadrature Methods
  - Representer Method
  - Generalizations
  - Method of Backus and Gilbert
- 2 Chapter 4: Rank Deficiency and Ill-Conditioning
  - Properties of the SVD

## Basic Theory of SVD

### Theorem

*(Singular Value Decomposition) Let  $G$  be an  $m \times n$  real matrix. Then there exist  $m \times m$  orthogonal matrix  $U$ ,  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrix  $V$  and  $m \times n$  diagonal matrix  $S$  with diagonal entries  $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_q$ , with  $q = \min\{m, n\}$ , such that  $U^T G V = S$ . Moreover, numbers  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_q$  are uniquely determined by  $G$ .*

### Definition

With notation as in the SVD Theorem, and  $U_p$ ,  $V_p$  the matrices consisting of the first  $p$  columns of  $U$ ,  $V$ , respectively, and  $S_p$  the first  $p$  rows and columns of  $S$ , where  $\sigma_p$  is the last nonzero singular value, then the **Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse** of  $G$  is

$$G^\dagger = V_p S_p^{-1} U_p^T \equiv \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{\sigma_j} \mathbf{v}_j \mathbf{u}_j^T.$$

Carry out these calculations in Matlab:

```
> n = 6
> G = hilb(n);
> svd(G)
> [U,S,V] = svd(G);
> U'*G*V - S
> [U,S,V] = svd(G,'econ');
> % try again with n=16 and then G=G(1:8)
> % what are the nonzero singular values of G?
```

## Applications of the SVD

Use notation above and recall that the null space and column space (range) of matrix  $G$  are  $N(G) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid G\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}\}$  and

$$R(G) = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid \mathbf{y} = G\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n\} = \text{span}\{\mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2, \dots, \mathbf{G}_n\}$$

### Theorem

$$(1) \text{rank}(G) = p \text{ and } G = \sum_{j=1}^p \sigma_j \mathbf{U}_j \mathbf{V}_j^T$$

$$(2) N(G) = \text{span}\{\mathbf{V}_{p+1}, \mathbf{V}_{p+2}, \dots, \mathbf{V}_n\}, R(G) = \text{span}\{\mathbf{V}_1, \mathbf{V}_2, \dots, \mathbf{V}_p\}$$

$$(3) N(G^T) = \text{span}\{\mathbf{U}_{p+1}, \mathbf{U}_{p+2}, \dots, \mathbf{U}_m\}, R(G) = \text{span}\{\mathbf{U}_1, \mathbf{U}_2, \dots, \mathbf{U}_p\}$$

(4)  $\mathbf{m}_\dagger = G^\dagger \mathbf{d}$  is a least squares solution to  $G\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}$  of minimum 2-norm.