

Math 4/896: Seminar in Mathematics

Topic: Inverse Theory

Instructor: Thomas Shores
Department of Mathematics

AvH 10

Some references:

- ① C. Groetsch, *Inverse Problems in the Mathematical Sciences*, Vieweg-Verlag, Braunschweig, Wiesbaden, 1993. (A charmer!)
- ② M. Hanke and O. Scherzer, *Inverse Problems Light: Numerical Differentiation*, Amer. Math. Monthly, Vol 108 (2001), 512-521. (Entertaining and gets to the heart of the matter quickly)
- ③ A. Kirsch, *An Introduction to Mathematical Theory of Inverse Problems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996. (Harder! Definitely a graduate level text)
- ④ A. Tarantola, *Inverse Problem Theory and Methods for Model Parameter Estimation*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 2004. (Very substantial introduction to inverse theory at the graduate level that emphasises statistical concepts.)
- ⑤ R. Aster, B. Borchers, C. Thurber, *Estimation and Inverse Problems*, Elsevier, New York, 2005. (And the winner is...)

Some references:

- ❶ C. Groetsch, *Inverse Problems in the Mathematical Sciences*, Vieweg-Verlag, Braunschweig, Wiesbaden, 1993. (A charmer!)
- ❷ M. Hanke and O. Scherzer, *Inverse Problems Light: Numerical Differentiation*, Amer. Math. Monthly, Vol 108 (2001), 512-521. (Entertaining and gets to the heart of the matter quickly)
- ❸ A. Kirsch, *An Introduction to Mathematical Theory of Inverse Problems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996. (Harder! Definitely a graduate level text)
- ❹ A. Tarantola, *Inverse Problem Theory and Methods for Model Parameter Estimation*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 2004. (Very substantial introduction to inverse theory at the graduate level that emphasises statistical concepts.)
- ❺ R. Aster, B. Borchers, C. Thurber, *Estimation and Inverse Problems*, Elsevier, New York, 2005. (And the winner is...)

Some references:

- ① C. Groetsch, *Inverse Problems in the Mathematical Sciences*, Vieweg-Verlag, Braunschweig, Wiesbaden, 1993. (A charmer!)
- ② M. Hanke and O. Scherzer, *Inverse Problems Light: Numerical Differentiation*, Amer. Math. Monthly, Vol 108 (2001), 512-521. (Entertaining and gets to the heart of the matter quickly)
- ③ A. Kirsch, *An Introduction to Mathematical Theory of Inverse Problems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996. (Harder! Definitely a graduate level text)
- ④ A. Tarantola, *Inverse Problem Theory and Methods for Model Parameter Estimation*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 2004. (Very substantial introduction to inverse theory at the graduate level that emphasises statistical concepts.)
- ⑤ R. Aster, B. Borchers, C. Thurber, *Estimation and Inverse Problems*, Elsevier, New York, 2005. (And the winner is...)

Some references:

- ① C. Groetsch, *Inverse Problems in the Mathematical Sciences*, Vieweg-Verlag, Braunschweig, Wiesbaden, 1993. (A charmer!)
- ② M. Hanke and O. Scherzer, *Inverse Problems Light: Numerical Differentiation*, Amer. Math. Monthly, Vol 108 (2001), 512-521. (Entertaining and gets to the heart of the matter quickly)
- ③ A. Kirsch, *An Introduction to Mathematical Theory of Inverse Problems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996. (Harder! Definitely a graduate level text)
- ④ A. Tarantola, *Inverse Problem Theory and Methods for Model Parameter Estimation*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 2004. (Very substantial introduction to inverse theory at the graduate level that emphasises statistical concepts.)
- ⑤ R. Aster, B. Borchers, C. Thurber, *Estimation and Inverse Problems*, Elsevier, New York, 2005. (And the winner is...)

Some references:

- ① C. Groetsch, *Inverse Problems in the Mathematical Sciences*, Vieweg-Verlag, Braunschweig, Wiesbaden, 1993. (A charmer!)
- ② M. Hanke and O. Scherzer, *Inverse Problems Light: Numerical Differentiation*, Amer. Math. Monthly, Vol 108 (2001), 512-521. (Entertaining and gets to the heart of the matter quickly)
- ③ A. Kirsch, *An Introduction to Mathematical Theory of Inverse Problems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996. (Harder! Definitely a graduate level text)
- ④ A. Tarantola, *Inverse Problem Theory and Methods for Model Parameter Estimation*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 2004. (Very substantial introduction to inverse theory at the graduate level that emphasises statistical concepts.)
- ⑤ R. Aster, B. Borchers, C. Thurber, *Estimation and Inverse Problems*, Elsevier, New York, 2005. (And the winner is...)

Outline

1 Chapter 3: Discretizing Continuous Inverse Problems

- Motivating Example
- Quadrature Methods
- Representer Method
- Generalizations
- Method of Backus and Gilbert

Outline

1 Chapter 3: Discretizing Continuous Inverse Problems

- Motivating Example
- Quadrature Methods
- Representer Method
- Generalizations
- Method of Backus and Gilbert

A Motivating Example: Integral Equations

Contaminant Transport

Let $C(x, t)$ be the concentration of a pollutant at point x in a linear stream, time t , where $0 \leq x < \infty$ and $0 \leq t \leq T$. The defining model

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} &= D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} - v \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \\ C(0, t) &= C_{in}(t) \\ C(x, t) &\rightarrow 0, \quad x \rightarrow \infty \\ C(x, 0) &= C_0(x)\end{aligned}$$

Solution:

$$C(x, T) = \int_0^T C_{in}(t) f(x, T - t) dt,$$

where

$$f(x, \tau) = \frac{x}{2\sqrt{\pi D \tau^3}} e^{-(x - v\tau)^2 / (4D\tau)}$$

Problem:

Given simultaneous measurements at time T , to estimate the contaminant inflow history. That is, given data

$$d_i = C(x_i, T), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

to estimate

$$C_{in}(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

More generally

Problem:

Given the IFK

$$d(s) = \int_a^b g(x, s) m(x) dx$$

and a finite sample of values $d(s_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, to estimate parameter $m(x)$.

Methods we discuss at the board:

- 1 Quadrature
- 2 Representers
- 3 Other Choices of Trial Functions

More generally

Problem:

Given the IFK

$$d(s) = \int_a^b g(x, s) m(x) dx$$

and a finite sample of values $d(s_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, to estimate parameter $m(x)$.

Methods we discuss at the board:

- 1 Quadrature
- 2 Representers
- 3 Other Choices of Trial Functions

More generally

Problem:

Given the IFK

$$d(s) = \int_a^b g(x, s) m(x) dx$$

and a finite sample of values $d(s_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, to estimate parameter $m(x)$.

Methods we discuss at the board:

- 1 Quadrature
- 2 Representers
- 3 Other Choices of Trial Functions

More generally

Problem:

Given the IFK

$$d(s) = \int_a^b g(x, s) m(x) dx$$

and a finite sample of values $d(s_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, to estimate parameter $m(x)$.

Methods we discuss at the board:

- 1 Quadrature
- 2 Representers
- 3 Other Choices of Trial Functions

Outline

1 Chapter 3: Discretizing Continuous Inverse Problems

- Motivating Example
- **Quadrature Methods**
- Representer Method
- Generalizations
- Method of Backus and Gilbert

Quadrature

Basic Ideas:

Approximate the integrals

$$d_i \approx d(s_i) = \int_a^b g(s_i, x) m(x) dx \equiv \int_a^b g_i(x) m(x) dx, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

(where the representer or data kernels $g_i(x) = g(s_i, x)$) by

- Selecting a set of collocation points $x_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. (It might be wise to ensure $n < m$.)
- Select an integration approximation method based on the collocation points.
- Use the integration approximations to obtain a linear system $G\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}$ in terms of the unknowns $m_j \equiv m(x_j), j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Quadrature

Basic Ideas:

Approximate the integrals

$$d_i \approx d(s_i) = \int_a^b g(s_i, x) m(x) dx \equiv \int_a^b g_i(x) m(x) dx, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

(where the representer or data kernels $g_i(x) = g(s_i, x)$) by

- Selecting a set of collocation points $x_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. (It might be wise to ensure $n < m$.)
- Select an integration approximation method based on the collocation points.
- Use the integration approximations to obtain a linear system $G\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}$ in terms of the unknowns $m_j \equiv m(x_j), j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Quadrature

Basic Ideas:

Approximate the integrals

$$d_i \approx d(s_i) = \int_a^b g(s_i, x) m(x) dx \equiv \int_a^b g_i(x) m(x) dx, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

(where the representer or data kernels $g_i(x) = g(s_i, x)$) by

- Selecting a set of collocation points $x_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. (It might be wise to ensure $n < m$.)
- Select an integration approximation method based on the collocation points.
- Use the integration approximations to obtain a linear system $G\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}$ in terms of the unknowns $m_j \equiv m(x_j), j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Quadrature

Basic Ideas:

Approximate the integrals

$$d_i \approx d(s_i) = \int_a^b g(s_i, x) m(x) dx \equiv \int_a^b g_i(x) m(x) dx, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

(where the representer or data kernels $g_i(x) = g(s_i, x)$) by

- Selecting a set of collocation points $x_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. (It might be wise to ensure $n < m$.)
- Select an integration approximation method based on the collocation points.
- Use the integration approximations to obtain a linear system $G\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}$ in terms of the unknowns $m_j \equiv m(x_j), j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Outline

1 Chapter 3: Discretizing Continuous Inverse Problems

- Motivating Example
- Quadrature Methods
- **Representer Method**
- Generalizations
- Method of Backus and Gilbert

Representers

Rather than focusing on the value of m at individual points, take a global view that $m(x)$ lives in a function space which is spanned by the **representer** functions $g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_n(x), \dots$

Basic Ideas:

- Make a selection of the basis functions $g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_n(x)$ to approximate $m(x)$, say

$$m(x) \approx \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j g_j(x)$$

- Derive a system $\Gamma \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}$ with a Gramian coefficient matrix

$$\Gamma_{i,j} = \langle g_i, g_j \rangle = \int_a^b g_i(x) g_j(x) dx$$

Representers

Rather than focusing on the value of m at individual points, take a global view that $m(x)$ lives in a function space which is spanned by the **representer** functions $g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_n(x), \dots$

Basic Ideas:

- Make a selection of the basis functions $g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_n(x)$ to approximate $m(x)$, say

$$m(x) \approx \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j g_j(x)$$

- Derive a system $\Gamma \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}$ with a Gramian coefficient matrix

$$\Gamma_{i,j} = \langle g_i, g_j \rangle = \int_a^b g_i(x) g_j(x) dx$$

Representers

Rather than focusing on the value of m at individual points, take a global view that $m(x)$ lives in a function space which is spanned by the **representer** functions $g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_n(x), \dots$

Basic Ideas:

- Make a selection of the basis functions $g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_n(x)$ to approximate $m(x)$, say

$$m(x) \approx \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j g_j(x)$$

- Derive a system $\Gamma \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}$ with a Gramian coefficient matrix

$$\Gamma_{i,j} = \langle g_i, g_j \rangle = \int_a^b g_i(x) g_j(x) dx$$

The Most Famous Gramian of Them All:

- Suppose the basis functions turn out to be $g_i(x) = x^{i-1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, on the interval $[0, 1]$.
- Exhibit the infamous Hilbert matrix.

The Most Famous Gramian of Them All:

- Suppose the basis functions turn out to be $g_i(x) = x^{i-1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, on the interval $[0, 1]$.
- Exhibit the infamous Hilbert matrix.

Outline

1 Chapter 3: Discretizing Continuous Inverse Problems

- Motivating Example
- Quadrature Methods
- Representer Method
- **Generalizations**
- Method of Backus and Gilbert

Other Choices of Trial Functions

Take a still more global view that $m(x)$ lives in a function space which is spanned by a suitable spanning set, which might *not* be the representer!

Basic Ideas:

- Make a selection of the basis functions $h_1(x), h_2(x), \dots, h_n(x)$ to approximate $m(x)$, say

$$m(x) \approx \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j h_j(x)$$

- Derive a system $G\alpha = \mathbf{d}$ with a coefficient matrix

$$G_{i,j} = \langle g_i, h_j \rangle = \int_a^b g_i(x) h_j(x) dx$$

Other Choices of Trial Functions

Take a still more global view that $m(x)$ lives in a function space which is spanned by a suitable spanning set, which might *not* be the representer!

Basic Ideas:

- Make a selection of the basis functions $h_1(x), h_2(x), \dots, h_n(x)$ to approximate $m(x)$, say

$$m(x) \approx \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j h_j(x)$$

- Derive a system $G\alpha = \mathbf{d}$ with a coefficient matrix

$$G_{i,j} = \langle g_i, h_j \rangle = \int_a^b g_i(x) h_j(x) dx$$

Other Choices of Trial Functions

Take a still more global view that $m(x)$ lives in a function space which is spanned by a suitable spanning set, which might *not* be the representer!

Basic Ideas:

- Make a selection of the basis functions $h_1(x), h_2(x), \dots, h_n(x)$ to approximate $m(x)$, say

$$m(x) \approx \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j h_j(x)$$

- Derive a system $G\alpha = \mathbf{d}$ with a coefficient matrix

$$G_{i,j} = \langle g_i, h_j \rangle = \int_a^b g_i(x) h_j(x) dx$$

Outline

1 Chapter 3: Discretizing Continuous Inverse Problems

- Motivating Example
- Quadrature Methods
- Representer Method
- Generalizations
- Method of Backus and Gilbert

Backus-Gilbert Method

Problem: we want to estimate $m(x)$ at a single point \hat{x} using the available data, and do it well. How to proceed?

Basic Ideas:

- Write $m(\hat{x}) \approx \hat{m} = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j d_j$
- Reduce the integral conditions to $\hat{m} = \int_a^b A(x) m(x) dx$
- Ideally $A(x) = \delta(x - \hat{x})$. What's the next best thing? This leads to a quadratic programming problem.

Backus-Gilbert Method

Problem: we want to estimate $m(x)$ at a single point \hat{x} using the available data, and do it well. How to proceed?

Basic Ideas:

- Write $m(\hat{x}) \approx \hat{m} = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j d_j$
- Reduce the integral conditions to $\hat{m} = \int_a^b A(x) m(x) dx$
- Ideally $A(x) = \delta(x - \hat{x})$. What's the next best thing? This leads to a quadratic programming problem.

Backus-Gilbert Method

Problem: we want to estimate $m(x)$ at a single point \hat{x} using the available data, and do it well. How to proceed?

Basic Ideas:

- Write $m(\hat{x}) \approx \hat{m} = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j d_j$
- Reduce the integral conditions to $\hat{m} = \int_a^b A(x) m(x) dx$
- Ideally $A(x) = \delta(x - \hat{x})$. What's the next best thing? This leads to a quadratic programming problem.

Backus-Gilbert Method

Problem: we want to estimate $m(x)$ at a single point \hat{x} using the available data, and do it well. How to proceed?

Basic Ideas:

- Write $m(\hat{x}) \approx \hat{m} = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j d_j$
- Reduce the integral conditions to $\hat{m} = \int_a^b A(x) m(x) dx$
- Ideally $A(x) = \delta(x - \hat{x})$. What's the next best thing? This leads to a quadratic programming problem.