

# Math 4/896: Seminar in Mathematics

## Topic: Inverse Theory

Instructor: Thomas Shores  
Department of Mathematics

AvH 10

# Outline

- 1 Chapter 2: Linear Regression
  - A Motivating Example
  - Solutions to the System
  - Statistical Aspect of Least Squares

# Outline

- 1 Chapter 2: Linear Regression
  - A Motivating Example
  - Solutions to the System
  - Statistical Aspect of Least Squares

# The Example

To estimate the mass of a planet of known radius while on the (airless) surface:

Observe a projectile thrown from some point and measure its altitude.

From this we hope to estimate the acceleration  $a$  due to gravity and then use Newton's laws of gravitation and motion to obtain from

$$\frac{GMm}{R^2} = ma$$

that

$$M = \frac{aR^2}{G}.$$

## A Calculus I problem:

What is the vertical position  $y(t)$  of the projectile as a function of time?

Just integrate the constant acceleration twice to obtain

$$y(t) = m_1 + m_2 t - \frac{1}{2} a t^2.$$

We follow the text and write at time  $t_k$ , we have observed value  $d_k$  and

$$d_k = y(t_k) = m_1 + t m_2 - \frac{1}{2} t^2 m_3$$

where  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . This is a system of  $m$  equations in 3 unknowns. Here

- $m_1$  is initial  $y$ -displacement
- $m_2$  is initial velocity
- $m_3$  is acceleration due to gravity.

## Matrix Form of the System:

(Linear Inverse Problem):  $G\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}$ .

$$\text{Here } G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t_1 & -\frac{t_1^2}{2} \\ 1 & t_2 & \frac{t_2^2}{2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & t_m & \frac{t_m^2}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{m} = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \\ m_3 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{d} = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ \vdots \\ d_m \end{bmatrix}, \text{ but}$$

we shall examine the problem in the more general setting where  $G$  is  $m \times n$ ,  $\mathbf{m}$  is  $n \times 1$  and  $\mathbf{d}$  is  $m \times 1$ .

# A Specific Problem

The exact solution:

$$\mathbf{m} = [10, 100, 9.8]^T = (10, 100, 9.8).$$

Spacial units are meters and time units are seconds. It's easy to simulate an experiment. We will do so assuming an error distribution that is independent and normally distributed with mean  $\mu = 0$  and standard deviation  $\sigma = 16$ .

```
>randn('state',0)
>m = 10
>sigma = 16
>mtrue = [10,100,9.8]'
>G = [ones(m,1), (1:m)', -0.5*(1:m)'.^2]
>datatrue = G*mtrue;
>data = datatrue + sigma*randn(m,1);
```

# Outline

- 1 Chapter 2: Linear Regression
  - A Motivating Example
  - Solutions to the System
  - Statistical Aspect of Least Squares



# Outline

- 1 Chapter 2: Linear Regression
  - A Motivating Example
  - Solutions to the System
  - Statistical Aspect of Least Squares

# Quality of Least Squares

View the ProbStatLectures notes regarding point estimation. Then we see why this fact is true:

## Theorem

*Suppose that the error of  $i$ th coordinate of the residual is normally distributed with mean zero and standard deviation  $\sigma_i$ . Let  $W = \text{diag}(1/\sigma_1, \dots, 1/\sigma_m)$  and  $G_W = WG$ ,  $\mathbf{d}_W = W\mathbf{d}$ . Then the least squares solution to the scaled inverse problem*

$$G_W \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}_W$$

*is a **maximum liklihood estimator** to the parameter vector.*

# An Example

Let's generate a problem as follows

```
>randn('state',0)
>m = 10
>sigma = blkdiag(8*eye(3),16*eye(3),24*eye(4))
>mtrue = [10,100,9.8]'
>G = [ones(m,1), (1:m)', -0.5*(1:m)'.^2]
>datatrue = G*mtrue;
>data = datatrue + sigma*randn(m,1);
>G = [ones(m,1), (1:m)', -0.5*(1:m)'.^2]
>datatrue = G*mtrue;
>data = datatrue + sigma*randn(m,1);
% compute the least squares solution without
% reference to sigma, then do the scaled least squares
% and compare....also do some graphs
```

# Quality of Least Squares

A very nontrivial result which we assume:

## Theorem

*Let  $G$  have full column rank and  $\mathbf{m}$  the least squares solution for the scaled inverse problem. The statistic*

$$\|\mathbf{d}_W - G_W \mathbf{m}\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m (d_i - (G \mathbf{m}_{L_2})_i)^2 / \sigma_i^2$$

*in the random variable  $\mathbf{d}$  has a chi-square distribution with  $\nu = m - n$  degrees of freedom.*

This provided us with a statistical assessment (the chi-square test) of the quality of our data. We need the idea of the **p-value** of the test, the probability of obtaining a larger chi-square value than the one actually obtained:

$$p = \int_{\chi_{obs}^2}^{\infty} f_{\chi^2}(x) dx.$$

As a random variable, the  $p$ -value is uniformly distributed between zero and one. This can be very informative:

- 1 “Normal sized”  $p$ : we probably have an acceptable fit
- 2 Extremely small  $p$ : data is very unlikely, so model  $G\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{d}$  may be wrong or data may have larger errors than estimated.
- 3 Extremely large  $p$  (i.e., very close to 1): fit to model is almost exact, which may be too good to be true.

# Uniform Distributions

Reason for uniform distribution:

## Theorem

*Let  $X$  have a continuous c.d.f.  $F(x)$  such that  $F(x)$  is strictly increasing where  $0 < x < 1$ . Then the r.v.  $Y = F(X)$  is uniformly distributed on the interval  $(0, 1)$*

*Proof sketch:*

- Calculate  $P(Y \leq y)$  using fact that  $F$  has an inverse function  $F^{-1}$ .
- Use the fact that  $P(X \leq x) = F(x)$  to prove that  $P(Y \leq y) = y$ .

Application: One can use this to generate random samples for  $X$ .

## An Example

Let's resume our experiment from above. Open the script Lecture8.m and have a look. Then run Matlab on it and resume calculations.

```
> % now set up for calculating the p-value of the test under both scenarios.
```

```
>chiobs1 = norm(data - G*mapprox1)^2
```

```
>chiobs2 = norm(W*(data - G*mapprox2))^2
```

```
>help chis_pdf
```

```
>p1 = 1 - chis_pdf(chiobs1,m-n)
```

```
>p2 = 1 - chis_pdf(chiobs2,m-n)
```

```
% How do we interpret these results?
```

```
% Now put the bad estimate to the real test
```

```
How do we interpret these results?
```

## More Conceptual Tools

Use the MVN theorems to compute the expectation and variance of the r.v.  $\mathbf{m}$ , where  $\mathbf{d} = G\mathbf{m}$  and  $G$  has full column rank and  $\mathbf{d}$  is a vector of multivariate r.v.'s. Deduce that

- $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{m}) = (G_W^T G_W)^{-1}$
- $E[\mathbf{m}] = \mathbf{m}_{true}$

Hence, the least squares solution is an **unbiased estimator** of  $\mathbf{m}$ .  
Now construct a confidence interval for our experiment:

$$\mathbf{m}_{obs} \pm 1.9y \cdot \text{diag}(\text{Cov}(\mathbf{m}))^{1/2}$$

Also discuss outliers and work an example on Matlab.