

Math 4/896: Seminar in Mathematics

Topic: Inverse Theory

Instructor: Thomas Shores
Department of Mathematics

Lecture 24, April 11, 2006
AvH 10

Outline

Regularization...Sort Of

Basic Idea:

Use prior knowledge about the nature of the solution to restrict it:

- Most common restrictions: on the magnitude of the parameter values. Which leads to the problem:
- Minimize $f(\mathbf{m})$
subject to $l \leq m \leq u$.
- One could choose $f(\mathbf{m}) = \|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2$ (BVLS)
- One could choose $f(\mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{c}^T \cdot \mathbf{m}$ with additional constraint $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2 \leq \delta$.

Regularization...Sort Of

Basic Idea:

Use prior knowledge about the nature of the solution to restrict it:

- Most common restrictions: on the magnitude of the parameter values. Which leads to the problem:
- Minimize $f(\mathbf{m})$
subject to $l \leq m \leq u$.
- One could choose $f(\mathbf{m}) = \|\mathbf{G}\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2$ (BVLS)
- One could choose $f(\mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{c}^T \cdot \mathbf{m}$ with additional constraint $\|\mathbf{G}\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2 \leq \delta$.

Regularization...Sort Of

Basic Idea:

Use prior knowledge about the nature of the solution to restrict it:

- Most common restrictions: on the magnitude of the parameter values. Which leads to the problem:
- Minimize $f(\mathbf{m})$
subject to $l \leq m \leq u$.
- One could choose $f(\mathbf{m}) = \|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2$ (BVLS)
- One could choose $f(\mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{c}^T \cdot \mathbf{m}$ with additional constraint $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2 \leq \delta$.

Regularization...Sort Of

Basic Idea:

Use prior knowledge about the nature of the solution to restrict it:

- Most common restrictions: on the magnitude of the parameter values. Which leads to the problem:
- Minimize $f(\mathbf{m})$
subject to $l \leq m \leq u$.
- One could choose $f(\mathbf{m}) = \|\mathbf{G}\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2$ (BVLS)
- One could choose $f(\mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{c}^T \cdot \mathbf{m}$ with additional constraint $\|\mathbf{G}\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2 \leq \delta$.

Regularization...Sort Of

Basic Idea:

Use prior knowledge about the nature of the solution to restrict it:

- Most common restrictions: on the magnitude of the parameter values. Which leads to the problem:
- Minimize $f(\mathbf{m})$
subject to $l \leq m \leq u$.
- One could choose $f(\mathbf{m}) = \|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2$ (BVLS)
- One could choose $f(\mathbf{m}) = \mathbf{c}^T \cdot \mathbf{m}$ with additional constraint $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2 \leq \delta$.

Example 3.3

Contaminant Transport

Let $C(x, t)$ be the concentration of a pollutant at point x in a linear stream, time t , where $0 \leq x < \infty$ and $0 \leq t \leq T$. The defining model

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} &= D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial x^2} - v \frac{\partial C}{\partial x} \\ C(0, t) &= C_{in}(t) \\ C(x, t) &\rightarrow 0, \quad x \rightarrow \infty \\ C(x, 0) &= C_0(x)\end{aligned}$$

Solution:

In the case that $C_0(x) \equiv 0$, the explicit solution is

$$C(x, T) = \int_0^T C_{in}(t) f(x, T-t) dt,$$

where

$$f(x, \tau) = \frac{x}{2\sqrt{\pi D \tau^3}} e^{-(x-v\tau)^2/(4D\tau)}$$

Problem:

Given simultaneous measurements at time T , to estimate the contaminant inflow history. That is, given data

$$d_i = C(x_i, T), i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

to estimate

$$C_{in}(t), 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Change the startupfile path to Examples/chap7/examp1 execute it and examp.

Outline

A Better Idea (?)

Entropy:

$$E(\mathbf{m}) = - \sum_{j=1}^n m_j \ln(w_j m_j), \mathbf{w} \text{ a vector of positive weights.}$$

- Motivated by Shannon's information theory and Boltzmann's theory of entropy in statistical mechanics. A measure of uncertainty about which message or physical state will occur.

- Shannon's entropy function for a probability distribution

$$\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n \text{ is } H(\mathbf{p}) = - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln(p_i).$$

- Bayesian Maximum Entropy Principle: least biased model is one that maximizes entropy subject to constraints of testable information like bounds or average values of parameters.

A Better Idea (?)

Entropy:

$$E(\mathbf{m}) = - \sum_{j=1}^n m_j \ln(w_j m_j), \mathbf{w} \text{ a vector of positive weights.}$$

- Motivated by Shannon's information theory and Boltzmann's theory of entropy in statistical mechanics. A measure of uncertainty about which message or physical state will occur.

- Shannon's entropy function for a probability distribution

$$\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n \text{ is } H(\mathbf{p}) = - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln(p_i).$$

- Bayesian Maximum Entropy Principle: least biased model is one that maximizes entropy subject to constraints of testable information like bounds or average values of parameters.

A Better Idea (?)

Entropy:

$$E(\mathbf{m}) = - \sum_{j=1}^n m_j \ln(w_j m_j), \mathbf{w} \text{ a vector of positive weights.}$$

- Motivated by Shannon's information theory and Boltzmann's theory of entropy in statistical mechanics. A measure of uncertainty about which message or physical state will occur.

- Shannon's entropy function for a probability distribution

$$\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n \text{ is } H(\mathbf{p}) = - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln(p_i).$$

- Bayesian Maximum Entropy Principle: least biased model is one that maximizes entropy subject to constraints of testable information like bounds or average values of parameters.

A Better Idea (?)

Entropy:

$$E(\mathbf{m}) = - \sum_{j=1}^n m_j \ln(w_j m_j), \mathbf{w} \text{ a vector of positive weights.}$$

- Motivated by Shannon's information theory and Boltzmann's theory of entropy in statistical mechanics. A measure of uncertainty about which message or physical state will occur.

- Shannon's entropy function for a probability distribution

$$\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n \text{ is } H(\mathbf{p}) = - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln(p_i).$$

- Bayesian Maximum Entropy Principle: least biased model is one that maximizes entropy subject to constraints of testable information like bounds or average values of parameters.

Maximize Entropy:

That is, our version. So problem looks like:

- Maximize $-\sum_{j=1}^n m_j \ln(w_j m_j)$
- Subject to $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2 \leq \delta$ and $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{0}$.
- In absence of extra information, take $w_j = 1$. Lagrange multipliers give:
- Minimize $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2^2 + \alpha^2 \sum_{j=1}^n m_j \ln(w_j m_j)$,
- subject to $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{0}$.

Change the startupfile path to Examples/chap7/examp2 execute it and examp.

Maximize Entropy:

That is, our version. So problem looks like:

- Maximize $-\sum_{j=1}^n m_j \ln(w_j m_j)$
- Subject to $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2 \leq \delta$ and $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{0}$.
- In absence of extra information, take $w_j = 1$. Lagrange multipliers give:
- Minimize $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2^2 + \alpha^2 \sum_{j=1}^n m_j \ln(w_j m_j)$,
- subject to $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{0}$.

Change the startupfile path to Examples/chap7/examp2 execute it and examp.

Maximize Entropy:

That is, our version. So problem looks like:

- Maximize $-\sum_{j=1}^n m_j \ln(w_j m_j)$
- Subject to $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2 \leq \delta$ and $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{0}$.
- In absence of extra information, take $w_j = 1$. Lagrange multipliers give:
- Minimize $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2^2 + \alpha^2 \sum_{j=1}^n m_j \ln(w_j m_j)$,
- subject to $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{0}$.

Change the startupfile path to Examples/chap7/examp2 execute it and examp.

Maximize Entropy:

That is, our version. So problem looks like:

- Maximize $-\sum_{j=1}^n m_j \ln(w_j m_j)$
- Subject to $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2 \leq \delta$ and $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{0}$.
- In absence of extra information, take $w_j = 1$. Lagrange multipliers give:
 - Minimize $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2^2 + \alpha^2 \sum_{j=1}^n m_j \ln(w_j m_j)$,
 - subject to $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{0}$.

Change the startupfile path to Examples/chap7/examp2 execute it and examp.

Maximize Entropy:

That is, our version. So problem looks like:

- Maximize $-\sum_{j=1}^n m_j \ln(w_j m_j)$
- Subject to $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2 \leq \delta$ and $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{0}$.
- In absence of extra information, take $w_j = 1$. Lagrange multipliers give:
- Minimize $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2^2 + \alpha^2 \sum_{j=1}^n m_j \ln(w_j m_j)$,
- subject to $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{0}$.

Change the startupfile path to Examples/chap7/examp2 execute it and examp.

Maximize Entropy:

That is, our version. So problem looks like:

- Maximize $-\sum_{j=1}^n m_j \ln(w_j m_j)$
- Subject to $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2 \leq \delta$ and $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{0}$.
- In absence of extra information, take $w_j = 1$. Lagrange multipliers give:
- Minimize $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2^2 + \alpha^2 \sum_{j=1}^n m_j \ln(w_j m_j)$,
- subject to $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{0}$.

Change the startupfile path to Examples/chap7/examp2 execute it and examp.

Outline

TV Regularization

We only consider total variation regularization from this section.

Regularization term:

$DV(\mathbf{m}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |m_{j+1} - m_j| = \|L\mathbf{m}\|_1$, where L is the matrix used in first order Tikhonov regularization.

- Problem becomes: minimize $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2^2 + \alpha \|\mathbf{m}\|_1$
- Better yet: minimize $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_1 + \alpha \|\mathbf{m}\|_1$.
- Equivalently: minimize $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} G \\ \alpha L \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{m} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_1$.
- Now just use IRLS (iteratively reweighted least squares) to solve it and an L-curve of sorts to find optimal α .
-

TV Regularization

We only consider total variation regularization from this section.

Regularization term:

$DV(\mathbf{m}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |m_{j+1} - m_j| = \|L\mathbf{m}\|_1$, where L is the matrix used in first order Tikhonov regularization.

- Problem becomes: minimize $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2^2 + \alpha \|\mathbf{m}\|_1$
- Better yet: minimize $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_1 + \alpha \|\mathbf{m}\|_1$.
- Equivalently: minimize $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} G \\ \alpha L \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{m} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_1$.
- Now just use IRLS (iteratively reweighted least squares) to solve it and an L-curve of sorts to find optimal α .
-

TV Regularization

We only consider total variation regularization from this section.

Regularization term:

$DV(\mathbf{m}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |m_{j+1} - m_j| = \|L\mathbf{m}\|_1$, where L is the matrix used in first order Tikhonov regularization.

- Problem becomes: minimize $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2^2 + \alpha \|\mathbf{m}\|_1$
- Better yet: minimize $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_1 + \alpha \|\mathbf{m}\|_1$.
- Equivalently: minimize $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} G \\ \alpha L \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{m} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_1$.
- Now just use IRLS (iteratively reweighted least squares) to solve it and an L-curve of sorts to find optimal α .
-

TV Regularization

We only consider total variation regularization from this section.

Regularization term:

$DV(\mathbf{m}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |m_{j+1} - m_j| = \|L\mathbf{m}\|_1$, where L is the matrix used in first order Tikhonov regularization.

- Problem becomes: minimize $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2^2 + \alpha \|\mathbf{m}\|_1$
- Better yet: minimize $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_1 + \alpha \|\mathbf{m}\|_1$.
- Equivalently: minimize $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} G \\ \alpha L \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{m} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_1$.
- Now just use IRLS (iteratively reweighted least squares) to solve it and an L-curve of sorts to find optimal α .

TV Regularization

We only consider total variation regularization from this section.

Regularization term:

$DV(\mathbf{m}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |m_{j+1} - m_j| = \|L\mathbf{m}\|_1$, where L is the matrix used in first order Tikhonov regularization.

- Problem becomes: minimize $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2^2 + \alpha \|\mathbf{m}\|_1$
- Better yet: minimize $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_1 + \alpha \|\mathbf{m}\|_1$.
- Equivalently: minimize $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} G \\ \alpha L \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{m} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_1$.
- Now just use IRLS (iteratively reweighted least squares) to solve it and an L-curve of sorts to find optimal α .

TV Regularization

We only consider total variation regularization from this section.

Regularization term:

$DV(\mathbf{m}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |m_{j+1} - m_j| = \|L\mathbf{m}\|_1$, where L is the matrix used in first order Tikhonov regularization.

- Problem becomes: minimize $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_2^2 + \alpha \|\mathbf{m}\|_1$
- Better yet: minimize $\|G\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{d}\|_1 + \alpha \|\mathbf{m}\|_1$.
- Equivalently: minimize $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} G \\ \alpha L \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{m} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_1$.
- Now just use IRLS (iteratively reweighted least squares) to solve it and an L-curve of sorts to find optimal α .

Total Variation

Key Property:

- TV doesn't smooth discontinuities as much as Tikhonov regularization.

Change startupfile path to Examples/chap7/examp3 execute it and examp.

Total Variation

Key Property:

- TV doesn't smooth discontinuities as much as Tikhonov regularization.

Change startupfile path to Examples/chap7/examp3 execute it and examp.

Outline

Basic Problem:

Solve the system of equations represented in vector form as

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

- Here $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = (F_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, F_m(\mathbf{x}))$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$.

Basic Problem:

Solve the system of equations represented in vector form as

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

- Here $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) = (F_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, F_m(\mathbf{x}))$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$.