

# JDEP 384H: Numerical Methods in Business

Instructor: Thomas Shores  
Department of Mathematics

Lecture 11, February 13, 2007  
110 Kaufmann Center

# Outline

- 1 Basic Financial Assets and Related Issues
  - Mean-Variance Portfolio Optimization
  - Value-at-Risk

# Outline

- 1 Basic Financial Assets and Related Issues
  - Mean-Variance Portfolio Optimization
  - Value-at-Risk

# Mean-Variance Portfolio Optimization

Following text, we'll stick to a portfolio of two risky assets for purpose of illustration. Rather than use absolute quantities, we use rates of return. For simplicity, examine a portfolio of two assets.

- The absolute  $x_i$  above are replaced by fractions  $w_i$ , where  $w_1 + w_2 = 1$  and we denote the vector  $[w_1; w_2]$  by  $\mathbf{w}$ .
- Assume no short positions, so the  $w_i \geq 0$ .
- Rates of return on investments are  $r_1, r_2$ , respectively, so rate of return of portfolio is  $r = w_1 r_1 + w_2 r_2$ .
- The expected returns are  $\bar{r}_1, \bar{r}_2$  and  $\bar{r} = w_1 \bar{r}_1 + w_2 \bar{r}_2$ .
- The r.v.'s  $r_1, r_2$  have covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ , so that the variance of our portfolio is

$$\text{Var}(w_1 r_1 + w_2 r_2) = \mathbf{w}^T \Sigma \mathbf{w}.$$

# Mean-Variance Portfolio Optimization

Following text, we'll stick to a portfolio of two risky assets for purpose of illustration. Rather than use absolute quantities, we use rates of return. For simplicity, examine a portfolio of two assets.

- The absolute  $x_i$  above are replaced by fractions  $w_i$ , where  $w_1 + w_2 = 1$  and we denote the vector  $[w_1; w_2]$  by  $\mathbf{w}$ .
- Assume no short positions, so the  $w_i \geq 0$ .
- Rates of return on investments are  $r_1, r_2$ , respectively, so rate of return of portfolio is  $r = w_1 r_1 + w_2 r_2$ .
- The expected returns are  $\bar{r}_1, \bar{r}_2$  and  $\bar{r} = w_1 \bar{r}_1 + w_2 \bar{r}_2$ .
- The r.v.'s  $r_1, r_2$  have covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ , so that the variance of our portfolio is

$$\text{Var}(w_1 r_1 + w_2 r_2) = \mathbf{w}^T \Sigma \mathbf{w}.$$

# Mean-Variance Portfolio Optimization

Following text, we'll stick to a portfolio of two risky assets for purpose of illustration. Rather than use absolute quantities, we use rates of return. For simplicity, examine a portfolio of two assets.

- The absolute  $x_i$  above are replaced by fractions  $w_i$ , where  $w_1 + w_2 = 1$  and we denote the vector  $[w_1; w_2]$  by  $\mathbf{w}$ .
- Assume no short positions, so the  $w_i \geq 0$ .
- Rates of return on investments are  $r_1, r_2$ , respectively, so rate of return of portfolio is  $r = w_1 r_1 + w_2 r_2$ .
- The expected returns are  $\bar{r}_1, \bar{r}_2$  and  $\bar{r} = w_1 \bar{r}_1 + w_2 \bar{r}_2$ .
- The r.v.'s  $r_1, r_2$  have covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ , so that the variance of our portfolio is

$$\text{Var}(w_1 r_1 + w_2 r_2) = \mathbf{w}^T \Sigma \mathbf{w}.$$

# Mean-Variance Portfolio Optimization

Following text, we'll stick to a portfolio of two risky assets for purpose of illustration. Rather than use absolute quantities, we use rates of return. For simplicity, examine a portfolio of two assets.

- The absolute  $x_i$  above are replaced by fractions  $w_i$ , where  $w_1 + w_2 = 1$  and we denote the vector  $[w_1; w_2]$  by  $\mathbf{w}$ .
- Assume no short positions, so the  $w_i \geq 0$ .
- Rates of return on investments are  $r_1, r_2$ , respectively, so rate of return of portfolio is  $r = w_1 r_1 + w_2 r_2$ .
- The expected returns are  $\bar{r}_1, \bar{r}_2$  and  $\bar{r} = w_1 \bar{r}_1 + w_2 \bar{r}_2$ .
- The r.v.'s  $r_1, r_2$  have covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ , so that the variance of our portfolio is

$$\text{Var}(w_1 r_1 + w_2 r_2) = \mathbf{w}^T \Sigma \mathbf{w}.$$

# Mean-Variance Portfolio Optimization

Following text, we'll stick to a portfolio of two risky assets for purpose of illustration. Rather than use absolute quantities, we use rates of return. For simplicity, examine a portfolio of two assets.

- The absolute  $x_i$  above are replaced by fractions  $w_i$ , where  $w_1 + w_2 = 1$  and we denote the vector  $[w_1; w_2]$  by  $\mathbf{w}$ .
- Assume no short positions, so the  $w_i \geq 0$ .
- Rates of return on investments are  $r_1, r_2$ , respectively, so rate of return of portfolio is  $r = w_1 r_1 + w_2 r_2$ .
- The expected returns are  $\bar{r}_1, \bar{r}_2$  and  $\bar{r} = w_1 \bar{r}_1 + w_2 \bar{r}_2$ .
- The r.v.'s  $r_1, r_2$  have covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ , so that the variance of our portfolio is

$$\text{Var}(w_1 r_1 + w_2 r_2) = \mathbf{w}^T \Sigma \mathbf{w}.$$



## Problems:

- For a given expected return  $\bar{r}_T$ , what weighting gives the minimum variance?
- Answer: the solution to the quadratic programming problem:

$$\min \mathbf{w}^T \Sigma \mathbf{w}$$

subject to

$$\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{r}} = \bar{r}_T$$

$$\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{1} = 1$$

- What is the range of possible expected returns? Examine the definition.

## Problems:

- For a given expected return  $\bar{r}_T$ , what weighting gives the minimum variance?
- Answer: the solution to the quadratic programming problem:

$$\min \mathbf{w}^T \Sigma \mathbf{w}$$

subject to

$$\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{r}} = \bar{r}_T$$

$$\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{1} = 1$$

- What is the range of possible expected returns? Examine the definition.

## Problems:

- For a given expected return  $\bar{r}_T$ , what weighting gives the minimum variance?
- Answer: the solution to the quadratic programming problem:

$$\min \mathbf{w}^T \Sigma \mathbf{w}$$

subject to

$$\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{r}} = \bar{r}_T$$

$$\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{1} = 1$$

- What is the range of possible expected returns? Examine the definition.

## Problems:

- For a given expected return  $\bar{r}_T$ , what weighting gives the minimum variance?
- Answer: the solution to the quadratic programming problem:

$$\min \mathbf{w}^T \Sigma \mathbf{w}$$

subject to

$$\mathbf{w}^T \bar{\mathbf{r}} = \bar{r}_T$$

$$\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{1} = 1$$

- What is the range of possible expected returns? Examine the definition.

# Mean-Variance Portfolio Optimization

## Definition

A portfolio is **efficient** if it is not possible to obtain a higher expected return without increasing the risk.

## Definition

An **efficient frontier** is a graph of efficient portfolio's risk versus expected return.

## Example

From text, p. 74, suppose two assets have expected earning rates  $\bar{r}_1 = 0.2$ ,  $\bar{r}_2 = 0.1$ ,  $\sigma_1^2 = 0.2$ ,  $\sigma_2^2 = 0.4$  and  $\sigma_{12} = -0.1$ . Design an efficient frontier for this problem using Matlab. How would we find the leftmost point on the graph?

# Mean-Variance Portfolio Optimization

## Definition

A portfolio is **efficient** if it is not possible to obtain a higher expected return without increasing the risk.

## Definition

An **efficient frontier** is a graph of efficient portfolio's risk versus expected return.

## Example

From text, p. 74, suppose two assets have expected earning rates  $\bar{r}_1 = 0.2$ ,  $\bar{r}_2 = 0.1$ ,  $\sigma_1^2 = 0.2$ ,  $\sigma_2^2 = 0.4$  and  $\sigma_{12} = -0.1$ . Design an efficient frontier for this problem using Matlab. How would we find the leftmost point on the graph?

# Mean-Variance Portfolio Optimization

## Definition

A portfolio is **efficient** if it is not possible to obtain a higher expected return without increasing the risk.

## Definition

An **efficient frontier** is a graph of efficient portfolio's risk versus expected return.

## Example

From text, p. 74, suppose two assets have expected earning rates  $\bar{r}_1 = 0.2$ ,  $\bar{r}_2 = 0.1$ ,  $\sigma_1^2 = 0.2$ ,  $\sigma_2^2 = 0.4$  and  $\sigma_{12} = -0.1$ . Design an efficient frontier for this problem using Matlab. How would we find the leftmost point on the graph?

# Example Analysis

To solve this problem:

- Write out the system to be solved in detail.
- Notice anything about the weight constraints?
- So use Matlab as follows:

```
>ereturn = (0.1:.01:0.2)
>rhs = [ones(size(ereturn));ereturn]
>coef = [1 1 ; 0.2 0.1]
>wts = coef\rhs
>covar = [0.2 -0.1; -0.1 0.4]
>risks2 = wts'*covar*wts
>risks2 = diag(risks2)
>risks = sqrt(risks2)
>plot(risks,ereturn),grid
>xlabel('Risk (Standard Deviation)')
>ylabel('Expected Return')
```

So where is the efficient frontier? (Try using min on risks)



# Example Analysis

To solve this problem:

- Write out the system to be solved in detail.
- Notice anything about the weight constraints?
- So use Matlab as follows:

```
>ereturn = (0.1:.01:0.2)
>rhs = [ones(size(ereturn));ereturn]
>coef = [1 1 ; 0.2 0.1]
>wts = coef\rhs
>covar = [0.2 -0.1; -0.1 0.4]
>risks2 = wts'*covar*wts
>risks2 = diag(risks2)
>risks = sqrt(risks2)
>plot(risks,ereturn),grid
>xlabel('Risk (Standard Deviation)')
>ylabel('Expected Return')
```

So where is the efficient frontier? (Try using min on risks)

# Example Analysis

To solve this problem:

- Write out the system to be solved in detail.
- Notice anything about the weight constraints?
- So use Matlab as follows:

```
>ereturn = (0.1:.01:0.2)
>rhs = [ones(size(ereturn));ereturn]
>coef = [1 1 ; 0.2 0.1]
>wts = coef\rhs
>covar = [0.2 -0.1; -0.1 0.4]
>risks2 = wts'*covar*wts
>risks2 = diag(risks2)
>risks = sqrt(risks2)
>plot(risks,ereturn),grid
>xlabel('Risk (Standard Deviation)')
>ylabel('Expected Return')
```

So where is the efficient frontier? (Try using min on risks)

## Finding Boundary of Efficient Frontier:

The left-most point on the efficient frontier corresponds to solution of the quadratic programming program

$$\min \mathbf{w}^T \Sigma \mathbf{w}$$

subject to  $\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{1} = 1$  and  $\mathbf{w} \geq 0$ . In our example, this problem can be reduced to one variable and solved by hand (substitute  $1 - w_1$  for  $w_2$ .) This is the **minimum risk portfolio**.

# Putting It All Together

Now we know how to optimize a portfolio at a given return level. But this can't answer the question: what risk level is acceptable? We need to return to the utility function.

## Example

Discuss the kind of problem that has to be solved if the investor's utility function is modeled by

$$u = \bar{r} - 0.005 \cdot A \cdot \sigma^2$$

where  $A$  is linked to the investor's risk aversion, say with a typical range of 2 to 4, in the two asset case considered above. Test for the optimal portfolio *assuming* that it occurs along the efficient frontier.

# Putting It All Together

Start with the observation that if we use a weighted blend of the stocks then

$$u(\mathbf{w}) = [0.2, 0.1] \mathbf{w} - 0.005 \cdot A \cdot \mathbf{w}^T \Sigma \mathbf{w}$$

- Find the expected returns for each weight,  $A = 3, 60$ :  

```
>u = [0.2 0.1]*wts - 0.005*3*risks'  
>plot(wts(1,:),u)
```
- How would we handle this problem if we allowed short positions? Is there even a solution?
- Are there limits on short positions? How would we formulate this in a quadratic programming context?

# Putting It All Together

Start with the observation that if we use a weighted blend of the stocks then

$$u(\mathbf{w}) = [0.2, 0.1] \mathbf{w} - 0.005 \cdot A \cdot \mathbf{w}^T \Sigma \mathbf{w}$$

- Find the expected returns for each weight,  $A = 3, 60$ :  

```
>u = [0.2 0.1]*wts - 0.005*3*risks'  
>plot(wts(1,:),u)
```
- How would we handle this problem if we allowed short positions? Is there even a solution?
- Are there limits on short positions? How would we formulate this in a quadratic programming context?

# Putting It All Together

Start with the observation that if we use a weighted blend of the stocks then

$$u(\mathbf{w}) = [0.2, 0.1] \mathbf{w} - 0.005 \cdot A \cdot \mathbf{w}^T \Sigma \mathbf{w}$$

- Find the expected returns for each weight,  $A = 3, 60$ :  

```
>u = [0.2 0.1]*wts - 0.005*3*risks'
```

```
>plot(wts(1,:),u)
```
- How would we handle this problem if we allowed short positions? Is there even a solution?
- Are there limits on short positions? How would we formulate this in a quadratic programming context?

# Outline

- 1 Basic Financial Assets and Related Issues
  - Mean-Variance Portfolio Optimization
  - Value-at-Risk



# Value-at-Risk

Our last topic in this section is a brief look at measuring **short term** riskiness of a portfolio of stocks. The measure is a number called the **value-at-risk** (VaR) of the portfolio.

How much could we lose? We suppose that:

- Our portfolio's current value is  $W_0$  and the future (random) wealth is  $W = W_0(1 + R)$  in a (short) time interval  $\delta t$ .
- So our change in wealth over this time is

$$\delta W = W - W_0 = W_0 R$$

- We ask: with a confidence level of  $1 - \alpha$  what is the worse that could happen, i.e., the (positive) number VaR such that

$$P[\delta W \leq -\text{VaR}] = 1 - \alpha.$$

# Value-at-Risk

Our last topic in this section is a brief look at measuring **short term** riskiness of a portfolio of stocks. The measure is a number called the **value-at-risk** (VaR) of the portfolio.

How much could we lose? We suppose that:

- Our portfolio's current value is  $W_0$  and the future (random) wealth is  $W = W_0(1 + R)$  in a (short) time interval  $\delta t$ .
- So our change in wealth over this time is

$$\delta W = W - W_0 = W_0 R$$

- We ask: with a confidence level of  $1 - \alpha$  what is the worse that could happen, i.e., the (positive) number VaR such that

$$P[\delta W \leq -\text{VaR}] = 1 - \alpha.$$

# Value-at-Risk

Our last topic in this section is a brief look at measuring **short term** riskiness of a portfolio of stocks. The measure is a number called the **value-at-risk** (VaR) of the portfolio.

How much could we lose? We suppose that:

- Our portfolio's current value is  $W_0$  and the future (random) wealth is  $W = W_0(1 + R)$  in a (short) time interval  $\delta t$ .
- So our change in wealth over this time is

$$\delta W = W - W_0 = W_0 R$$

- We ask: with a confidence level of  $1 - \alpha$  what is the worse that could happen, i.e., the (positive) number VaR such that

$$P[\delta W \leq -\text{VaR}] = 1 - \alpha.$$

# Value-at-Risk

Our last topic in this section is a brief look at measuring **short term** riskiness of a portfolio of stocks. The measure is a number called the **value-at-risk** (VaR) of the portfolio.

How much could we lose? We suppose that:

- Our portfolio's current value is  $W_0$  and the future (random) wealth is  $W = W_0(1 + R)$  in a (short) time interval  $\delta t$ .
- So our change in wealth over this time is

$$\delta W = W - W_0 = W_0 R$$

- We ask: with a confidence level of  $1 - \alpha$  what is the worse that could happen, i.e., the (positive) number VaR such that

$$P[\delta W \leq -\text{VaR}] = 1 - \alpha.$$

# Value-at-Risk

## How much could we lose?

- Last probability is same as  $P\left[R \leq -\frac{VaR}{W_0}\right] = 1 - \alpha$ .
- Now assume random rate of return  $R$  has a known distribution with c.d.f.  $F(r)$ , so that

$$P\left[R \leq -\frac{VaR}{W_0}\right] = F\left(\frac{VaR}{W_0}\right).$$

- We say that at a confidence level  $\alpha$  (e.g.,  $\alpha = 0.95$ ), We look for the number  $VaR$  such that

$$F(r_{1-\alpha}) = F\left(-\frac{VaR}{W_0}\right) = 1 - \alpha$$

and solve for  $VaR$ .

# Value-at-Risk

## How much could we lose?

- Last probability is same as  $P\left[R \leq -\frac{VaR}{W_0}\right] = 1 - \alpha$ .
- Now assume random rate of return  $R$  has a known distribution with c.d.f.  $F(r)$ , so that

$$P\left[R \leq -\frac{VaR}{W_0}\right] = F\left(\frac{VaR}{W_0}\right).$$

- We say that at a confidence level  $\alpha$  (e.g.,  $\alpha = 0.95$ ), We look for the number  $VaR$  such that

$$F(r_{1-\alpha}) = F\left(-\frac{VaR}{W_0}\right) = 1 - \alpha$$

and solve for  $VaR$ .

# Value-at-Risk

## How much could we lose?

- Last probability is same as  $P\left[R \leq -\frac{VaR}{W_0}\right] = 1 - \alpha$ .
- Now assume random rate of return  $R$  has a known distribution with c.d.f.  $F(r)$ , so that

$$P\left[R \leq -\frac{VaR}{W_0}\right] = F\left(\frac{VaR}{W_0}\right).$$

- We say that at a confidence level  $\alpha$  (e.g.,  $\alpha = 0.95$ ), We look for the number  $VaR$  such that

$$F(r_{1-\alpha}) = F\left(-\frac{VaR}{W_0}\right) = 1 - \alpha$$

and solve for  $VaR$ .

# Value-at-Risk

## How much could we lose?

- Last probability is same as  $P\left[R \leq -\frac{VaR}{W_0}\right] = 1 - \alpha$ .
- Now assume random rate of return  $R$  has a known distribution with c.d.f.  $F(r)$ , so that

$$P\left[R \leq -\frac{VaR}{W_0}\right] = F\left(\frac{VaR}{W_0}\right).$$

- We say that at a confidence level  $\alpha$  (e.g.,  $\alpha = 0.95$ ), We look for the number  $VaR$  such that

$$F(r_{1-\alpha}) = F\left(-\frac{VaR}{W_0}\right) = 1 - \alpha$$

and solve for  $VaR$ .



# Example

## Text example (p. 85):

We have a portfolio of two assets with weights  $2/3$  and  $1/3$ . Daily volatilities are  $\sigma_1 = 2\%$  and  $\sigma_2 = 1\%$ , which translate into variances of  $\sigma^2 \cdot \delta t$  for the time period, where  $\sigma$  is the daily volatility of the portfolio. Also, (daily) correlation is  $\rho = 0.7$  and  $\delta t$  is 10 days. Assume the rate of return is normally distributed with mean zero and standard deviation given by the volatility of the portfolio. What is the VaR on a ten million dollar investment?

- Calculate the volatility of the portfolio.
- Use the `norm_inv.m` function to determine the VaR.
- What if the stocks were negatively correlated by  $\rho = -.7$ ?
- We should factor in the drift  $\mu$ , if the time line is very long. How? Add growth to VaR.
- VaR suffers some severe defects. For one, it is not subadditive, that is, we could have assets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $\text{VaR}(A + B) > \text{VaR}(A) + \text{VaR}(B)$ . This is odd indeed!

# Example

## Text example (p. 85):

We have a portfolio of two assets with weights  $2/3$  and  $1/3$ . Daily volatilities are  $\sigma_1 = 2\%$  and  $\sigma_2 = 1\%$ , which translate into variances of  $\sigma^2 \cdot \delta t$  for the time period, where  $\sigma$  is the daily volatility of the portfolio. Also, (daily) correlation is  $\rho = 0.7$  and  $\delta t$  is 10 days. Assume the rate of return is normally distributed with mean zero and standard deviation given by the volatility of the portfolio. What is the VaR on a ten million dollar investment?

- Calculate the volatility of the portfolio.
- Use the `norm_inv.m` function to determine the VaR.
- What if the stocks were negatively correlated by  $\rho = -.7$ ?
- We should factor in the drift  $\mu$ , if the time line is very long. How? Add growth to VaR.
- VaR suffers some severe defects. For one, it is not subadditive, that is, we could have assets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $\text{VaR}(A + B) > \text{VaR}(A) + \text{VaR}(B)$ . This is odd indeed!

# Example

## Text example (p. 85):

We have a portfolio of two assets with weights  $2/3$  and  $1/3$ . Daily volatilities are  $\sigma_1 = 2\%$  and  $\sigma_2 = 1\%$ , which translate into variances of  $\sigma^2 \cdot \delta t$  for the time period, where  $\sigma$  is the daily volatility of the portfolio. Also, (daily) correlation is  $\rho = 0.7$  and  $\delta t$  is 10 days. Assume the rate of return is normally distributed with mean zero and standard deviation given by the volatility of the portfolio. What is the VaR on a ten million dollar investment?

- Calculate the volatility of the portfolio.
- Use the `norm_inv.m` function to determine the VaR.
- What if the stocks were negatively correlated by  $\rho = -.7$ ?
- We should factor in the drift  $\mu$ , if the time line is very long. How? Add growth to VaR.
- VaR suffers some severe defects. For one, it is not subadditive, that is, we could have assets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $\text{VaR}(A + B) > \text{VaR}(A) + \text{VaR}(B)$ . This is odd indeed!

# Example

## Text example (p. 85):

We have a portfolio of two assets with weights  $2/3$  and  $1/3$ . Daily volatilities are  $\sigma_1 = 2\%$  and  $\sigma_2 = 1\%$ , which translate into variances of  $\sigma^2 \cdot \delta t$  for the time period, where  $\sigma$  is the daily volatility of the portfolio. Also, (daily) correlation is  $\rho = 0.7$  and  $\delta t$  is 10 days. Assume the rate of return is normally distributed with mean zero and standard deviation given by the volatility of the portfolio. What is the VaR on a ten million dollar investment?

- Calculate the volatility of the portfolio.
- Use the `norm_inv.m` function to determine the VaR.
- What if the stocks were negatively correlated by  $\rho = -.7$ ?
- We should factor in the drift  $\mu$ , if the time line is very long. How? Add growth to VaR.
- VaR suffers some severe defects. For one, it is not subadditive, that is, we could have assets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $\text{VaR}(A + B) > \text{VaR}(A) + \text{VaR}(B)$ . This is odd indeed!

# Example

## Text example (p. 85):

We have a portfolio of two assets with weights  $2/3$  and  $1/3$ . Daily volatilities are  $\sigma_1 = 2\%$  and  $\sigma_2 = 1\%$ , which translate into variances of  $\sigma^2 \cdot \delta t$  for the time period, where  $\sigma$  is the daily volatility of the portfolio. Also, (daily) correlation is  $\rho = 0.7$  and  $\delta t$  is 10 days. Assume the rate of return is normally distributed with mean zero and standard deviation given by the volatility of the portfolio. What is the VaR on a ten million dollar investment?

- Calculate the volatility of the portfolio.
- Use the `norm_inv.m` function to determine the VaR.
- What if the stocks were negatively correlated by  $\rho = -.7$ ?
- We should factor in the drift  $\mu$ , if the time line is very long. How? Add growth to VaR.
- VaR suffers some severe defects. For one, it is not subadditive, that is, we could have assets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $\text{VaR}(A + B) > \text{VaR}(A) + \text{VaR}(B)$ . This is odd indeed!

# Example

## Text example (p. 85):

We have a portfolio of two assets with weights  $2/3$  and  $1/3$ . Daily volatilities are  $\sigma_1 = 2\%$  and  $\sigma_2 = 1\%$ , which translate into variances of  $\sigma^2 \cdot \delta t$  for the time period, where  $\sigma$  is the daily volatility of the portfolio. Also, (daily) correlation is  $\rho = 0.7$  and  $\delta t$  is 10 days. Assume the rate of return is normally distributed with mean zero and standard deviation given by the volatility of the portfolio. What is the VaR on a ten million dollar investment?

- Calculate the volatility of the portfolio.
- Use the `norm_inv.m` function to determine the VaR.
- What if the stocks were negatively correlated by  $\rho = -.7$ ?
- We should factor in the drift  $\mu$ , if the time line is very long. How? Add growth to VaR.
- VaR suffers some severe defects. For one, it is not subadditive, that is, we could have assets  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $\text{VaR}(A + B) > \text{VaR}(A) + \text{VaR}(B)$ . This is odd indeed!