

Continuing with: For  $k < n$  and  $h : I^k \rightarrow S^n$  an embedding of a  $k$ -cube in to the  $n$ -sphere,  $\tilde{H}_i(S^n \setminus h(I^k)) = 0$  for all  $i$ .

We've shown how we can throw away half of the cube without losing a (chosen) non-zero homology element. Now we continue inductively, cutting  $C \times [0, 1]$  in two along the last coordinate as  $C \times [0, 1/2]$ ,  $C \times [1/2, 1]$  and repeat the same argument. We find that  $\tilde{H}_i(S^n \setminus h(C \times [a, b])) \neq 0$ , and  $[z]$  maps to a non-zero element under the inclusion-induced homomorphism.. Continuing inductively, we find a sequence of nested intervals  $I_n = [a_n, b_n] \supseteq [a_{n+1}, b_{n+1}]$  whose lengths tend to zero (so  $a_n, b_n \rightarrow x_0 \in I$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), and inclusion-induced maps

$$0 \neq \tilde{H}_i(S^n \setminus h(I^n)) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \tilde{H}_i(S^n \setminus h(C \times I_n)) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_i(S^n \setminus h(C \times I_{n+1}))$$

all of which send a certain non-zero element  $[z] \in \tilde{H}_i(S^n \setminus h(I^n))$  to a non-zero element, and all of which have an inclusion-induced map to  $\tilde{H}_i(S^n \setminus h(C \times \{x_0\})) = 0$ . So there is a non-trivial element  $[z] \in \tilde{H}_i(S^n \setminus h(I^n))$  which remains non-zero in all  $\tilde{H}_i(S^n \setminus h(C \times I_n))$ , but is zero in  $\tilde{H}_i(S^n \setminus h(C \times \{x_0\}))$ . Consequently,  $z\partial w$  for some chain  $w = \sum a_j \sigma_j^{i+1} \in C_{i+1}(S^n \setminus h(C \times \{x_0\}))$ . Each singular simplex, however, is a map  $\sigma_j^{i+1} : \Delta^{i+1} \rightarrow S^n \setminus h(C \times \{x_0\})$ , and so has compact image. But the sets  $S^n \setminus h(C \times I_n)$  form a nested open cover of  $S^n \setminus h(C \times \{x_0\})$ , and so of  $\sigma_j^{i+1}(\Delta^{i+1})$ , and so there is an  $n_j$  with  $\sigma_j^{i+1}(\Delta^{i+1}) \subseteq S^n \setminus h(C \times I_{n_j})$ . Then setting  $N = \max\{n_j\}$ , we have  $\sigma_j^{i+1} : \Delta^{i+1} \rightarrow S^n \setminus h(C \times I_N)$  for every  $j$ , so  $w \in C_{i+1}(S^n \setminus h(C \times I_N))$ , so  $0 = [z] \in \tilde{H}_i(S^n \setminus h(C \times I_N))$ , a contradiction. So  $\tilde{H}_i(S^n \setminus h(I^k)) = 0$ , and our inductive step is proved.

One immediate consequence of this is that if  $h : S^k \rightarrow S^n$  is an embedding of the  $k$ -sphere into the  $n$ -sphere, then thinking of  $S^k$  as the union of its upper and lower hemispheres,  $D_+^k, D_-^k$ , each of which is homeomorphic to  $I^k$ , we have  $D_+^k \cap D_-^k = S^{k-1}$ , the equatorial  $(k-1)$ -sphere, and so by Mayer-Vietoris we have

$$\cdots \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{i+1}(S^n \setminus h(D_-^k)) \oplus \tilde{H}_{i+1}(S^n \setminus h(D_+^k)) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{i+1}(S^n \setminus h(S^{k-1})) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_i(S^n \setminus h(S^k)) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_i(S^n \setminus h(D_-^k)) \oplus \tilde{H}_i(S^n \setminus h(D_+^k)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

i.e.,  $\tilde{H}_i(S^n \setminus h(S^k)) \cong \tilde{H}_{i+1}(S^n \setminus h(S^{k-1})) \cong \cdots \cong \tilde{H}_{i+k}(S^n \setminus h(S^0)) \cong \tilde{H}_{i+k}(S^{n-1})$ , since  $S^0 = 2$  points, and so  $S^n \setminus h(S^0) \cong S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \simeq S^{n-1}$ . So  $\tilde{H}_i(S^n \setminus h(S^k)) = 0$  unless  $i + k = n - 1$  (i.e.,  $i = n - k - 1$ ), when it is  $\mathbb{Z}$ . I.e.,  $S^n \setminus h(S^k)$  has the homology of  $S^{n-k-1}$ .

In particular,  $\tilde{H}_0(S^n \setminus h(S^{n-1})) = \mathbb{Z}$ , so we have the **Jordan-Brouwer Separation Theorem: every embedded  $S^{n-1}$  in  $S^n$  has two complementary path-components  $A, B$** . With some work, one can show that  $\overline{A} \cap \overline{B} = h(S^{n-1})$ , so the  $(n-1)$ -sphere is the frontier of each component. [Removing a point from  $S^n$  to get  $\mathbb{R}^n$  does not change the conclusion (for  $n > 1$ ); a point does not disconnect an open subset of  $S^n$ .]

When  $n = 2$ , the Jordan Curve Theorem (as it is then called) has the additional consequence that the closure of each complementary region is a compact 2-disk, each having the embedded circle  $h(S^1)$  as its boundary. This stronger result does not extend to higher dimensions, without putting extra restrictions on the embedding. This was shown by Alexander (shortly after publishing an incorrect proof without restrictions) for  $n = 3$ ; these examples are known as the Alexander horned spheres.

To prove Invariance of Domain, let  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \subseteq S^n$  be an open set, and  $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \hookrightarrow S^n$  be injective and continuous. It suffices to show, for every  $x \in \mathcal{U}$ , that there is an open neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}$  with  $f(x) \subseteq \mathcal{V} \subseteq f(\mathcal{U})$ . Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is open, there is an open ball  $B^n$  centered at  $x$  whose closure  $D^n$  is contained in  $\mathcal{U}$ .  $f$  is then an embedding of  $\partial D^n = S^{n-1}$  into  $S^n$ , and of  $D^n \cong I^n$  into  $S^n$ . By our calculations above,  $S^n \setminus f(S^{n-1})$  has two path components  $A, B$ ; being an open set and contained in a locally path-connected space, these are also the connected components of the complement. But our calculations above also show that  $S^n \setminus f(D^n)$  is path-connected, hence connected, and  $f(B^n)$ , being the image of a connected set, is connected. Since  $f(B^n) \cup (S^n \setminus f(D^n)) = S^n \setminus f(S^{n-1}) = A \cup B$ , it follows that  $f(B^n) = A$  and  $S^n \setminus f(D^n) = B$  (or vice versa). In particular,  $f(B^n)$  is open, forming an open subset of  $f(\mathcal{U})$  containing  $f(x)$ , as desired.