

Homology on “small” chains = singular homology: If $\{\mathcal{U}_\alpha\}$ is an open cover of X , then the inclusions $i_n : C_n^{\mathcal{U}}(X) \rightarrow C_n(X)$ induce isomorphisms on homology. This leads to the **Mayer-Vietoris Sequence**: If $X = \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{V}$ is the union of two open sets, then the short exact sequences

$0 \rightarrow C_n(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow C_n(\mathcal{U}) \oplus C_n(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow C_n^{\{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}\}}(X) \rightarrow 0$, together with the isomorphism above, give the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_n(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}) \xrightarrow{(i_{\mathcal{U}*}, -i_{\mathcal{V}*})} H_n(\mathcal{U}) \oplus H_n(\mathcal{V}) \xrightarrow{j_{\mathcal{U}*} + j_{\mathcal{V}*}} H_n(X) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{n-1}(\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

And just like Seifert - van Kampen, we can replace open sets by sets A, B having neighborhoods which deformation retract to them, and whose intersection deformation retracts to $A \cap B$. **For example, subcomplexes $A, B \subseteq X$ of a CW-complex, with $A \cup B = X$ have homology satisfying a long exact sequence**

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_n(A \cap B) \xrightarrow{(i_{A*}, -i_{B*})} H_n(A) \oplus H_n(B) \xrightarrow{j_{A*} + j_{B*}} H_n(X) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{n-1}(A \cap B) \rightarrow \cdots$$

And this is also true for reduced homology; we just augment the chain complexes used above with the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$, where the first non-trivial map is $a \mapsto (a, -a)$ and the second is $(a, b) \mapsto a + b$.

And then we can do some meaningful calculations! An n -sphere S^n is the union $S_+^n \cup S_-^n$ of its upper and lower hemispheres, each of which is contractible, and have intersection $S_+^n \cap S_-^n = S_0^{n-1}$ the equatorial $(n-1)$ -sphere. So Mayer-Vietoris gives us the exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(S_+^n) \oplus \tilde{H}_k(S_-^n) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(S^n) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{k-1}(S_0^{n-1}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{k-1}(S_+^n) \oplus \tilde{H}_{k-1}(S_-^n) \rightarrow \cdots, \text{ i.e., } 0 \rightarrow \tilde{H}_k(S^n) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{k-1}(S_0^{n-1}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ i.e., } \tilde{H}_k(S^n) \cong \tilde{H}_{k-1}(S_0^{n-1}) \text{ for every } k \text{ and } n. \text{ So by induction, } \tilde{H}_k(S^n) \cong \tilde{H}_{k-n}(S^0) \cong \mathbb{Z}, \text{ if } k = n \text{ and } = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

The 2-torus $T^2 = S^1 \times S^1$ can be thought of as the union of two copies of an annulus $S^1 \times I$, glued together along their (pair of) boundary circles. The resulting LES is $\tilde{H}_2(S^1 \times I) \oplus \tilde{H}_2(S^1 \times I) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_2(T^2) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1(S^1 \amalg S^1) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1(S^1 \times I) \oplus \tilde{H}_1(S^1 \times I) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1(T^2) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_0(S^1 \amalg S^1) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_0(S^1 \times I) \oplus \tilde{H}_0(S^1 \times I)$ which renders as $0 \rightarrow \tilde{H}_2(T^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1(T^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$

We need to know more about the map $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. The first group has generators consisting of the generators of each of the S^1 path components of $A \cap B$ (represented by the singular 1-simplex wrapping exactly once around the circle), and are each sent to a generator for each of the $S^1 \times I$. Since φ was chosen to be $(i_{A*}, -i_{B*})$, we find that φ has matrix $(1, 1; -1, -1)$, which has image spanned by $[1, 1]^T$ and kernel spanned by $[1, 1]^T$. **From exactness and a few Noether isomorphism theorems, we can cut up our long exact sequence above as**

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{H}_2(T^2) \rightarrow \ker \varphi \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } 0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})/\text{im } \varphi \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1(T^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

(since the first map is onto its image, and the second to last map is injective, once we mod out by its kernel). The first implies that $\tilde{H}_2(T^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and the second (since our basis for the image extends to a basis for \mathbb{Z}^2) becomes $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1(T^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$. This implies that $\tilde{H}_2(T^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$, because of the

Fact: if $0 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{\varphi} G \xrightarrow{\psi} H \rightarrow 0$ is exact and there is a homomorphism $\rho : H \rightarrow G$ with $\psi\rho = \text{Id}$, then $G \cong K \times H$. The **proof** consists of defining $\sigma : K \times H \rightarrow G$ by $\sigma(k, h) = \varphi(k) + \rho(h)$. As the sum of two homomorphisms it is a homomorphism. If $\sigma(k, h) = \varphi(k) + \rho(h) = 0$ then $0 = \psi\sigma(k, h) = \psi\varphi(k) + \psi\rho(h) = 0 + h = h$, so $0 = \sigma(k, h) = \varphi(k) + \rho(h) = \varphi(k)$, so $k = 0$ by the injectivity of φ . So $(k, h) = (0, 0)$. For surjectivity, given $g \in G$, let $h = \psi(g)$; then $\psi(g - \rho h) = \psi g - \psi\rho h = h - h = 0$, so there is a $k \in K$ with $\varphi k = g - \rho h$, so $\sigma(k, h) = \varphi k + \rho h = g$.

[There are several results like this; the conclusion says that the SES *splits* or is *split exact*; the map ρ provides one sufficient condition for splitness

Consequently, $\tilde{H}_i(T^2) = \mathbb{Z}$ for $i = 2$, \mathbb{Z}^2 for $i = 1$, and 0 for all other i (since T^2 is path-connected, and for $i \geq 3$, our LES reads $\rightarrow \tilde{H}_i(T^2) \rightarrow 0$).

The computation for the Klein bottle K^2 is similar; it can be expressed as a pair of annuli $S^1 \times I$ glued along their boundaries, but one of the gluings is by a reflection. The associated inclusion-induced homomorphism, in exactly one case, is $-\text{Id}$, not Id ; and so the resulting matrix, for one choice of generators, is $(1, 1; -1, 1)$. After row and column reduction, this becomes $(1, 0; 0, 2)$. This matrix has no kernel, so, using the same cutting up process, $0 \rightarrow \tilde{H}_2(K^2) \rightarrow \ker \varphi \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z})/\text{im } \varphi \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1(K^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ becomes $0 \rightarrow \tilde{H}_2(K^2) \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1(K^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ so $\tilde{H}_2(K^2) = 0$ and $\tilde{H}_1(K^2) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$. As before, all other (reduced) homology groups are 0.

For the real projective plane P^2 , we can express it as a Möbius band M with a disk D glued to its boundary. Their intersection is a circle S^1 . Writing the Mayer-Vietoris sequence in this situation gives $0 \rightarrow \tilde{H}_2(P^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \oplus \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1(P^2) \rightarrow 0$.

Again we need to know more about the middle map $i_* : \tilde{H}_1(S^1) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1(M)$ in order to determine the unknown groups. M deformation retracts to its central circle, and the generator for $\tilde{H}_1(\partial M)$, wrapping once around ∂M , is sent to a map wrapping around twice, and so represents $2 \times \text{generator} \in \tilde{H}_1(M)$. So the middle map is injective, with image $2\mathbb{Z}$. And so $\tilde{H}_2(P^2) = 0$, and $\tilde{H}_1(P^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/\text{im}(i_*) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. All other groups, as before, are 0.