

# Math 971 Algebraic Topology

March 31, 2005

**Relative homology:** we build the singular chain complex of a pair  $(X, A)$ , i.e., of a space  $X$  and a subspace  $A \subseteq X$ . Since as abelian groups we can think of  $C_n(A)$  as a subgroup of  $C_n(X)$  (under the injective homomorphism induced by the inclusion  $i : A \rightarrow X$ ) we can set  $C_n(X, A) = C_n(X)/C_n(A)$ . Since the boundary map  $\partial_n : C_n(X) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(X)$  satisfies  $\partial_n(C_n(A) \subseteq C_{n-1}(A)$  (the boundary of a map into  $A$  maps into  $A$ ), we get an induced boundary map  $\partial_n : C_n(X, A) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(X, A)$ . These groups and maps  $(C_n(X, A), \partial_n)$  form a chain complex, whose homology groups are the *singular relative homology groups of the pair*  $(X, A)$ . To be a cycle in relative homology, you need to have a representative  $z$  with  $\partial z \in C_{n-1}(A)$ , i.e., you are a chain with boundary in  $A$ . To be a boundary, you need  $z = \partial w + a$  for some  $w \in C_{n+1}(X)$  and  $a \in C_n(A)$ , i.e., you *cobound* a chain in  $A$  ( $\partial w = z - a$ ). Note that the relative homology of the pair  $(X, \emptyset)$  is just the ordinary homology of  $X$ ; we aren't modding out by anything.

The inclusion  $i_n$  and projection  $p_n$  maps give us short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow C_n(A) \rightarrow C_n(X) \rightarrow C_n(X, A) \rightarrow 0$$

and since the boundary on chains in  $X$  restricts to the boundary on  $A$  and induces the boundary on  $(X, A)$ ,  $i_n$  and  $p_n$  are chain maps. So we get a long exact homology sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_n(A) \rightarrow H_n(X) \rightarrow H_n(X, A) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(A) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(X) \rightarrow \cdots$$

We can also replace the absolute homology groups in this sequence with reduced homology groups, by augmenting the short exact sequences with  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0$  at the bottom. There is also a long exact sequence of a triple  $(X, A, B)$ , where by triple we mean  $B \subseteq A \subseteq X$ . From the short exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow C_n(A, B) \rightarrow C_n(X, B) \rightarrow C_n(X, A) \rightarrow 0$  (i.e.,

$$0 \rightarrow C_n(A)/C_n(B) \rightarrow C_n(X)/C_n(B) \rightarrow C_n(X)/C_n(A) \rightarrow 0)$$

we get the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_n(A, B) \rightarrow H_n(X, B) \rightarrow H_n(X, A) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(A, B) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(X, B) \rightarrow \cdots$$

So for example if we look at the pair  $(\mathbb{D}^n, \partial\mathbb{D}^n) = (\mathbb{D}^n, S^{n-1})$ , since the reduced homology of  $\mathbb{D}^n$  is trivial in every dimension, every third group in our LES is 0, giving  $H_m(\mathbb{D}^n, S^{n-1}) \cong \tilde{H}_{m-1}(S^{n-1})$  for every  $m$  and  $n$ .

A basic fact is that if  $A$  sits in  $X$  “nicely enough” (think:  $A$  is a subcomplex of the cell complex  $X$ ), then  $H_n(X, A) \cong \tilde{H}_n(X/A)$ . We will shortly prove this! One nice consequence is that we can do some (non-trivial!) basic calculations: taking  $X = \mathbb{D}^n$  and  $A = \partial\mathbb{D}^n = S^{n-1}$ , we have  $\mathbb{D}^n/S^{n-1} \cong S^n$ , and the previous two facts combine to give  $\tilde{H}_m(S^n) \cong \tilde{H}_{m-1}(S^{n-1})$  for every  $m$  and  $n$ . By induction (since we know that values of  $\tilde{H}_{m-n}(S^0)$ , we find that  $\tilde{H}_n(S^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and all other homology groups are 0.

And this, in turn, let's us prove a fairly sizable theorem:

**Brouwer Fixed Point Theorem:** For every  $n$ , every map  $f : \mathbb{D}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^n$  has a fixed point.

**Proof:** If  $f(x) \neq x$  for every  $x$ , then is with the  $n = 2$  case that you may have seen before, we can construct a retraction  $r : \mathbb{D}^n \rightarrow \partial\mathbb{D}^n = S^{n-1}$  by setting  $r(x) =$  the (first) point past  $f(x)$  where the ray from  $f(x)$  to  $x$  meets  $\partial\mathbb{D}^n$ . This function is continuous, and is the identity on the boundary. So from our of your problem sets, the inclusion-induced map  $i_* : H_{n-1}(S^n) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(\mathbb{D}^n)$  is injective. But this is impossible, since the first group is  $\mathbb{Z}$  and the second is 0.

Another source of short exact sequences is *homology with coefficients*. In ordinary (singular) homology, our chains are formal linear combinations of singular simplices, with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . But all we needed to know about  $\mathbb{Z}$  was that we could add things, and that integers have negatives (and how to recognize 0). So, any abelian group  $G$  should work. If we define singular chains with coefficients in  $G$  to be formal linear combinations  $\sum g_i \sigma_i^n$ , then since the boundary map is computed simplex by simplex, we can define  $\partial(g\sigma) = \sum (-1)^i g \sigma|_{\Delta_i^{n-1}}$ , essentially as before, and get a new chain complex  $C_*(X; G)$ . It's homology groups (cycles/boundaries) is the *homology of  $X$  with coefficients in  $G$* ,  $H_*(X; G)$ . We can also define relative homology groups  $H_*(X, A; G)$  in exactly the same way as before.

From this perspective, our original homology groups  $H_n(X)$  should be called  $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z})$ . And the point, in the context of our present discussion, is that a short exact sequence of coefficient groups,  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$  induces a short exact sequence of chain groups  $0 \rightarrow C_n(X; K) \rightarrow C_n(X; G) \rightarrow C_n(X; H) \rightarrow 0$ , giving us a long exact homology sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{n+1}(X; H) \rightarrow H_n(X; K) \rightarrow H_n(X; G) \rightarrow H_n(X; H) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(X, K) \rightarrow \cdots$$

So for example, the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow 0$ , where the first map is multiplication by  $n$ , and the second is reduction mod  $n$ , is exact, and gives us a long exact sequence involving ordinary homology and homology mod  $n$ . Everything we have done with homology so far goes through with coefficients, essentially with the identical proof; for example, homotopy equivalent spaces have isomorphic homology with coefficients, and homotopic maps induce the same maps on homology.