

**Math 445**  
**Handy facts for the second exam**

Don't forget the handy facts from the first exam!

**Quadratic Reciprocity.**

**Quadratic Residues:** If  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{n}$  has a solution,  $a$  is a *quadratic residue* modulo  $n$ . If it doesn't,  $a$  is a *quadratic non-residue* modulo  $n$ . Euler's Criterion gives us a test: if  $p$  is a prime, then  $a$  is a quadratic residue mod  $n \Leftrightarrow a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

The *Legendre symbol*; for  $p$  an odd prime,

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p|a \\ 1 & \text{if } a \text{ is a quadratic residue mod } p \\ -1 & \text{if } a \text{ is a quadratic non-residue mod } p \end{cases}$$

By Euler's criterion,  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \equiv a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \pmod{p}$ .

Basic facts:  $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ ,  $\left(\frac{ab}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right)\left(\frac{b}{p}\right)$ , and  $\left(\frac{a+pk}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ .

*Lemma of Gauss:* Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $(a, p) = 1$ . For  $1 \leq k \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$  let  $ak = pt_k + a_k$  with  $0 \leq a_k \leq p-1$ . Let  $A = \{k : a_k > \frac{p}{2}\}$ , and let  $n = |A|$  = the number of elements in  $A$ . Then  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = (-1)^n$ .

*Theorem:* Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $(a, 2p) = 1$  (i.e.,  $(a, p) = 1$  and  $a$  is odd). Let  $t = \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \lfloor \frac{aj}{p} \rfloor$ . Then  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = (-1)^t$ .

Along the way, this gives:  $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = (-1)^n = (-1)^{\frac{p^2-1}{8}}$ . And putting it all together, we get

**Gauss' Law of Quadratic Reciprocity:**

If  $p$  and  $q$  are distinct odd primes, then  $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)}$ .

The facts

$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)}$  for distinct odd primes,  $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p^2-1}{8}}$ , and  $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$

allow us to carry out the calculations of Legendre symbols much more simply than Euler's criterion would.

For  $Q$  odd and  $(A, Q) = 1$ , if  $Q = q_1 \cdots q_k$  is the prime factorization of  $Q$ , then the *Jacobi symbol*  $\left(\frac{A}{Q}\right)$  is defined to be  $\left(\frac{A}{Q}\right) = \left(\frac{A}{q_1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{A}{q_k}\right)$ .

Some basic properties:

If  $(A, Q) = 1 = (B, Q)$  then  $\left(\frac{AB}{Q}\right) = \left(\frac{A}{Q}\right)\left(\frac{B}{Q}\right)$

If  $(A, Q) = 1 = (A, Q')$  then  $\left(\frac{A}{QQ'}\right) = \left(\frac{A}{Q}\right)\left(\frac{A}{Q'}\right)$

If  $(PP', QQ') = 1$  then  $\left(\frac{PP'}{QQ'}\right) = \left(\frac{P'}{Q'}\right)$

**Warning!** If  $Q$  is not prime, then  $\left(\frac{A}{Q}\right) = 1$  does *not* mean that  $x^2 \equiv A \pmod{Q}$  has a solution. Most of its properties are identical to the Legendre symbol:

If  $Q$  is odd, then  $\left(\frac{-1}{Q}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{Q-1}{2}}$

If  $Q$  is odd, then  $\left(\frac{2}{Q}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{Q^2-1}{8}}$

If  $P$  and  $Q$  are both odd, and  $(P, Q) = 1$ , then  $\left(\frac{P}{Q}\right)\left(\frac{Q}{P}\right) = (-1)^{\left(\frac{P-1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{Q-1}{2}\right)}$

Since the Jacobi symbol has essentially the same properties as the Legendre symbol, we can compute them in essentially the same way; extract factors of 2 from the top (and  $-1$ ), and use reciprocity to compute the rest. The advantage: we don't need to factor the top any further, any odd number will work fine.

Interlude:  $\sum_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{p}$  diverges.

We showed: the sum of the reciprocals of the primes  $\leq N$  is  $\geq \ln(\ln(N)) - 4$ . In fact, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\left(\sum_{p \text{ prime}, p \leq n} \frac{1}{p}\right) - \ln(\ln(n))$  converges to a finite constant  $M$ , known as the *Meissel-Mertens constant*. Its value is, approximately, 0.26149721284764278... .

### Continued Fractions.

If we look at each line of the calculation of g.c.d of  $a$  and  $b$ ,

$$a = bq_0 + r_0, b = r_0q_1 + r_1, \dots, r_{n-2} = r_{n-1}q_n + r_n, r_n = r_{n-1}q_{n+1} + 0$$

they can be re-written as

$$\frac{a}{b} = q_0 + \frac{r_0}{b}, \frac{b}{r_0} = q_1 + \frac{r_1}{r_0}, \dots, \frac{r_{n-2}}{r_{n-1}} = q_n + \frac{r_n}{r_{n-1}}, \frac{r_n}{r_{n-1}} = q_{n+1}$$

When we put these together, we get a *continued fraction expansion* of  $a/b$

$$(*) \quad \frac{a}{b} = q_0 + \frac{1}{q_1 + \frac{1}{q_2 + \frac{1}{\dots + \frac{1}{q_{n+1}}}}}$$

which, for the sake of saving space, we will denote  $\langle q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{n+1} \rangle$ . Note that, conversely, given a collection  $q_0, \dots, q_{n+1}$  of integers, we can construct a rational number, which we denote  $\langle q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{n+1} \rangle$ , by the formula (\*).

Formally, we can try to do the same thing with any real number  $x$ ; i.e., “compute” the g.c.d. of  $x$  and 1 :

$$x = 1 \cdot a_0 + r_0, 1 = r_0a_1 + r_1, \dots, r_{n-2} = r_{n-1}a_n + r_n, \text{ where the } a_i\text{'s are integers.}$$

Unlike for the rational number  $a/b$ , if  $x$  is irrational, we shall see that this process does not terminate, giving us an “infinite” continued fraction expansion of  $x$ ,  $\langle a_0, a_1, a_2 \dots \rangle$ . Our main goal is to figure out what this sequence of integers means!

First, a slightly different perspective:

$x = a_0 + r_0$  with  $0 \leq r_0 < 1$  means  $a_0 = \lfloor x \rfloor$  is the largest integer  $\leq x$ ;  $\lfloor \text{blah} \rfloor$  is the *greatest integer function*.  $1 = r_0a_1 + r_1$  with  $0 \leq r_1 < r_0$  means  $1/r_0 = a_1 + (r_1/r_0) = a_1 + x_1$  with  $0 \leq x_1 < 1$ , so  $q_1 = \lfloor 1/r_0 \rfloor$ . In general, the process of extracting the continued fraction expansion of  $x$  looks like:

$$(**) \quad x = [x] + r_0 = a_0 + r_0, \quad 1/r_0 = [1/r_0] + r_1 = a_1 + r_1, \dots, \\ 1/r_{n-1} = [1/r_{n-1}] + r_n = a_n + r_n, \dots$$

If we stop this at any finite stage, then we can, just as in the case of a rational number  $a/b$ , reassemble the pieces to give

$$x = \langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n + r_n \rangle = \langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n, 1/r_n \rangle$$

If we ignore the last  $r_n$ , we find that  $\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n \rangle$  is a rational number (proof: induction on  $n$ ), called the  $n^{\text{th}}$  *convergent* of  $x$ . The integers  $a_n$  are called the  $n^{\text{th}}$  *partial quotients* of  $x$ . Note that since  $0 \leq r_0 < 1$ ,  $1/r_0 > 1$ , so  $a_1 \geq 1$ . This is true for all later calculations, so  $a_i \geq 1$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . This sort of continued fraction expansion is what is called *simple*. We will, in our studies, only deal with simple continued fractions.

For example, we can compute that, for  $x = \sqrt{2}$ ,  $a_0 = 1$ ,  $x_0 = \sqrt{2} - 1$ ,  $1/r_0 = \sqrt{2} + 1$ ,  $a_1 = 2$ ,  $r_1 = \sqrt{2} - 1 = r_0$ , so the pattern will repeat, and  $\sqrt{2}$  has continued fraction expansion  $\langle 1, 2, 2, \dots \rangle$ . By computing some partial quotients, one can show that  $\pi$  has expansion that begins  $\langle 3, 7, 15, 1, 292, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3, 1, 14, 2, 1, 1, \dots \rangle$ . Euler showed that  $e = \langle 2, 1, 2, 1, 1, 4, 1, 1, 6, 1, 1, 8, 1, 1, 10, 1, 1, 12, \dots \rangle$ .

By looking at the expression for a continued fraction, that we started with, it should be apparent that

$$\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n \rangle = \langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} + \frac{1}{a_n} \rangle = a_0 + \frac{1}{\langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n \rangle}$$

From this it follows, for example, that  $\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n \rangle = \langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n - 1, 1 \rangle$ . But these are the only such equalities:

**Prop:** If  $\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle = \langle b_0, b_1, \dots, b_m \rangle$  and  $a_n, b_m > 1$ , then  $n = m$  and  $a_i = b_i$  for all  $i = 0, \dots, n$ .

Computing  $\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  from  $\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle$ :

$$\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle = \frac{h_n}{k_n}, \text{ where } h_{-2} = 0, k_{-2} = 0, h_{-1} = 1, k_{-1} = 0, \text{ and for } i \geq 0,$$

$$h_i = a_i h_{i-1} + h_{i-2} \text{ and } k_i = a_i k_{i-1} + k_{i-2}.$$

The proof is by induction. This, in turn implies:

For every  $i \geq 0$ ,  $h_i k_{i-1} - h_{i-1} k_i = (-1)^{i-1}$  (which implies that  $(h_i, k_i) = 1$ ), and  $h_i k_{i-2} - h_{i-2} k_i = (-1)^i a_i$ .

Note: None of these formulas actually require that the  $a_i$ 's be integers.

for  $x = \langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n + r_n \rangle = \langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n, \frac{1}{r_n} \rangle$ , if we set

$$\langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n \rangle = x_n,$$

then these formulas imply that

$$x_{2n} < x_{2n+2} \text{ and } x_{2n-1} > x_{2n+1} \text{ for every } n, \text{ and } x_{2n} - x_{2n-1} = \frac{1}{k_{2n-1} k_{2n}}$$

And since the numerator of

$$x - \langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n \rangle = \langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n + r_n \rangle - \langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n \rangle,$$

we can compute, is  $r_n(h_{n-1}k_{n-2} - h_{n-2}k_{n-1})$  (and the denominator is positive), we have that  $x_{2n} < x < x_{2n+1}$ . So since  $x_{2n} - x_{2n-1} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we find that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . In particular,  $|x - x_{n-1}| < |x_{n-1} - x_n| = 1/(k_{n-1}k_n)$  for every  $n$ . This implies that if the

$r_n$  are never 0 (i.e., the continued fraction process is really an infinite one), then since  $0 < |k_n(x - x_n)| = |k_n x - h_n| < 1/k_{n-1}$ , we find that  $x$  is not rational.

This last observation requires us to know that the  $k_n$  are getting arbitrarily large. But note that since  $a_i \geq 1$  for every  $i > 0$ ,  $k_{-1} = 0, k_0 = 1$ , and  $k_i = a_i k_{i-1} + k_{i-2} \geq k_{i-1} + k_{i-2}$  for every  $i \geq 1$ , we can see by induction that  $k_n \geq$  the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Fibonacci number (which is defined by  $F_i = F_{i-1} + F_{i-2}$ ), and the Fibonacci numbers grow very fast!

Based on these facts, we denote  $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle = \langle a_0, a_1, \dots \rangle$ . Then

$$\langle a_0, a_1, \dots \rangle = a_0 + \frac{1}{\langle a_1, a_2, \dots \rangle}$$

which in turn implies that:

If  $\langle a_0, a_1, \dots \rangle = \langle b_0, b_1, \dots \rangle$ , then  $a_i = b_i$  for all  $i$ .

If  $1 \leq b < k_n$ , then  $|x - \frac{a}{b}| \geq |x - \frac{h_n}{k_n}|$  for all integers  $a$ ; in fact if  $1 \leq b < k_{n+1}$ , then  $|bx - a| \geq |k_n x - h_n|$  for all integers  $a$ .

If  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with  $|x - \frac{a}{b}| < \frac{1}{2b^2}$ , then  $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{h_n}{k_n}$  for some  $n$ .

Repeating continued fraction expansions: A continued fraction  $\langle a_0, a_1, \dots \rangle$  will repeat (i.e.,  $a_n = a_{n+m}$  for all  $n \geq N$ ) precisely when  $x_{n-1} = x_{n+m-1}$ , since from (\*\*\*) above, all of the calculations of the partial quotients, starting from some fixed number, will depend only on that fixed number. A real number  $x$  has a repeating continued fraction expansion if and only if  $x$  is an (irrational) root of a quadratic equation, what we call a *quadratic irrational*. In particular,

For any non-square positive integer  $n$ ,  $\sqrt{n} + \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor = \langle 2a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle$  is *purely periodic*. This implies that  $\sqrt{n} = \langle a_0, \overline{a_1, \dots, a_m}, 2a_0 \rangle$

### Pell's Equation.

It turns out that the continued fraction expansion of  $\sqrt{n}$  can help us find the integer solutions  $x, y$  of the equation

$$(***) \quad x^2 - ny^2 = N$$

for fixed values of  $n$  and  $N$ . This equation is known as *Pell's equation*.

First the less interesting cases. If  $n < 0$ , then any solution to  $N = x^2 - ny^2 \geq x^2 + y^2$  has  $|x|, |y| \leq \sqrt{N}$ , which can be found by inspection. If  $n = m^2$  for some  $m$ , then  $N = x^2 - m^2 y^2 = (x - my)(x + my)$ , so  $x - my, x + my$  both divide  $N$ , so, e.g., their sum,  $2x$  divides  $N^2$ . We can then find all possible  $x$ , and so all solutions, by inspection. We now focus on finding solutions for  $n \geq 1$  not a perfect square.  $\sqrt{n}$  is therefore irrational.

Then if  $1 \leq N \leq \sqrt{n}$  is not a perfect square, then  $N = x^2 - ny^2$  implies that

$$|\sqrt{n} - \frac{x}{y}| = \frac{N}{|x + \sqrt{ny}| \cdot |y|} < \frac{N}{2\sqrt{ny}y^2} < \frac{1}{2y^2}, \text{ so } \frac{x}{y} = \frac{h_m}{k_m} \text{ for some } m.$$

(The same, it turns out, is true for  $-\sqrt{n} \leq N \leq -1$ .) But which  $m$ ?

$\sqrt{n} = \langle a_0, \overline{a_1, \dots, a_m}, 2a_0 \rangle$  means that  $\sqrt{n} = \langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m, a_0 + \sqrt{n} \rangle$ . In general, at any point where we stop computing the continued fraction of  $\sqrt{n}$ , we find that

$$\sqrt{n} = \langle b_0, b_1, \dots, b_s, \frac{\sqrt{n} + a}{b} \rangle, \text{ where } \frac{1}{r_s} = \frac{\sqrt{n} + a}{b}$$

(so  $a$  and  $b$  take on only finitely many values, because  $r_s$  does). But then we can compute that

$$\sqrt{n} = \frac{(\frac{\sqrt{n+a}}{b})h_s + h_{s-1}}{(\frac{\sqrt{n+a}}{b})k_s + k_{s-1}}, \text{ which implies that } h_s^2 - nk_s^2 = b(h_s k_{s-1} - h_{s-1} k_s) = (-1)^{s-1} b .$$

To compute the  $b$ 's, we note that, by induction,  $r_i = \frac{\sqrt{n} - m_i}{q_i}$  and  $\frac{1}{r_i} = \frac{q_i}{\sqrt{n} - m_i} = \frac{\sqrt{n} + m_i}{q_{i+1}}$ , so we can inductive compute the quotients  $q_i$  from the equations

$$q_{i+1} = \frac{n - m_i^2}{q_i}, \quad a_{i+1} = \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{n} + m_i}{q_{i+1}} \rfloor, \quad \text{and } m_{i+1} = a_{i+1}q_{i+1} - m_i$$

and then  $h_i^2 - nk_i^2 = (-1)^{i-1} q_{i+1}$ .

In particular, solutions to  $x^2 - ny^2 = 1$  exist, because  $b = 1$  occurs as the denominator of  $x_i$  for  $i = m + 1, 2m + 1, 3m + 1, \dots$ . These are either all odd (if  $m$  is even), or every other one is odd. For these values,  $i - 1$  is even, so  $h_i^2 - nk_i^2 = b(h_i k_{i-1} - h_{i-1} k_i) = (-1)^{i-1} b = 1$ .

There is an alternative approach to generating solutions to (\*\*\*) . If we know that  $x^2 - ny^2 = N$  and  $x_0^2 - ny_0^2 = 1$ , then

$$(x^2 - ny^2)(x_0^2 - ny_0^2)^m = N = (x - \sqrt{ny})(x_0 - \sqrt{ny_0})^m (x + \sqrt{ny})(x_0 + \sqrt{ny_0})^m$$

But  $(x^2 - ny^2)(x_0^2 - ny_0^2)^m = A - \sqrt{n}B$  for some  $A, B$ , and then  $(x^2 + ny^2)(x_0^2 + ny_0^2)^m = A + \sqrt{n}B$  (because of the properties of *conjugates* of quadratic irrationals). Then  $(A - \sqrt{n}B)(A + \sqrt{n}B) = A^2 - nB^2 = N$ .

### Sums of four squares.

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there are  $x, y, z, w \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2 = n$ .

Elements of the proof:

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1^2 + y_1^2 + z_1^2 + w_1^2)(x_2^2 + y_2^2 + z_2^2 + w_2^2) = \\ (x_1x_2 + y_1y_2 + z_1z_2 + w_1w_2)^2 + (x_1y_2 - x_2y_1 + z_2w_1 - z_1w_2)^2 + \\ (x_1z_2 - x_2z_1 + y_1w_2 - w_1y_2)^2 + (x_1w_2 - x_2w_1 + y_2z_1 - y_1z_2)^2 \end{aligned}$$

so we may focus on primes  $p$ .  $p = 2 = 1^2 + 1^2 + 0^2 + 0^2$ , so focus on odd primes. Then  $0 \leq x, y \leq (p-1)/2$  and  $x \neq y$  implies  $x^2 \not\equiv y^2 \pmod{p}$ , so for any  $a$ ,  $x^2$  and  $a - y^2$ , with  $0 \leq x, y \leq (p-1)/2$  must have a value, mod  $p$ , in common (otherwise  $x^2 + y^2 - a$  takes on  $p + 1$  different values, mod  $p$ ). So  $x^2 + y^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$  has a solution. Then  $x^2 + y^2 + 1^2 + 0^2 = Mp$  for some  $M$ ; with the restrictions on  $x, y$ , we have  $M < p$ . Choose the smallest positive  $M$  with  $Mp = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2$ .  $M$  is odd, since otherwise (after renaming the variables to group them by parity)

$$\frac{M}{2}p = (\frac{x-y}{2})^2 + (\frac{x+y}{2})^2 + (\frac{z-w}{2})^2 + (\frac{z+w}{2})^2$$

If  $M > 1$ , then choose  $-\frac{M}{2} \leq x_1, y_1, z_1, w_1 \leq \frac{M}{2}$  with  $x \equiv x_1 \pmod{M}$ , etc. then  $x_1^2 + y_1^2 + z_1^2 + w_1^2 \equiv x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$ , so  $x_1^2 + y_1^2 + z_1^2 + w_1^2 = NM$  with (from the restrictions on  $x_1$ , etc.)  $N < M$ . Then

$NM^2p = (x_1^2 + y_1^2 + z_1^2 + w_1^2)(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2) =$  a sum of four squares with, we can compute, every term a multiple of  $M!$  Dividing through by  $M^2$ , we find that  $Np$  is a sum of four squares, with  $N < M$ , contradicting the choice of  $M$ . So  $M = 1$ , and we are done.

### Geometric solutions to quadratic equations.

For equations such as  $x^2 + 10y^2 = 19z^2$  where we know one solution (like  $(3,1,1)$ ), we can find all solutions using a geometric process. Setting  $X = x/z$ ,  $Y = y/z$ , our equation becomes

$$(\text{****}) \quad X^2 + 10Y^2 = 19 \text{ (in this case, an ellipse)}$$

for which we know one (rational) solution;  $(3,1)$ . Our goal is now to find all other *rational* solutions (the denominator will be our  $z$ ). But if we imagine having another rational solution  $(a, b)$ , then the line through  $(3, 1)$  (in our case) and  $(a, b)$  will have rational slope. If we take the equation for this line and plug it into  $(\text{****})$ , we get a quadratic equation with (because of the rational slope) rational coefficients, for which we know one, rational, solution (in our case,  $X = 3$ ). The other solution must therefore be rational, and the corresponding point on the line then has rational coordinates. In our example, this procedure looks like

$Y = r(X-3)+1$ , so  $x^2+10(r(X-3)+1)^2 = 19$ , i.e.,  $(X^2-9)+10r^2(X-3)^2+20r(X-3) = 0$ , i.e.,  $(X-3)(X+3+10r^2X-30r^2+20r) = 0$ . So  $X = 3$  or  $(10r^2+1)X-(30r^2-20r-3) = 0$ , i.e., (setting  $r = a/b$ )

$$X = \frac{30r^2 - 20r - 3}{10r^2 + 1} = \frac{30a^2 - 20ab - 3b^2}{10a^2 + b^2}$$

so  $x = 30a^2 - 20ab - 3b^2$ ,  $z = 10a^2 + b^2$  and (by plugging into the equation for the line)  $y = -(10a^2 + 6ab - b^2)$  provide solutions.