

For  $Q$  odd and  $(A, Q) = 1$ , if  $Q = q_1 \cdots q_k$  is the prime factorization of  $Q$ , then the *Jacobi symbol*  $\left(\frac{A}{Q}\right)$  is  $\left(\frac{A}{Q}\right) = \left(\frac{A}{q_1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{A}{q_k}\right)$ .

The use of the same notation as for Legendre symbols should cause no confusion, and is in fact deliberate; if  $Q$  is prime, then both symbols are equal to one another. Straight from the definition, some basic properties:

$$\text{If } (A, Q) = 1 = (B, Q) \text{ then } \left(\frac{AB}{Q}\right) = \left(\frac{A}{Q}\right) \left(\frac{B}{Q}\right) \quad \text{If } (A, Q) = 1 = (A, Q') \text{ then } \left(\frac{A}{QQ'}\right) = \left(\frac{A}{Q}\right) \left(\frac{A}{Q'}\right)$$

$$\text{If } (PP', QQ') = 1 \text{ then } \left(\frac{P'P^2}{Q'Q^2}\right) = \left(\frac{P'}{Q'}\right)$$

**Warning!** If  $Q$  is not prime, then  $\left(\frac{A}{Q}\right) = 1$  does *not* mean that  $x^2 \equiv A \pmod{Q}$  has a solution. For example,  $\left(\frac{2}{9}\right) = \left(\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\right)^2 = 1$ , but  $x^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{9}$  has no solution, because  $x^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  has none. But  $\left(\frac{A}{Q}\right) = -1$  does mean that  $x^2 \equiv A \pmod{Q}$  has *no* solution, because  $\left(\frac{A}{Q}\right) = -1$  implies  $\left(\frac{A}{q_i}\right) = -1$  for some prime factor of  $Q$ , so  $x^2 \equiv A \pmod{q_i}$  has no solution.

Some less basic properties:

**If  $Q$  is odd, then  $\left(\frac{-1}{Q}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{Q-1}{2}}$ :** If  $Q = q_1 \cdots q_k$  is the prime factorization, then  $\left(\frac{-1}{Q}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{q_1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{-1}{q_k}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{q_1-1}{2}} \cdots (-1)^{\frac{q_k-1}{2}} = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{q_i-1}{2}}$ , and this equals  $(-1)^{\frac{Q-1}{2}}$ , provided, mod 2,  $\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{q_i-1}{2} \equiv \frac{Q-1}{2} = \frac{q_1 \cdots q_k - 1}{2}$ . This in turn can be established by induction; the inductive step is  $\frac{q_1 \cdots q_k q_{k+1} - 1}{2} = (q_{k+1} - 1) \frac{q_1 \cdots q_k - 1}{2} + \frac{q_1 \cdots q_k - 1}{2} + \frac{q_{k+1} - 1}{2} \equiv (q_{k+1} - 1) \frac{q_1 \cdots q_k - 1}{2} + \frac{q_{k+1} - 1}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{q_i - 1}{2} \equiv (q_{k+1} - 1) \frac{q_1 \cdots q_k - 1}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{q_i - 1}{2} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{q_i - 1}{2}$ , since  $Q$  is odd, so  $q_{k+1} - 1$  is even.

**If  $Q$  is odd, then  $\left(\frac{2}{Q}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{Q^2-1}{8}}$ :** as before,  $\left(\frac{2}{Q}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{q_1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{2}{q_k}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{q_1^2-1}{8}} \cdots (-1)^{\frac{q_k^2-1}{8}} = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{q_i^2-1}{8}}$  and this equals  $(-1)^{\frac{Q^2-1}{8}}$ , provided, mod 2,  $\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{q_i^2-1}{8} \equiv \frac{Q^2-1}{8} = \frac{q_1^2 \cdots q_k^2 - 1}{8}$ , i.e., mod 16,  $\sum_{i=1}^k (q_i^2 - 1) \equiv \frac{Q^2-1}{8} = \frac{q_1^2 \cdots q_k^2 - 1}{8}$ . This can also be established by induction; the inductive step is  $q_1^2 \cdots q_{k+1}^2 - 1 = q_{k+1}^2 q_1^2 \cdots q_k^2 - 1 = (q_{k+1}^2 - 1)(q_1^2 \cdots q_k^2 - 1) + (q_1^2 \cdots q_k^2 - 1) + (q_{k+1}^2 - 1) \equiv (q_{k+1}^2 - 1) + (q_1^2 \cdots q_k^2 - 1) \equiv (q_{k+1}^2 - 1) + \sum_{i=1}^k (q_i^2 - 1) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (q_i^2 - 1)$ , since both  $(q_{k+1}^2 - 1)$  and  $(q_1^2 \cdots q_k^2 - 1)$  are multiples of 8, so  $(q_{k+1}^2 - 1)(q_1^2 \cdots q_k^2 - 1)$  is divisible by 64, hence by 16.

Finally, **if  $P$  and  $Q$  are both odd, and  $(P, Q) = 1$ , then  $\left(\frac{P}{Q}\right) \left(\frac{Q}{P}\right) = (-1)^{(\frac{P-1}{2})(\frac{Q-1}{2})}$ :** if  $P = p_1 \cdots p_r$  and  $Q = q_1 \cdots q_s$  are their prime factorizations, then  $\left(\frac{P}{Q}\right) \left(\frac{Q}{P}\right) = \left(\frac{p_1 \cdots p_r}{Q}\right) \left(\frac{Q}{p_1 \cdots p_r}\right) = \left(\frac{p_1}{Q}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{p_r}{Q}\right) \left(\frac{Q}{p_1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{Q}{p_r}\right) = [(\left(\frac{p_1}{q_1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{p_1}{q_s}\right)) \cdots (\left(\frac{p_r}{q_1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{p_r}{q_s}\right))] [(\left(\frac{q_1}{p_1}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{q_s}{p_1}\right)) \cdots (\left(\frac{q_1}{p_r}\right) \cdots \left(\frac{q_s}{p_r}\right))] = \prod_{i,j} \left(\frac{p_i}{q_j}\right) \left(\frac{q_j}{p_i}\right) = \prod_{i,j} (-1)^{\frac{p_i-1}{2} \frac{q_j-1}{2}} = (-1)^{\sum_{i,j} \frac{p_i-1}{2} \frac{q_j-1}{2}} = (-1)^{(\sum_{i=1}^r \frac{p_i-1}{2})(\sum_{j=1}^s \frac{q_j-1}{2})}$ .

This equals  $(-1)^{(\frac{P-1}{2})(\frac{Q-1}{2})}$ , provided, mod 2,  $(\sum_{i=1}^r \frac{p_i-1}{2})(\sum_{j=1}^s \frac{q_j-1}{2}) \equiv (\frac{P-1}{2})(\frac{Q-1}{2})$ . But our first proof above established this, for each of the two parts, and so it is also true for their product!