Math 445 Number Theory

September 22, 2004

Proposition: If (x,y) = 1 and $xy = c^2$, then $x = u^2$, $y = v^2$ for some integers u, v.

Basic idea: write their prime factorizations $x = p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_r^{k_r}$, $y = p_{r+1}^{k_{r+1}} \cdots p_s^{k_s}$. Since (x,y) = 1 their factorizations have no primes in common. Since $c^2 = xy = p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_r^{k_r} p_{r+1}^{k_{r+1}} \cdots p_s^{k_s}$, this is its prime decomposition. Since c^2 is a square, all

of the exponents are even, $k_i = 2t_i$. So $x = (p_1^{t_1} \cdots p_r^{t_r})^2$ and $y = (p_{r+1}^{t_{r+1}} \cdots p_s^{t_s})^2$ are both

squares.

Since $a^2+b^2=c^2$ implies a=2uv, $b=u^2-v^2$, $c=u^2+v^2$, it is straightforward to see that any even number a=2(n)(1), or any odd number $b=(n+1)^2-n^2=2n+1$, can occur on the left side of a Pythagorean triple $a^2+b^2=c^2$. Which numbers can occur on the right-hand side, $c=u^2+v^2$, is a more involved question. [Certainly, 3 cannot be expressed as a sum of squares...] Answering this question will lead us to some more interesting number theory! After noting that $(a^2+b^2)(c^2+d^2)=(ac+bd)^2+(ad-bc)^2=(ad+bc)^2+(ac-bd)^2$, a more pointed question to ask might be: which primes p can be expressed as $p=u^2+v^2$? A bit of experimentation quickly leads us to the

Conjecture: A prime p is a sum of two squares $\Leftrightarrow (p = 2 \text{ or}) \ p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

This is certainly true for $2=1^2+1^2$, and so what we need to show is (1) if $p\equiv 1\pmod 4$ is prime, then $p=u^2+v^2$, and (2) if $p\equiv 3\pmod 4$ is prime, then $p=u^2+v^2$ is impossible. Forgetting that we have already proved (2) $[[u^2,v^2\equiv 0\text{ or }1\pmod 4)$, so the sum can't be $\equiv 3]$, it turns out that what is really relevant to the discussion is under what circumstances the equation $x^2\equiv -1\pmod p$ has a solution! But first, we need:

Wilson's Theorem: If p is prime, then $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.

The idea: every $k=1,2,\ldots,p-1$ has an inverse, mod p. For everyone except 1 and p-1, it is not k (but is unique), so every factor in $2\cdot 3\cdots (p-2)$ can be paired up with its inverse. So by reordering things, $2\cdot 3\cdots (p-2)$ is a product of 1's, mod p, so is 1. Then $(p-1)! \equiv 1\cdot (p-1) \equiv p-1 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$, as desired.

This in turn allows us to show that

Theorem: If p is prime, the equation $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ has a solution $\Leftrightarrow p = 2$ or $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Checking this for p=2 is quick (x=1 works), and so we need to show that (1) if $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ then $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod p$ has a solution, and (2) if $p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ then $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod p$ has no solution.

To see the first, since p-1=4k for some k, we have, by Wilson's Theorem, that $1\cdot 2\cdots (4k-1)(4k)\equiv -1\pmod p$. But, mod $p,1\cdot 2\cdots (4k-1)(4k)=1\cdot 2\cdots (2k)(2k+1)\cdots (4k-1)(4k)=1\cdot 2\cdots (2k)(p-2k)(p-(2k-1))\cdots (p-2)(p-1)\equiv 1\cdot 2\cdots (2k)(-2k)(-(2k-1))\cdots (-2)(-1)=(2k)!(2k)!(-1)^{2k}=((2k)!)^2=x^2$, where x=(2k)! so $x^2\equiv -1\pmod p$ has a solution.

The second half we will do next time.