## Math 445 Number Theory

September 8, 2004

Finding factors of composite knots: if N = ab and  $a_1, \ldots a_n$  are chosen at random, a is more likely to divide one of the differences  $a_i - a_j$  than N is. This can be tested for by computing gcd's,  $d = (a_i - a_j, N)$ ; this number is 1 < d < N if a (or some other factor) divides  $a_i - a_j$  but N does not, and finds us a proper factor, d, of N. The problem with this method, however, is that it requires n(n-1)/2 gcd computations, which is too large. This can be remedied by generating the  $a_i$  pseudo-randomly.

The idea: choose a relatively simple to compute function, like  $f(x) = x^2 + c$ . Starting from some number  $a_1$ , we generate a sequence by repeatedly applying f to  $a_1$ ;

$$a_2 = f(a_1), a_3 = f(a_2) = f^2(a_1), \dots, a_k = f(a_{k-1}) = f^{k-1}(a_1), \dots$$

The point is that if ever we have  $a|a_i - a_j$ , then since

$$a_{i+1} - a_{j+1} = (a_i^2 + c) - (a_j^2 + c) = a_i^2 - a_j^2 = (a_i - a_j)(a_i + a_j)$$

we have  $a|a_{i+1}-a_{j+1}$ , as well. So (by induction!)  $a|a_{i+k}-a_{j+k}$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . So we can test for occurances of  $1 < (a_i - a_j, N) < N$  by testing only a relatively few pairs; we get the effect of testing many more of them for free. In particular, we test  $(a_{2i}-a_i, N)$  for each i. This is effective, since if  $1 < (a_j - a_i, N) < N$  for j > 2i, then  $1 < (a_{2j-2i} - a_{j-i}, N)$  as well. So testing  $a_{2i} - a_i$  will essentially test these other pairs at the same time. Turning this into an algorithm:

Given N composite, choose a function  $f(x) = x^2 + c$  and a starting point  $a_1$ ; set  $b_1 = f(a_1)$  and then build the sequences  $a_i = f(a_{i-1})$  and  $b_i = f^2(b_{i-1})$ . Compute  $(b_i - a_i, N)$  and

if for some  $i, 1 < (b_i - a_i, N) < N$ , stop: we have found a factor.

if  $(b_i - a_i, N) = N$  or i gets too large, reset the parameters: use a new  $a_1$  or a new c.

We expect in the generic case for this process to find a factor by the time i gets in the range of  $N^{1/4}$  (or rather, the square root of the smallest prime factor of N), but this is not guaranteed.