Math 314

Topics for first exam

Systems of linear equations

$$2x - 3y - z = 6$$
$$3x + 2y + z = 7$$

Goal: find simultaneous solutions: all x, y, z satisfying both equations.
Most general type of system:

$$a_{11}x_1 + \cdots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1$$
$$\cdots$$
$$a_{m1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{mn}x_n = b_m$$

Gaussian elimination: basic ideas

$$3x + 5y = 2$$
$$2x + 3y = 1$$

Idea use $3x$ in first equation to eliminate $2x$ in second equation. How? Add a multiple of first equation to second. Then use y-term in new second equation to remove $5y$ from first!
The point: a solution to the original equations **must** also solve the new equations. The **real** point: it's much less complicated to figure out the solutions of the new equations!

Streamlining: keep only the essential information; throw away unneeded symbols!

3x+5y=2 replace
2x+3y=1 with

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 3 & 5 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

We get an **(augmented) matrix** representing the system of equations. We carry out the same operations we used with equations, but do them to the rows of the matrix.
Three basic operations (elementary row operations):
E_{ij} : switch ith and jth rows of the matrix
$E_{ij}(m)$: add m times jth row to the ith row
$E_i(m)$: multiply ith row by m

Terminology: first non-zero entry of a row = **leading entry**; leading entry used to zero out a column = **pivot**.
Basic procedure (Gauss-Jordan elimination): find non-zero entry in first column, switch up to first row (E_{1j}) (pivot in (1,1) position). Use $E_1(m)$ to make first entry a 1, then use $E_{1j}(m)$ operations to zero out the other entries of the first column. Then: find leftmost entry in remaining rows, switch to second row, use as a pivot to clear out the entries in the column below it. Continue (forward solving). When done, use pivots to clear out entries in column above the pivots (back-solving).

Variable in linear system corresponding to a pivot = **bound** variable; other variables = **free** variables

Gaussian elimination: general procedure

The big fact: After elimination, the new system of linear equations have the exact **same solutions** as the old system. Because: row operations are reversible!
Reverse of E_{ij} is E_{ij}; reverse of $E_{ij}(m)$ is $E_{ij}(-m)$; reverse of $E_i(m)$ is $E_i(1/m)$
So: you can get old equations from new ones; so solution to new equations **must** solve old equations **as well**.

Row echelon form: apply elementary row operations so turn matrix A into one so that

(a) each row looks like $(000\cdots 0 * * \cdots *)$; firsdt $*$ = leading entry
(b) leading entry for row below is further to the right

Reduced row **echelon** form: in addition, have
(c) each leading entry is = 1
(d) each leading entry is the only non-zero number in its column.

REF can be achieved by forward solving; RREF by back-solving and $E_i(m)$'s
Elimination: every matrix can be put into RREF by elementary row operations.
Big Fact: If a matrix A is put into RREF by two different sets of row operations, you get the **same matrix**.
With the RREF of an augmented matrix: can read off solutions to linear system.

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{array}\right) \quad \text{means} \quad \begin{array}{l} x_4=3,\ x_2=1-x_3 \\ x_1=2-2x_3 \quad ;\ x_3 \text{ is free} \end{array}$$

Inconsistent systems: row of zeros in coefficient matrix, followed by a non-zero number (e.g., 2). Translates as 0=2 ! System has no solutions.

We can understand the existence and number of solutions using
number of pivot variables = number of columns in (R)REF containing a pivot
number of free variables = number of columns in (R)REF <u>not</u> containing a pivot

A = coefficient matrix, $\tilde{A}$ = augmented matrix ($A = m \times n$ matrix)
System is consistent if and only if in (R)REF a row containing no pivot consists of all 0's in $\tilde{A}$.
A consistent system has a unique solution if and only if there are no free variables; there is a pivot in every row.

Spanning sets and linear independence

We can interpret an SLE in terms of (column) vectors; writing v_i = ith column of the coefficient matrix, and b=the column of target values, then our SLE really reads as a single equation $x_1v_1 + \cdots + x_nv_n = b$. The lefthand side of this equation is a *linear combination* of the vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_n$, that is, a sum of scalar multiples. Asking if the SLE has a solution is the same as asking if b is a linear combination of the v_i.
This is an important enough concept that we introduce new terminology for it; the *span* of a collection of vectors, span$(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ is the collection of <u>all</u> linear combinations of the vectors. If the span of the $(m \times 1)$ column vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ is all of $\mathbb{R}^m$, we say that the vectors span $\mathbb{R}^m$. Asking if an SLE has a solution is the same as asking if the target vector is in the span of the column vectors of the coefficient matrix.

The flipside of spanning is *linear independence*. A collection of vectors $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ is linearly independent if the only solution to $x_1v_1 + \cdots + x_nv_n = 0$ (the 0-vector) is $x_1 = \cdots = x_n = 0$ (the "trivial" solution). If there is a non-trivial solution, then we say that the vectors are linearly dependent. If a collection of vectors is linearly dependent, then choosing a non-trivial solution and a vector with non-zero coefficient, throwing everything else on the other side of the equation expresses one vector as a linear combination of all of the others. Thinking in terms of an SLE, the columns of a matrix are linearly dependent exactly when the SLE with target 0 has a non-trivial solution, i.e., has more than one solution. It has the trivial (all 0) solution, so it is consistent, so to have more than one, we need the the RREF for the matrix to have a free variable, i.e., the rank of the coefficient matrix is less than the number of columns.

Matrix addition and scalar multiplication

Idea: take our ideas from vectors. Add entry by entry. Constant multiple of matrix: multiply entry by entry.
$\mathbf{0}$ = matrix all of whose entries are 0
Basic facts:

A+B makes sense only if A and B are the same size (m×n) matrix
A+B = B+A
(A+B)+C = A+(B+C)
A+$\mathbf{0}$ = A
A+(-1)A = $\mathbf{0}$
cA has the same size as A
c(dA) = (cd)A
(c+d)A = cA + dA
c(A+B) = cA + cB
1A = A

Matrix equations

We can also think of a system of equations as a single matrix equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, by interpreting the product of our coefficient matrix A and our column vector of xariables $\vec{x}$ as the $\vec{x}$-linear combination of the columns of A. This multplication behaves well with vector addition and scalar multiplication

$$A(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) = A\vec{u} + A\vec{v} \qquad A(a\vec{u}) = a \cdot A\vec{u}$$

(We say that this matrix multiplication is <u>linear</u>.) Because of this, any two solutions to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, say $\vec{u}$ and $\vec{v}$ have $A(\vec{u} - \vec{v}) = \vec{b} - \vec{b} = \vec{0}$, the all-0 vector. If we treat $\vec{u}$ as a "particular" solution to $Z\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, then any other solution $\vec{v} = \vec{u} + (\vec{v} - \vec{u}) = \vec{u} + \vec{n}$ is our particuilar solution <u>plus</u> a solution to $A\vec{c} = \vec{0}$, a "homogeneous" solution.

But we can show that the solutions to $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ are precisely the span of a collection of vectors, one for each free variable of the RREF of A. This is done by row reducing the system $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ and writing the solutions in terms of the free variables; this can be expressed as a linear combination of the solutions obtained by setting each free variable equal to 1, in turn (and all of the others to 0).

Some applications

A model of an economy:
An economy consists of 'sectors', whose output is used as matierials for other sectors (including its own). The exchange of outputs is carried out by paying for it; each sector has a price for its output. At equilibrium, the amount paid by each sector for the resources it needs will equal the income it earns from the sale of its output. We assume all output is consumed by the sectors (no surplus, no shortage). To determine what prices should be charged by each sector in this equilibrium, we solve a system of equations which asserts that (for sectors 1 through n)

the amount earned, p_i by a sector equals the total amount paid $a_{i,1}p_1 + \cdots + a_{i,b}p_n$ by the sector for its resources (where the $a_{i,j}$ are (known) fractions of sector j's output allocated to sector i (and so, for each j, $a_{1,j} + \cdots + a_{n,j} = 1$.

Solving the system will <u>always</u> yield a free variable; setting that variable to 1 yields the <u>relative</u> prices to set (we can always double all prices and still solve the equations!).

Balancing chemical reactions:

In a chemical reaction, some collection of molecules is converted into some other collection of molecules. The proportions of each can be determined by solving an SLE:

E.g., when ethane is burned, x C_2H_6 and y O_2 is converted into z CO_2 and w H_2O. Since the number of each element must be the same on both sides of the reaction, we get a system of equations

$C : 2x = z$; $H : 6x = 2w$; $O : 2y = w$.
which we can solve. More complicated reactions, e.g., $PbO_2 + HCl \to PbCl_2 + CL_2 + H_2O$, yield more complicated equations, but can still be solved using the techniques we have developed.

Network Flow:
We can model a network of water pipes, or trafic flowing in a city's streets, as a graph, that is, a collection of points = vertices (=intersections=junctions) joined by edges = segments (=streets = pipes). Monitoring the flow along particular edges can enable us to know the flow on every edge, by solving a system of equations; at every vertex, the net flow must be zero. That is, the total flow into the vertex must equal the total flow out of the vertex. Giving the edges arrows, depicting the direction we think traffic is flowing along that edge, and labeling each edge with either the flow we know (monitored edge) or a variable denoting the flow we don't, we have a system of equations (sum of flows into a vertex) = (sum of flows out of the vertex). Solving this system enables us to determine the value of every variable, i.e., the flow along every edge. A negative value means that the flow is opposite to the one we expected.

Linear transformations

From a different point of view, the matrix product $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ can be viewed as a <u>function</u> A takes a vector $\vec{x}$ and produces a vector $A\vec{x}$ (the vetor of dot products of the rows of A with $\vec{x}$). This function $T_A(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ is <u>linear</u>:

$T_A(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) = T_A(\vec{u}) + T_A(\vec{v}) \qquad T_A(a\vec{u}) = aT_A(\vec{u})$

more generally, any function $T : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ satisfying these properties is called a *linear transformation.* We can find them in many of the operations we carry out on vectors; reflections, rotations, and many other geometric operations (that leave the origin fixed) can be viewed as linear transformations. [Note that since $T(\vec{0}) = T(0 \cdot \vec{0}) = 0 \cdot T(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$, any linear transformation must send $\vec{0}$ to $\vec{0}$.]

Matrix multiplication is our model for a linear transformation. In fact, every lin. transf. can be viewed as multiplication by some A, since, letting $\vec{e}_i$ stand for the i-th standard coordinate vector (all 0's, except for a 1 in the i-th place), $A\vec{e}_i$ = the i-th column of A. So if we build a matrix A with i-th column equal to $T(\vec{e}_i)$, then $A\vec{x}$ and $T(\vec{x})$ must be equal, since using linearity <u>both</u> are equal to the $\vec{x} - linearcombinationofthecolumnssof$A$= T(\vec{e}_i)$.

For example, we can find the matrix corresponding to rotation R_θ by an angle θ by determining that $R_\theta(\vec{e}_1) = (\cos\theta, \sin\theta)^T$ and $R_\theta(\vec{e}_2) = (-\sin\theta, \cos\theta)^T$.

Matrix multiplication

Idea: $A\vec{x}$ is a function T_A, so $A(B\vec{x}) = T_A(T_B(\vec{x})) = (T_A \circ T_B)(\vec{x})$ is the composition of two linear functions, which is linear! So $T_A \circ T_B$ should be equal to multiplication by <u>some</u> matrix, which we will call AB ! To figure it out, we use the fact that the columns of AB <u>should</u> be the vectors $A(B\vec{e}_i)$, which is A times the i-th column of B. So we <u>define</u> matrix muliplication this way!

In AB, each row of A is 'multiplied' by each column of B to obtain an entry of AB. Need: the length of the rows of A (= number of columns of A) = length of columns of B (= number of rows of B). I.e, in order to multiply, A must be m×n, and B must be n×k; AB is then m×k.

Formula: (i,j)th entry of AB is $\Sigma_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} b_{kj}$ (the dot product of the i-th row of A and the j-th column of B).

I = identity matrix; square matrix (n×n) with 1's on diagonal, 0's off diagonal
Basic facts:
AI = A = IA
(AB)C = A(BC)
c(AB) = (cA)B = A(cB)
(A+B)C = AC + BC
A(B+C) = AB + AC

In general, however it is **not** **not** true that AB and BA are the same; they are almost always different! ****

Special matrices and transposes

Elementary matrices:
A row operation (E_{ij} , $E_{ij}(m)$, $E_i(m)$) applied to a matrix A corresponds to multiplication (on the left) by a matrix (also denoted E_{ij} , $E_{ij}(m)$, $E_i(m)$) The matrices are obtained by applying the row operation to the identity matrix I_n. E.g., the 4×4 matrix $E_{13}(-2)$ looks like I, except it has a -2 in the (1,3)th entry.
The idea: if A → B by the elementary row operation E, then B = EA.
So if A → B → C by elementary row operations, then C = E_2E_1A ....
Row reduction **is** matrix multiplication!

A scalar matrix A has the same number c in the diagonal entries, and 0's everywhere else (the idea: AB = cB)
A diagonal matrix has all entries zero off of the (main) diangonal
A upper triangular matrix has entries =0 below the diagonal, a lower triangular matrix is 0 above the diagonal. A triangular matrix is either upper or lower triangular.
A strictly triangular matrix is triangular, and has zeros **on** the diagonal, as well. They come in upper and lower flavors.

The **transpose** of a matrix A is the matrix A^T whose columns are the rows of A (and vice versa). A^T is A reflected across the main diagonal. $(aij)^T = (aji)$; $(\text{m}\times\text{n})^T = (\text{n}\times\text{m})$
Basic facts:
$(A+B)^T = A^T + B^T$
$(AB)^T = B^TA^T$
$(cA)^T = \text{c}A^T$
$(A^T)^T = \text{A}$
Transpose of an elementary matrix is elementary:
$E_{ij}^T = E_{ij}$, $E_{ij}(m)^T = E_{ji}(m)$, $E_i(m)^T = E_i(m)$

Matrix inverses

One way to solve $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$: 'divide' by Q ! That is, find a matrix B with $BA = I$. When is there such a matrix?
This tells us that the solution is unique; it <u>must</u> be $B\vec{b}$. So in (R)REF A must have no free variables. So there is a pivot in every column. But it also tells us that the system has a solution! So there must be a pivot in every row, as well. This implies that the RREF of A must be the identity matrix (in part, A must be square).
A matrix B is an **inverse** of A if AB=I and BA=I; it turns out, the inverse of a matrix is always unique. We call it A^{-1} (and call A invertible).
Finding A^{-1} : row reduction! (of course...)
Build the "super-augmented" matrix (A|I) (the matrix A with the identity matrix next to it). Row reduce A, and carry out the operations on the entire row of the S-A matrix (i.e., carry out the

identical row operations on I). Wnem done, if invertible+ the left-hand side of the S-A matrix will be I; the right-hand side will be A^{-1} !
I.e., if (A|I) $\rightarrow$ (I|B) by row operations, then I=BA .

We can also understand this via row operations, since, it turns out, each row operation can be viewed as multiplication (on the left) by an 'elementary' matrix. So if we multiply A (on the left) by the correct elementary matrices, you get I; call the product of those matrices B and you get BA=I !

Basic facts:
$(A^{-1})^{-1} = A$
if A and B are invertible, then so is AB, and $(AB)^{-1}$ - $B^{-1}A^{-1}$
$(cA)^{-1} = (1/c)A^{-1}$
$(A^T)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^T$
If A is invertible, and $AB = AC$, then $B = C$; if $BA = CA$, then $B = C$.
Inverses of elementary matrices:
$E_{ij}^{-1} = E_{ij}$, $E_{ij}(m)^{-1} = E_{ij}(-m)$, $E_i(m)^{-1} = E_i(1/m)$
Highly useful formula: for a 2-by-2 matrix,

$$A=\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } D=ad-bc\ , \quad A^{-1} = \frac{1}{D}\begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$$

(Note: need D=ad-bc $\neq 0$ for this to work....)