Math 208H

Topics for third exam

Technically, everything covered on the first two exams, plus

Integrating Functions of Several Variables

The Definite Integral of a Function of Two Variables

In an entirely formal sense, the intergal of a function of one variable is a great big huge sum of little tiny numbers; we add up things of the form $f(c_i)\Delta x_i$, where we cut the interval [a,b] we are integrating over into little intervals of length Δx_i , and pick points c_i in each interval. In essence, the integral is the sum of areas of very thin rectangles, which leads us to iterpret the integral as the area under the graph of f.

For functions of two variables, we do the exact same thing. To integrate a function f over a rectangle in the plane, we cut the rectangle into lots of tiny rectangles, with side lengths Δx_i and Δy_j , pick a point in each rectangle, and then add up $f(x_i, y_j) \Delta x_i \Delta y_j$. This gives an approximation to the actual integral; letting the little side lengths go to zero, we arrive at what we would call the integral of f over the rectangle R, which we denote by

$$\int \int_R f \ dA$$
 (where dA denotes the 'differential of area' $dxdy$ (or $dydx$)

The idea is that if we think of f as measuring height above the rectangle, then $f(x_i, y_j)\Delta x_i\Delta y_j$ is the volume of a thin rectangular box; letting the Δ 's go to zero, the integral would then measure the *volume* under the graph of f, lying over the rectangle R.

If the region R isn't a rectangle, we can still use this method of defining an integral; we simply cover R with tiny rectangles, take the same sum, and let the Δ 's go to 0.

Of course, we have no reason to believe that as the Δ 's go to 0, this collection of sums will converge to a single number. But it is a basic fact that if the function f is continuous, and the region R isn't too ugly, then these sums always will converge.

Iterated Integrals

Of course, the preceding approach is no way to *compute* a double integral! Instead, we (as usual) steal an idea from one-variable calculus.

The idea is that we already know how to compute volumes, and so we implicitly know how to compute double integrals! We can compute the volume of a region by integrating the area of a slice. You can do this two ways; (thinking in terms of the region R in the plane) you can slice R into horizontal lines, and integrate the area of the slices dy, or you can slice R into vertical lines, and integrate the slices dx.

But each *slice* can be interpreted as an integral; the area of a horizontal slice is the integral of f, thought of as *just a function of* x, and the area of a vertical slice is the integral of f, thought of as *just a function of* y. This leads to *two* ways to compute our integral:

$$\iint_R f \ dA = \iint_c^d \left(\int_a^b f(x,y) \ dx \right) \ dy \ (\text{for horiz slices}) = \iint_a^b \left(\int_c^d f(x,y) \ dy \right) \ dx \ (\text{for vert slices})$$

In each case, the *inner* integral is thought of as the integral of a function of *one variable*. It just happens to be a *different* variable in each case. In the case of a rectangle, the limits of integration are just numbers, as we have written it. In the case of a more complicated

region R, the inner limits of integration might depend on where we cut. The idea is that a slice along a horizontal line is a slice along y = constant, and the endpoints of the integral might depend on y; for a slice along a vertical line (x = constant), the endpoints might depend on x.

So, e.g., to integrate a function f over the region lying between the graphs of y = 4x and $y = x^3$, we would compute either

$$\int_0^2 (\int_{x^3}^{4x} f(x,y) \ dy) \ dx$$
 or $\int_0^8 (\int_{y/4}^{y^{1/3}} f(x,y) \ dx) \ dy$

Which should we compute? Whichever one is easier! They give the *same* number!

Double integrals with polar coordinates

Polar coordinates describe a point in the plane by distance and direction, r and θ . We can translate from rectangular to polar coordinates by

$$(x,y) = (r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta)$$

We can use this new coordinate system to simplify some integration problems, in part because a circular disk is a *polar rectangle*, defined by $0 \le r \le R_0$ and $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$. Similarly, circular sectors can be described as 'polar rectangles'.

But in so doing, we must interpret dA in terms of dr and $d\theta$; this is completely analogous to what we must do with u-substitution. If we have a small circular sector, made between the circles of radius r and $r + \Delta r$, and between the lines making angles θ and $\theta + \Delta \theta$, it has area approximately $r\Delta r\Delta\theta$; so

$$dA = r dr d\theta$$

and so $\int \int_R f(x,y) \ dA = \int \int_D f(r\cos\theta,r\sin\theta) \ r \ dr \ d\theta$, where D is how we describe the region R in polar coordinates.

For example, the integral of the function f(x,y) = xy on the semicircle lying between the x-axis and $y = \sqrt{9 - x^2}$ can be computed as

$$\int_0^{\pi} \int_0^3 (r\cos\theta)(r\sin\theta)r \ dr \ d\theta$$

Change of variables

Polar coordinates illustrate the benefit of decribing points in the plane differently - it can simplify some double integrals. The idea is to $change\ variables$; it's basically u-substitution for function of two variables.

The general idea is that if a region R can be described more conveniently using a different sort of coordinates, this means that we are describing x and y as functions of different variables s and t. For example, a circle of radius 4 is better described as

$$x = r \cos \theta$$
 and $y = r \sin \theta$, for $0 \le r \le 4$ and $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$

(i.e., polar coordinates). In general, changing coordinates means describing the region R by

$$x = x(s,t)$$
 and $y = y(s,t)$, for s and t in some region S

Then we write the integral of the function f over R as the integral of something else (written in terms of s and t) over the region S. The question is, the integral of what? The

answer comes from thinking of cutting up S into little rectangles S_{ij} , and looking at the little regions R_{ij} the change of variables carries each to. The integral of f over R can be approximated by adding up values in each region R_{ij} , times the area of R_{ij} . By choosing (s_i, t_j) in S_{ij} , we can use the point $(x(s_i, t_j), y(s_i, t_j))$ in R_{ij} ; the question is, what is the area of R_{ij} ?.

If we think of the rectangles S_{ij} as having sides of length ds and dt, then using linear approximations to x(s,t) and y(s,t), R_{ij} can be approximated by a parallelogram with sides the vectors

$$(\frac{\partial x}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial s})ds$$
 and $(\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial t})dt$

Luckily, we know how to compute the area of such a parallelogram; it's given by the length of the cross product of the two sides (add 0's to the vectors, so they are in 3-space!), which turns out to be:

$$\Delta A_{ij} = |x_s y_t - x_t y_s| \ ds \ dt$$

Taking limits as the size of the S_{ij} goes to zero, we obtain:

$$\iint_R f(x,y) \ dx \ dy = \iint_S f(x(s,t), y(s,t)) \ |x_s y_t - x_t y_s| \ ds \ dt$$

The expression $|x_sy_t - x_ty_s|$ is called the *Jacobian* associated to the change of variables, and is sometimes written

$$|x_s y_t - x_t y_s| = \frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(s, t)}$$

For example, to integrate a function f over the triangle with vertices (1,1), (2,3), and (3,8), we can instead integrate over the triangle with vertices (0,0), (1,0), and (0,1), by changing coordinates. It turns out we can always do this by writing

$$x = as + bt + c$$
 and $y = ds + et + f$

for appropriate choices of a, b, c, d, e and f. All you need to do is solve the equations 1 = a0 + b0 + c, 1 = d0 + e0 + f, 2 = a1 + b0 + c, 3 = d1 + e0 + f, 3 = a0 + b1 + c, and 8 = d0 + e1 + f which, in this case, gives a=1,b=2,c=1,d=2,e=7,f=1. So x = s + 2t + 1 and y = 2s + 7t + 1, giving Jacobian $1 \cdot 7 - 2 \cdot 2 = 3$. So under this change of coordinates,

$$\iint_{R} f(x,y) \ dA = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1-t} f(s+2t+1, 2s+7t+1) \cdot 3 \ ds \ dt$$

Surface Area

In Calc II we have seen how to compute the area of a surface of revolution. With double integrals, we can carry out such computations for much more general surfaces. For a surface Σ given as the graph of a function

$$z = f(x, y)$$
 sitting over the region R in the x-y plane

we can compute the area of this surface in the time-honored way: approximate it by surfaces whose area we can compute. In this case, the idea is to think of Σ as made up of lots of tiny pieces, each the graph of f lying over a collection of tiny rectangles that we cut up (or cover up) R with. But for a tiny rectangle (i.e., over small distances) the graph of f looks like a plane (the tangent plane, or linear approximation, to f), and the graph of a plane over a rectangle is a parallelogram. So we can think of the graph of f as being approximated by tiny parallelograms, and so its surface area is approximately the sum of

the areas of these parallelograms. As with the change of variables formula above, we can compute these areas as the length of the cross product of the two sides. In this case (using the linear approximation we computed earlier) these two sides are

$$\langle 1, 0, f_x \rangle$$
 and $\langle 0, 1, f_y \rangle$

whose cross pruduct is $\langle -f_x, -f_y, 1 \rangle$. The area of the parallelogram is therefore

$$\sqrt{(f_x)^2 + (f_y)^2 + 1}$$

Then in our now time-honored tradition, our great big huge sum becomes an integral; the surface area of that part of the graph of f which lies over the region R is

surface area =
$$\int \int_R \sqrt{(f_x)^2 + (f_y)^2 + 1} dA$$

Triple Integrals

Triple integrals are just like double integrals, only more so. We can define them as a limit of a huge sum; here the terms in the sum would be the value of the function f time the volume of a tiny rectangular box. The usual interpretation of a triple integral arises by thinking of the function f as giving the density of the matter at each point of a solid region W in 3-space. Since density times volume is mass, the integral of f over the region W would compute the mass of the solid object occupying the region W. In the special case that f is the function 1, the integral will compute the volume of the region W.

Again, as with double integrals, the way we really comupute a triple integral is as a (triply) iterated integral. You pick a direction to slice (x=constant, y=constant, or z=constant) W up, and compute the integral of f over each slice. Each of these is a double integral (computed as an iterated integral), whose value depends on the variable you sliced along. To compute the integral over W, you integrate these double integrals over the last variable, getting three iterated integrals.

Put slightly differently, you can evaluate a triple integral by integrating out each variable, one at a time. Typically, we start with z, since our region W is usually described as the region lying between the graphs of two functions, given as z=blah and z=bleh. The idea is to first, for each fixed value of x and y, integrate the function f, dz, from blah to bleh. (Ther resulting values depend on x and y, i.e., are a function of x and y.) Then we integrate over the region, R, in the plane consisting of the points (x, y) such that the vertical line hits the region W. We usually call this region R the shadow of W in the x-y plane. In symbols

$$\iint \iint_W f \ dV = \iint_R \left(\int_{a(x,y)}^{e(x,y)} f(x,y,z) \ dz \right) \ dA$$

For example, the integral of a function over the region lying above the x-y plane and inside the sphere of radius 2, centered at the origin, would be computed as

$$\int \int_{R} (\int_{0}^{\sqrt{4-x^{2}-y^{2}}} f(x,y,z) \ dz) \ dA = \int_{-2}^{2} (\int_{-\sqrt{4-x^{2}}}^{\sqrt{4-x^{2}}} (\int_{0}^{\sqrt{4-x^{2}-y^{2}}} f(x,y,z) \ dz) \ dy) \ dx$$

where R is the shadow of W (in this case, the disk of radius 2, centered at the origin, in the x-y plane).

Change of variables for Triple Integrals

As with double integrals, we can carry out a change of coordinates for 3 variables; we then write

$$x = x(s, t, u), y = y(s, t, u), \text{ and } z = z(s, t, u)$$

A little box with sides of length ds, dt, and du gets carried to a little parallelopiped, with sides the vectors

$$(x_s, y_s, z_s) ds$$
, $(x_t, y_t, z_t) dt$, and $(x_u, y_u, z_u) du$

(call these V_s , V_t , and V_u). This has volume $|V_s \bullet (V_t \times V_u)|$, which is the Jacobian of this change of variables, and serves as the necessary "fudge factor" to express an integral in terms of s, t, and u.

Triple integrals with spherical and cylindrical coordinates

It turns out that we can easily impose *two* new coordinate systems on 3-space; each can sometimes be used to simplify an integration problem, usually by simplifying the *region* we integrate over.

With cylindrical coordinates, we simply replace (x,y,z) with (r,θ,z) , i.e., use polar coordinates in the xy-plane. In the new coordinate system, $dV=(r\ dr\ d\theta)\ dz$, since that will be the volume of a small 'cylinder' of height dz lying over the small sector in the xy-plane that we use to compute dA above.

Usually, we will actually integrate in cylindrical coordinates in the order $dz dr d\theta$, since this coordinate system is most useful when the cross-sections z=constant of our region are disks (so the limits of integrations for z will depend only on r).

Spherical coordinates are much like polar coordinates; we describe a point (x, y, z) by distance (which we call ρ and direction, except we need to use two angles to completely specify the direction; first, the angle θ that (x, y, 0) makes with the x-axis in the xy-plane, and then the angle ϕ that the line through our point makes with the (positive) z-axis (which we can always assume lies between 0 and π). A little trigonometry leads us to the formulas

$$(x, y, z) = (\rho \cos \theta \sin \phi, \rho \sin \theta \sin \phi, \rho \cos \phi)$$

Again, the idea is that regions difficult to describe in rectangular coordinates can be far easier to describe spherically; for example, the inside of a sphere of radius R_0 can be described as the rectangle $0 \le \rho \le R_0$, $o \le \theta \le 2\pi$, and $0 \le \phi \le \pi$.

It is a bit more work to compute what dV is in spherical coordinates; computing the Jacobian, we find that it is

$$dv = \rho^2 \sin \phi \ d\rho \ d\theta \ d\phi$$

So the 'change of variables formula' for spherical coordinates reads:

 $\iint_W f(x,y,z) \ dV = \iiint_R f(\rho \cos \theta \sin \phi, \rho \sin \theta \sin \phi, \rho \cos \phi) \ \rho^2 \sin \phi \ d\rho \ d\theta \ d\phi$ So, for example, the integral of the function f(x,y,z) = xz over the top half of a ball of radius 5 could be computed as

$$\int_0^5 \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} (\rho \cos \theta \sin \phi) (\rho \cos \phi) (\rho^2 \sin \phi) d\phi d\theta d\rho$$