Math 208

Topics from Chapter 20: Calculus of Vector Fields

§1: The divergence of a vector field

In terms of the coordinates $\vec{F} = (F_1, F_2, F_3)$ of a vector field, the divergence is $\operatorname{div}(F) = (F_1)_x + (F_2)_y + (F_3)_z$

It can be identified with the flux density of the vector field \vec{F} at a point P: this should be though of as the flux integral of F through a tiny box around the point P.

 $\operatorname{div}(F) = \operatorname{the limit}$ as the side length goes to 0, of the flux through the sides of a box centered at P, divided by the volume of the box.

A vector field F is divergence-free if $\operatorname{div}(F) = 0$. For example, F = (y, z, x) is divergence free, but F = (x, y, z) is not; $\operatorname{div}(F) = 3$.

Some formulas that can help to calculate divergence:

$$\operatorname{div}(fF) = (\nabla f) \bullet F = f \cdot (\operatorname{div} F)$$

$$\operatorname{div}(F \times G) = (\operatorname{curl} F) \bullet G - F \bullet (\operatorname{curl} G) \quad \text{in 3-space}$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{curl}(\vec{F})) = 0 \quad \text{in 3-space}$$

§2: The Divergence Theorem

If W is a region in 3-space, it boundary is a surface S. (S might actually consist of several pieces; this won't reallt effect our discussion.) We can choise normal vectors for each piece of S by insisting that \vec{n} alway points out of W. Then we have, for any vector field F which is defined everywhere in W:

The Divergence Theorem:
$$\int_S \vec{F} \bullet d\vec{A} = \int_W (\text{div } F) \ dV$$

In other words, we can compute flux integrals over a surface S that forms the boundary of a region W, by computing the integral of a different function over W. This is especially useful when the vector field is divergence-free; for example if the region W has two surfaces for boundary and F is divergence-free, then the flux integral of F over one surface, with normals pointing out of W, is equal to the flux integral of F over the other surface, with normals pointing into W. Even if F is not divergence-free, we can compute the flux integral of one as the flux integral of the other plus the triple integral over W.

§3: The curl of a vector field

We have already met the curl of a vector field $\vec{F} = (F_1, F_2, F_3 \text{ in 3-space}; \text{ in terms of coordinates:}$

$$\operatorname{curl}(\vec{F}) = ((F_3)_y - (F_2)_z, -((F_3)_x - (F_1)_z), (F_2)_x - (F_1)_y)$$

It can be used to compute the *circulation density* of the vector field \vec{F} , at the point P, in the direction of a (unit) vector \vec{n} :

$$\operatorname{curl}(\vec{F}) \bullet \vec{n} = \operatorname{circ}_{\vec{n}}(\vec{F})$$

= the limit, as the side lengths go to 0, of the line integral of \vec{F} around the boundary of a little square around P and perpendicular to \vec{n} , divided by the area of the square.)

We have already used the curl to detect conservative vector fields; this stems from the formula

$$\operatorname{curl}(\nabla \vec{F}) = (0,0,0)$$

A vector field \vec{F} is curl-free if $\text{curl} \vec{F} = (0,0,0)$. This means that in any box in which \vec{F} is defined, \vec{F} is a gradient vector field (although it is possible that \vec{F} cannot be expressed as the gradient of a function everywhere that \vec{F} is defined at the same time; the standard example of this is the vector field

$$\vec{F} = (\frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2}, \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}, 0)$$

 $ec{F}$ is curl-free, but it is not a gradient vector field, since (as you can check) the line integral of \vec{F} around the circle of radius one in the x-y plane with center (0,0,0). Green's Theorem does not work, because \vec{F} (and so its curl) is not defined on the entire disk bounded by the circle.)

§4: Stokes' Theorem

If S is a surface in 3-space, with a normal orientation \vec{n} , the boundary of S is a collection of paramatrized curves (there can easily be more than one, e.g., if S is a cylinder). We can orient each curve using a right-hand rule; if we stand on the curve and walk along it the chosen orientation with our heads pointing in the direction of \vec{N} , then the surface S dishould always be on our left. Then Stokes' Theorem say that, for any vector field \vec{F} defined everywhere on S:

$$\int_{C} \vec{F} \bullet d\vec{r} = \int_{S} (\operatorname{curl} \vec{F}) \bullet d\vec{A}$$

 $\int_C \vec{F} \bullet d\vec{r} = \int_S (\text{curl} \vec{F}) \bullet d\vec{A}$ This allows us to compute line integrals as flux integrals, and, with a little work, flux integrals as line integrals.

For example, it says that the line integral of a curl-free vector field \vec{F} around a closed curve is always 0, so long as the curve is the boundary of a surface contained entirely in the domain of \vec{F} .

We say that a vector field \vec{F} is a curl field if $\vec{F} = \text{curl}(\vec{G})$ for some vector field \vec{G} . is called a vector potential of \vec{F} . Then Stokes' Theorem says that, for any surface S in the domain of \vec{F} with boundary C,

$$\int_{S} \vec{F} \bullet d\vec{A} = \int_{S} \operatorname{curl} \vec{G} \bullet d\vec{A} = \int_{C} \vec{G} \bullet d\vec{r}$$

So, for example, for a curl field \vec{F} and two surfaces S_1 and S_2 with the same boundary C, we have

$$\int_{S_1} \vec{F} \bullet d\vec{A} = \int_{S_2} \vec{F} \bullet d\vec{A}$$

So the flux integral of a curl field really depends just on the boundary of the surface, not on the surface.

We can test for whether or not \vec{F} is a curl field, using the divergence, since $\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{curl}(\vec{G}))$ = 0, so a curl field must be divergence-free. (The opposite is almost true; it is true, for example, if the vector field is defined in a big box.)

The whole idea behind these three theorems (Green's, Divergence, and Stokes') is that the integral of one kind of function over one kind of region can be computed instead as the integral of another kind of function over the boundary of the region.

Green's: Integral of the vector field \vec{F} over a closed curve in the plane equals integral of its curl of \vec{F} over the region in the plane that the curve bounds.

Divergence: The flux integral of a vector field \vec{F} through the boundary of a region in 3-space equals the integral of the divergence of \vec{F} over the region in 3-space.

Stokes': The line integral of the vector field \vec{F} over a closed curve C in 3-space equals the flux integral of the curl of \vec{F} over any surface S that has C as its boundary.

Note that Green's Theorem is really just a special case of Stokes' (where the curve C lies in the plane, and the third coordinate of \vec{F} just happens to be 0). All of these, like the Fundamental Theorem of Line Integrals, are really a kind of Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, where we are computing a kind of integral by instead computing something else across the boundary of the region we are interested in. We could keep doing this, finding a relation between integfrals over regions in 4-space (or higher!) in terms of integrals over thier 'boundary', but we won't do that....