

# FINITE GORENSTEIN REPRESENTATION TYPE IMPLIES SIMPLE SINGULARITY

LARS WINTHER CHRISTENSEN, GREG PIEPMEYER, JANET STRIULI,  
AND RYO TAKAHASHI

*To Lucho Avramov on his sixtieth birthday*

ABSTRACT. Let  $R$  be a commutative noetherian local ring and consider the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable totally reflexive  $R$ -modules. We prove that if this set is finite, then either it has exactly one element, represented by the rank 1 free module, or  $R$  is Gorenstein and an isolated singularity (if  $R$  is complete, then it is even a simple hypersurface singularity). The crux of our proof is to argue that if the residue field has a totally reflexive cover, then  $R$  is Gorenstein or every totally reflexive  $R$ -module is free.

## INTRODUCTION

Remarkable connections between the module theory of a local ring and the character of its singularity emerged in the 1980s. They show how finiteness conditions on the category of maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules<sup>1</sup> characterize particular isolated singularities. We develop these connections in several directions.

A local ring with only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules is said to be of finite Cohen–Macaulay (CM) representation type. By work of Auslander [5], every complete Cohen–Macaulay local ring of finite CM representation type is an isolated singularity.

Specialization to Gorenstein rings opens to a finer description of the singularities; it centers on the simple hypersurface singularities identified in Arnol’d’s work on germs of holomorphic functions [1]. By work of Buchweitz, Greuel, and Schreyer [12], Herzog [18], and Yoshino [32], a complete Gorenstein ring of finite CM representation type is a simple singularity in the generalized sense of [32]. Under extra assumptions on the ring, the converse holds by work of Knörrer [21] and Solberg [25].

In this introduction,  $R$  is a commutative noetherian local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and residue field  $k$ . To avoid the *a priori* condition in [12, 18, 32] that  $R$  is Gorenstein, we replace finite CM representation type with a finiteness condition on the category  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  of modules of Gorenstein dimension 0. Over a Gorenstein ring, these modules are precisely the maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules, but they are known to exist over any ring, unlike maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $R$  be complete. If the set of isomorphism classes of non-free indecomposable modules in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is finite and not empty, then  $R$  is a simple singularity.*

---

*Date:* May 7, 2008.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14B05, 18G25, 13C14.

*Key words and phrases.* Approximations, Cohen–Macaulay representation type, covers, Gorenstein dimension, precovers, simple singularity, totally reflexive modules.

This work was done while L.W.C. visited University of Nebraska–Lincoln (UNL), partly supported by a grant from the Carlsberg Foundation. Part of it was done during R.T.’s visit to UNL supported by NSF grant DMS 0201904. J.S. was supported by NSF grant DMS 0201904.

<sup>1</sup>The finitely generated modules whose depth equals the Krull dimension of the ring.

The category  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  was introduced by Auslander and Bridger [4, 6]. An  $R$ -module  $G$  is in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  if there is an exact complex of finitely generated free  $R$ -modules

$$\mathbf{F} = \cdots \longrightarrow F_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} F_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} F_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

such that  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Coker } \partial_0$  and the complex  $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{F}, R)$  is exact. Every finitely generated free  $R$ -module is in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ , and the modules in this category have Gorenstein dimension 0 as in [4, 6]; following [11] we call them *totally reflexive*.

The aforementioned works [12, 18, 32] show that Theorem A follows from the next result, which is proved as (4.3).

**Theorem B.** *If the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable modules in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is finite, then  $R$  is Gorenstein or every module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is free.*

As this theorem does not require  $R$  to be complete, we considerably strengthen Theorem A using work of Huneke, Leuschke, and R. Wiegand [19, 22, 30]; this occurs in (4.5). Theorem B was conjectured by R. Takahashi [29], who proved it for henselian rings of depth at most two [27, 28, 29]. The class of rings over which all totally reflexive modules are free is poorly understood, but it is known to include all Golod rings [11], in particular, all Cohen–Macaulay rings of minimal multiplicity.

To prove Theorem B we use a notion of  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -approximations, which is close kin to the CM-approximations of Auslander and Buchweitz [7]. When  $R$  is Gorenstein, a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -approximation is exactly a CM-approximation. By [7], every module over a Gorenstein ring has a CM-approximation. Our proof of Theorem B goes via the following strong converse, proved as (3.4).

**Theorem C.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring and assume there is a non-free module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . If the residue field  $\mathfrak{k}$  has a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -approximation, then  $R$  is Gorenstein.*

This theorem complements recent developments in relative homological algebra. The notion of totally reflexive modules has two extensions to non-finitely generated modules; see [13] for details. One is Gorenstein projective modules, which allows arbitrary free modules in the definition above. By recent work of Jørgensen [20], every module over a complete local ring has a Gorenstein projective precover. The other extension is Gorenstein flat modules. By a result of Enochs and López-Ramos [15], every module has a Gorenstein flat precover.

Theorem C counterposes these developments; it shows that for finitely generated modules, the precovers found in [20] and [15] cannot, in general, be finitely generated. Assume that  $R$  is complete. Then a finitely generated  $R$ -module has a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -approximation if and only if it has a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover. Assume further that  $R$  is not Gorenstein. Theorem C shows that if  $X \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}$  is a Gorenstein projective/flat precover and  $X$  is not free, then  $X$  is not finitely generated.

## 1. CATEGORIES AND COVERS

In this paper, rings are commutative and noetherian; modules are finitely generated (unless otherwise specified). We write  $\text{mod}(R)$  for the category of finitely generated modules over a ring  $R$ .

For an  $R$ -module  $M$ , we denote by  $M_i$  the  $i$ th syzygy in a free resolution. When  $R$  is local, we denote by  $\Omega_i^R(M)$  the  $i$ th syzygy in the minimal free resolution of  $M$ . For an  $R$ -module  $M$ , set  $M^* = \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ ; we refer to this module as the *algebraic dual* of  $M$ .

We only consider full subcategories of  $\text{mod}(R)$ ; this allows us to define a subcategory by specifying its objects. In the following,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a subcategory of  $\text{mod}(R)$ .

(1.1) **Closures.** Recall that the category  $\mathcal{B}$  is said to be closed under extensions if for every short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow X \rightarrow B' \rightarrow 0$  with  $B$  and  $B'$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  also  $X$  is in  $\mathcal{B}$ . The closure of  $\mathcal{B}$  under extensions is by definition the smallest subcategory containing  $\mathcal{B}$  and closed under extensions. Recall also that  $\mathcal{B}$  is closed under direct sums and direct summands when a direct sum  $M \oplus N$  is in  $\mathcal{B}$  if and only if both summands are in  $\mathcal{B}$ . The closure of  $\mathcal{B}$  under addition is by definition the smallest subcategory containing  $\mathcal{B}$  and closed under direct sums and direct summands; we denote it by  $\text{add}(\mathcal{B})$ .

We define the closure  $\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$  to be the smallest subcategory containing  $\mathcal{B}$  and closed under direct summands and extensions. It is straightforward to verify that the closure  $\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$  is reached by countable alternating iteration, starting with  $\mathcal{B}$ , between closure under addition and closure under extensions.

We say that  $\mathcal{B}$  is *closed under algebraic duality* if for every module  $B$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  the module  $B^*$  is also in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Similarly, we say that  $\mathcal{B}$  is *closed under syzygies* if for every module  $B$  in  $\mathcal{B}$  every first syzygy  $B_1$  is in  $\mathcal{B}$ ; then every syzygy  $B_i$  is in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

(1.2) **Precovers and covers.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. A  $\mathcal{B}$ -precover of  $M$  is a homomorphism  $\varphi: B \rightarrow M$ , with  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , such that every homomorphism  $X \rightarrow M$  with  $X \in \mathcal{B}$ , factors through  $\varphi$ ; i.e., the homomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_R(X, \varphi): \text{Hom}_R(X, B) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X, M)$$

is surjective for each module  $X$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . A  $\mathcal{B}$ -precover  $\varphi: B \rightarrow M$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -cover if every  $\gamma \in \text{Hom}_R(B, B)$  with  $\varphi\gamma = \varphi$  is an automorphism.

Note that if the category  $\mathcal{B}$  contains  $R$ , then every  $\mathcal{B}$ -precover is surjective.

(1.3) If there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable modules in  $\mathcal{B}$ , then every finitely generated  $R$ -module has a  $\mathcal{B}$ -precover; see [2, Prop. 4.2].

(1.4) Consider a diagram  $B \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \oplus N \xleftarrow{\pi} M$ , where  $\pi$  is the identity on  $M$ . If  $\varphi$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -precover, then so is  $\pi\varphi: B \rightarrow M$ .

The next two lemmas appear in Xu's book [31, 2.1.1 and 1.2.8]. We include a proof of the second one since Xu left it to the reader.

(1.5) **Wakamatsu's lemma.** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a subcategory of  $\text{mod}(R)$ , and let  $\varphi$  be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -cover of an  $R$ -module  $M$ . If  $\mathcal{B}$  is closed under extensions, then  $\text{Ext}_R^1(X, \ker \varphi) = 0$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{B}$ .

(1.6) **Lemma.** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a subcategory of  $\text{mod}(R)$ , and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. If  $M$  has a  $\mathcal{B}$ -cover, then a  $\mathcal{B}$ -precover  $\varphi: X \rightarrow M$  is a cover if and only if  $\ker \varphi$  contains no non-zero direct summand of  $X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\psi: Y \rightarrow M$  be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -cover. For the "if" part, consider the commutative diagram below, where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are given by the precovering properties of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & M & & \\ & \psi \nearrow & \uparrow \varphi & \nwarrow \psi & \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & X & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Y \end{array}$$

Since  $\psi\beta\alpha = \psi$  and  $\psi$  is a cover, the composite  $\beta\alpha$  is an automorphism, so  $\beta$  is surjective. It also follows that  $X$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Ker } \beta \oplus \text{Im } \alpha$ . As  $\text{Ker } \varphi$  contains no non-zero summand of  $X$ , the inclusion  $\text{Ker } \beta \subseteq \text{Ker } \varphi$  implies that  $\beta$  is also injective. Consequently,  $\varphi$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -cover.

For the “only if” part, consider a decomposition  $X = Y \oplus Z$ , and assume there is an inclusion  $Z \subseteq \text{Ker } \varphi$ . Let  $\pi$  be the endomorphism of  $X$  projecting onto  $Y$ , then  $\varphi\pi = \varphi$ . Since  $\varphi$  is a cover,  $\pi$  is an automorphism, whence  $Z = 0$ .  $\square$

## 2. APPROXIMATIONS AND REFLEXIVE SUBCATEGORIES

Stability of (pre-)covers under base change is delicate to track. To avoid this task, we develop a notion between precover and cover. The next definition is in line with that of CM-approximations [7]; for  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  it broadens the notion used in [11].

(2.1) **Definitions.** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a subcategory of  $\text{mod}(R)$  and set

$$\mathcal{B}^\perp = \{ L \in \text{mod}(R) \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(B, L) = 0 \text{ for all } B \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and all } i > 0 \}.$$

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. A  $\mathcal{B}$ -approximation of  $M$  is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $B$  is in  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $L$  is in  $\mathcal{B}^\perp$ .

(2.2) Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a subcategory of  $\text{mod}(R)$  and  $M$  be an  $R$ -module.

(a) If  $0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker } \varphi \longrightarrow B \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \longrightarrow 0$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -approximation of  $M$ , then  $\varphi$  is a *special*  $\mathcal{B}$ -precover of  $M$ ; see [31, Prop. 2.1.3].

(b) If  $B \xrightarrow{\varphi} M$  is a surjective  $\mathcal{B}$ -cover, and  $\mathcal{B}$  is closed under syzygies and extensions, then the sequence  $0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker } \varphi \longrightarrow B \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \longrightarrow 0$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -approximation of  $M$  by Wakamatsu’s lemma.

(c) Assume  $\text{mod}(R)$  has the Krull–Schmidt property (e.g.,  $R$  is henselian) and  $\mathcal{B}$  is closed under direct summands. The module  $M$  has a  $\mathcal{B}$ -cover if and only if it has a  $\mathcal{B}$ -precover; see [29, Cor. 2.5].

The next two results study the behavior of approximations under base change.

Let  $\vartheta: R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism. We say that  $\vartheta$  is of finite flat dimension if  $S$ , viewed as an  $R$ -module through  $\vartheta$ , has a bounded resolution by flat  $R$ -modules. We write  $\text{Tor}_{i>0}^R(S, \mathcal{B}) = 0$  if for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , and for all  $i > 0$ , the modules  $\text{Tor}_i^R(S, B)$  vanish. We denote by  $S \otimes \mathcal{B}$  the subcategory of  $S$ -modules  $S \otimes_R B$  with  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ .

(2.3) **Lemma.** *Let  $R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism of finite flat dimension. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a subcategory of  $\text{mod}(R)$  such that  $\text{Tor}_{i>0}^R(S, \mathcal{B}) = 0$ . If  $L \in \mathcal{B}^\perp$  and  $\text{Tor}_{i>0}^R(S, L) = 0$ , then for every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and every  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  there is an isomorphism*

$$\text{Ext}_S^m(S \otimes_R B, S \otimes_R L) \cong \text{Tor}_{-m}^R(S, \text{Hom}_R(B, L)).$$

*In particular, there are isomorphisms  $\text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R B, S \otimes_R L) \cong S \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(B, L)$ , and  $S \otimes_R L$  is in  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle^\perp$ .*

**Proof.** Fix  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Take a free resolution  $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow B$  and a bounded flat resolution  $\mathbf{F} \rightarrow S$  over  $R$ . By the vanishing of (co)homology, the induced morphisms

$$S \otimes_R \mathbf{E} \rightarrow S \otimes_R B, \quad \mathbf{F} \otimes_R L \rightarrow S \otimes_R L, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Hom}_R(B, L) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{E}, L)$$

are homology isomorphisms. In particular, the first one is a free resolution of the  $S$ -module  $S \otimes_R B$ . The functors  $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{E}, -)$  and  $\mathbf{F} \otimes_R -$  preserves homology isomorphisms. This explains the first, third, and fifth isomorphisms below.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_S^m(S \otimes_R B, S \otimes_R L) &\cong \text{H}^m(\text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R \mathbf{E}, S \otimes_R L)) \\ &\cong \text{H}^m(\text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{E}, S \otimes_R L)) \\ &\cong \text{H}^m(\text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F} \otimes_R L)) \\ &\cong \text{H}^m(\mathbf{F} \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{E}, L)) \\ &\cong \text{H}^m(\mathbf{F} \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(B, L)) \\ &\cong \text{Tor}_{-m}^R(S, \text{Hom}_R(B, L)) \end{aligned}$$

The second isomorphism follows from Hom-tensor adjointness, and the fourth is tensor evaluation; see [17, Prop. II.5.14]. For  $m = 0$  the composite isomorphism reads  $\text{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R B, S \otimes_R L) \cong S \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(B, L)$ . That  $S \otimes_R L$  is in  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle^\perp$  follows as  $\text{Tor}_i^R$  is zero for  $i < 0$ .  $\square$

(2.4) **Proposition.** *Let  $R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a subcategory of  $\text{mod}(R)$ . Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module with a  $\mathcal{B}$ -approximation  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow B \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ . If  $\text{Tor}_{i>0}^R(S, \mathcal{B}) = 0$  and  $\text{Tor}_{i>0}^R(S, M) = 0$ , then*

$$0 \longrightarrow S \otimes_R L \longrightarrow S \otimes_R B \longrightarrow S \otimes_R M \longrightarrow 0$$

is an  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle$ -approximation.

**Proof.** By the assumptions on  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $M$ , application of the functor  $S \otimes_R -$  to the  $\mathcal{B}$ -approximation of  $M$  yields the desired short exact sequence and also equalities  $\text{Tor}_{i>0}^R(S, L) = 0$ . Now Lemma (2.3) gives that  $S \otimes_R L$  is in  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle^\perp$ .  $\square$

(2.5) Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a subcategory of  $\text{mod}(R)$  with  $R \in \mathcal{B}^\perp$ . For every  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and every  $R$ -module  $N$ , dimension shifting yields

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(B, N) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+h}(B, N_h) \quad \text{for } i > 0 \text{ and } h \geq 0.$$

Moreover, for  $h \geq 0$  the algebraic dual  $B^*$  is a  $h$ th syzygy of  $(B_h)^*$ , so

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(B^*, N) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+h}((B_h)^*, N) \quad \text{for } i > 0 \text{ and } h \geq 0.$$

If, furthermore,  $\mathcal{B}$  is closed under syzygies and algebraic duality, then these isomorphisms combine to yield

$$(2.5.1) \quad \text{Ext}_R^i(B^*, N_j) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i((B_h)^*, N_{j-h}) \quad \text{for } i > 0 \text{ and } j \geq h \geq 0.$$

In particular, (2.5.1) holds when  $\mathcal{B}$  is a category satisfying the next definition.

(2.6) **Definition.** A subcategory  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\text{mod}(R)$  is *reflexive* if  $R$  is in  $\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{B}^\perp$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is closed under

- (1) direct sums and direct summands,
- (2) syzygies, and
- (3) algebraic duality.

It is standard that the category  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  of totally reflexive  $R$ -modules is a reflexive subcategory of  $\text{mod}(R)$ . Moreover, using the characterization of  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  provided by [13, (1.1.2) and (4.1.4)], it is straightforward to verify that every reflexive subcategory of  $\text{mod}(R)$  is, in fact, a subcategory of  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ .

(2.7) In the rest of the paper,  $\mathcal{F}(R)$  denotes the category of finitely generated free  $R$ -modules. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a reflexive subcategory of  $\text{mod}(R)$ . There are containments

$$\mathcal{F}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{G}(R).$$

Further, let  $R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism of finite flat dimension, then

$$\text{Tor}_{i>0}^R(S, \mathcal{B}) = 0,$$

as every module in  $\mathcal{B}$  is an infinite syzygy.

The next observation is crucial for our proofs of the main theorems.

(2.8) Assume  $\text{mod}(R)$  has the Krull–Schmidt property (e.g.,  $R$  is henselian) and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a reflexive subcategory of  $\text{mod}(R)$  closed under extensions. We claim that an  $R$ -module  $M$  has a  $\mathcal{B}$ -precover if and only if it has a  $\mathcal{B}$ -approximation. Indeed, let  $\varphi: B \rightarrow M$  be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -precover; by (2.2)(c) the module  $M$  also has a  $\mathcal{B}$ -cover. Decompose  $B$  as  $B' \oplus B''$ , where  $B''$  is the largest direct summand of  $B$  contained in  $\text{Ker } \varphi$ . By Lemma (1.6) the factorization  $\varphi': B' \rightarrow M$  is a cover, and by (2.2)(b) the sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \varphi' \rightarrow B' \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -approximation.

(2.9) **Lemma.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a reflexive subcategory of  $\text{mod}(R)$  and  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. If  $M$  has a  $\mathcal{B}$ -approximation, then every syzygy of  $M$  has a  $\mathcal{B}$ -approximation.*

**Proof.** Let  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow B \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -approximation. It is sufficient to prove that every first syzygy  $M_1$  has a  $\mathcal{B}$ -approximation. By the horseshoe construction, there is a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow 0$ , and the syzygy  $B_1$  is in  $\mathcal{B}$  by assumption. Let  $X$  be in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is reflexive, there is an isomorphism  $X \cong X^{**}$ , and also the module  $((X^*)_1)^*$  is in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Now (2.5.1) yields the second isomorphism in the chain

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(X, L_1) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(X^{**}, L_1) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(((X^*)_1)^*, L) = 0. \quad \square$$

(2.10) **Proposition.** *Let  $R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism of finite flat dimension. If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a reflexive subcategory of  $\text{mod}(R)$ , then  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle$  is a reflexive subcategory of  $\text{mod}(S)$ . In particular,  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{G}(R) \rangle$  is reflexive.*

**Proof.** The ring  $S$  is in  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle$ . As  $R \in \mathcal{B}^\perp$ , it follows from (2.7) and Lemma (2.3) that  $S$  is in  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle^\perp$ . By definition,  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle$  is closed under direct sums and direct summands; this leaves (2) and (3) in Definition (2.6) to verify.

First we prove closure under syzygies. Take  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and consider a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow F \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ , where  $F$  is a free  $R$ -module. By assumption, the syzygy  $B_1$  is in  $\mathcal{B}$ . By (2.7) the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow S \otimes_R B_1 \longrightarrow S \otimes_R F \longrightarrow S \otimes_R B \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. It shows that the syzygy  $S \otimes_R B_1$  of  $S \otimes_R B$  is in  $S \otimes \mathcal{B}$ . Moreover, it follows that any summand of  $S \otimes_R B$  has a first syzygy in  $\text{add}(S \otimes \mathcal{B})$ , in particular, in  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle$ . By Schanuel's lemma, a module in  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle$  with some first syzygy in  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle$  has every first syzygy in  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle$ . Finally, given a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow X \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ , where  $M$ ,  $N$ , and their first syzygies are in  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle$ , we claim that also a first syzygy of  $X$  is in  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle$ . Indeed, take presentations of  $M$  and  $N$ . Since  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle$  is closed under extensions, it follows from the horseshoe construction that a first syzygy of  $X$  is in  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle$ .

Next we prove closure under algebraic duality. Take  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and note that by (2.7), Lemma (2.3) applies (with  $L = R$ ) to yield the isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_S(S \otimes_R B, S) \cong S \otimes_R \mathrm{Hom}_R(B, R).$$

Thus, the algebraic dual of  $S \otimes_R B$  is in  $S \otimes \mathcal{B}$ . Moreover, the algebraic dual of any summand of  $S \otimes_R B$  is in  $\mathrm{add}(S \otimes \mathcal{B})$ , in particular, in  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle$ . It is now sufficient to prove that for every short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow X \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ , where  $M, N$ , and the duals  $M^*$  and  $N^*$  are in  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle$ , also the dual  $X^*$  is in  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle$ . Since  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle$  is closed under extensions, this is immediate from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N^* \rightarrow X^* \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_S^1(N, S),$$

where  $\mathrm{Ext}_S^1(N, S) = 0$  as  $S$  is in  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle^\perp$ .  $\square$

### 3. APPROXIMATIONS DETECT THE GORENSTEIN PROPERTY

The main result of this section is Theorem C from the introduction. Lemma (3.2) furnishes the base case; for that we study a standard homomorphism.

(3.1) For modules  $X$  and  $N$  over a ring  $S$  there is a natural map

$$\theta_{XN}: X \otimes_S N \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_S(X^*, N),$$

given by evaluation  $\theta(x \otimes n)(\zeta) = \zeta(x)n$ . Auslander computed the kernel and cokernel of this map in [3, Prop. 6.3]. Because the map is pivotal for our proof of the next lemma, we include a computation for the case where  $X$  is totally reflexive.

Consider a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N_1 \rightarrow F \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ , where  $F$  is a free  $S$ -module. For any totally reflexive  $S$ -module  $X$ , the evaluation homomorphism  $\theta_{XF}$  is an isomorphism, and the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X \otimes_S N_1 & \longrightarrow & X \otimes_S F & \longrightarrow & X \otimes_S N & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \theta_{XN_1} & & \cong \downarrow \theta_{XF} & & \downarrow \theta_{XN} & & \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_S(X^*, N_1) & \rightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_S(X^*, F) & \rightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_S(X^*, N) & \rightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}_S^1(X^*, N_1) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

shows that there is an isomorphism  $\mathrm{Coker} \theta_{XN} \cong \mathrm{Ext}_S^1(X^*, N_1)$ . The snake lemma applies to yield  $\mathrm{Ker} \theta_{XN} \cong \mathrm{Coker} \theta_{XN_1} \cong \mathrm{Ext}_S^1(X^*, N_2)$ , and then (2.5.1) gives

$$(3.1.1) \quad \mathrm{Ker} \theta_{XN} \cong \mathrm{Ext}_S^1((X_2)^*, N) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Coker} \theta_{XN} \cong \mathrm{Ext}_S^1((X_1)^*, N).$$

(3.2) **Lemma.** *Let  $(S, \mathfrak{n}, \ell)$  be a complete local ring of depth 0. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a reflexive subcategory of  $\mathrm{mod}(S)$ . If  $\ell$  has a  $\mathcal{C}$ -approximation and  $\ell$  is not in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}(S)$ .*

**Proof.** Consider a  $\mathcal{C}$ -approximation  $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{\alpha} C \rightarrow \ell \rightarrow 0$ , and dualize to get  $0 \rightarrow \ell^* \rightarrow C^* \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} L^*$ . Let  $I$  be the image of  $\alpha^*$ , and let  $\varphi$  be the factorization of  $\alpha^*$  through the inclusion  $I \hookrightarrow L^*$ .

First we prove that the surjection  $\varphi$  is a  $\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$ -precover of  $I$ . Let  $X$  be a module in  $\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$ . If  $X$  is a free  $S$ -module, then any homomorphism  $X \rightarrow I$  lifts through  $\varphi$ . We may now assume that  $X$  is indecomposable and not free. Because  $\mathrm{Hom}_S(X, I)$  is a submodule of  $\mathrm{Hom}_S(X, L^*)$ , it suffices to prove surjectivity of

$$\mathrm{Hom}_S(X, \alpha^*): \mathrm{Hom}_S(X, C^*) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_S(X, L^*),$$

which we do next.

The vertical maps in the commutative diagram below are evaluation homomorphisms, see (3.1).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
X \otimes_R L & \xrightarrow{\iota} & X \otimes_R C & \longrightarrow & X \otimes_R \ell & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\theta_{XL} \downarrow & & \theta_{XC} \downarrow & & \theta_{X\ell} \downarrow & & \\
0 \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_S(X^*, L) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_S(X^*, C) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_S(X^*, \ell) & \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_S^1(X^*, L)
\end{array}$$

First we argue that the rows of this diagram are short exact sequences. The module  $X$  is in  $\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$  and hence in  $\mathcal{G}(S)$ , see (2.7), so  $\text{Ext}_S^1(X^*, L) = 0$ . Moreover,  $\theta_{XL}$  is an isomorphism by (3.1.1), hence  $\iota$  is injective. Next note that for every  $\zeta \in X^*$  the image of  $\zeta: X \rightarrow S$  is in  $\mathfrak{n}$  as  $X$  is indecomposable and not free. Thus, for all  $x \in X$  and  $u \in \ell$ , we have  $\theta_{X\ell}(x \otimes u)(\zeta) = \zeta(x)u = 0$ . Finally, apply  $\text{Hom}_S(-, S)$  to the diagram above and use Hom-tensor adjointness to get

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\text{Hom}_S(X^*, \ell)^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_S(X^*, C)^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_S(X^*, L)^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_S^1(\text{Hom}_S(X^*, \ell), S) \\
0 \downarrow & & \theta_{XC}^* \downarrow & & \theta_{XL}^* \downarrow \cong & & 0 \downarrow \\
\text{Hom}_S(X, \ell^*) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_S(X, C^*) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_S(X, \alpha^*)} & \text{Hom}_S(X, L^*) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_S^1(X \otimes_R \ell, S).
\end{array}$$

The diagram shows that  $\text{Hom}_S(X, \alpha^*)$  is surjective, as desired.

Now  $\varphi: C^* \rightarrow I$  is a  $\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$ -precover, so by completeness of  $S$ , the module  $I$  has a  $\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$ -cover; see (2.2)(c). The ring has depth 0, so  $\ell^*$  is a non-zero  $\ell$ -vector space. By the assumptions on  $\mathcal{C}$ , the residue field  $\ell$  cannot be a direct summand of  $C^*$ . As  $\text{Ker } \varphi = \ell^*$ , it follows from Lemma (1.6) that  $\varphi$  is a  $\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$ -cover. For every  $X \in \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$  Wakamatsu's lemma gives  $\text{Ext}_S^1(X, \ell^*) = 0$ . Consequently, every module in  $\mathcal{C}$  is projective and hence free, since  $S$  is local.  $\square$

(3.3) Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{k})$  be a local ring and denote by  $\mathcal{M}(R)$  the category of maximal Cohen–Macaulay  $R$ -modules.

(a) If  $R$  is Cohen–Macaulay, then  $\mathcal{G}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(R)$  by the Auslander–Bridger formula [4, §3.2 Prop. 3]. Conversely, if  $\mathcal{G}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(R)$ , then  $R$  is Cohen–Macaulay.

(b) If  $R$  is Gorenstein, then the categories  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  and  $\mathcal{M}(R)$  coincide by [4, §3.2 Thm. 3] and the Auslander–Bridger formula. Conversely, if  $\mathcal{G}(R) = \mathcal{M}(R)$ , then  $R$  is Gorenstein. Indeed,  $R$  is Cohen–Macaulay by (a), so  $\Omega_{\dim R}^R(\mathfrak{k})$  is in  $\mathcal{M}(R)$ , hence in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ , and therefore  $R$  is Gorenstein by [4, §3.2, Rmk. after Thm. 3].

(c) If  $R$  is Gorenstein, then a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  is a CM-approximation if and only if it is a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -approximation. This follows from (b) and the fact that  $L$  is in  $\mathcal{M}(R)^\perp$  if and only if  $L$  has finite injective dimension.

If  $R$  is Gorenstein, then every  $R$ -module has a CM-approximation by [7, Thm. A]. In view of (3.3)(c) the next result contains a converse, cf. Theorem C.

(3.4) **Theorem.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{k})$  be a local ring and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a reflexive subcategory of  $\text{mod}(R)$ . If  $\mathfrak{k}$  has a  $\mathcal{B}$ -approximation, then  $R$  is Gorenstein or  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{F}(R)$ .*

In our proof of this theorem we use the next lemma. We do not know a reference giving a direct argument, so one is supplied here.

(3.5) **Lemma.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{k})$  be a local ring, and let  $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \dots, x_n$  be a sequence in  $\mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$ . If  $\mathbf{x}$  is linearly independent modulo  $\mathfrak{m}^2$ , then  $\mathfrak{k}$  is a direct summand of the module  $\Omega_n^R(\mathfrak{k})/\mathbf{x}\Omega_n^R(\mathfrak{k})$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $(K(\mathbf{x}), d)$  be the Koszul complex on  $\mathbf{x}$ . If necessary, supplement  $\mathbf{x}$  to a minimal generating sequence  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  for  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $(\mathbf{F}, \partial)$  be a minimal free resolution of  $\mathfrak{k}$ . The identification  $R/(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \mathfrak{k}$  lifts to a morphism of complexes  $\sigma: K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$ . Serre proves in [24, Appendix I.2] that  $\sigma$  is injective and degreewise split. The natural inclusion  $\iota: K(\mathbf{x}) \hookrightarrow K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is also degreewise split, so the composite  $\rho = \sigma\iota$  is an injective morphism of complexes and degreewise split.

From the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Omega_n^R(\mathfrak{k}) \xrightarrow{\iota} F_{n-1} \rightarrow \Omega_{n-1}^R(\mathfrak{k}) \rightarrow 0$ , we get an exact sequence in homology that reads in part

$$(*) \quad \mathrm{Tor}_1^R(R/(\mathbf{x}), \Omega_{n-1}^R(\mathfrak{k})) \rightarrow R/(\mathbf{x}) \otimes_R \Omega_n^R(\mathfrak{k}) \xrightarrow{R/(\mathbf{x}) \otimes_R \iota} R/(\mathbf{x}) \otimes_R F_{n-1}.$$

The module  $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(R/(\mathbf{x}), \Omega_{n-1}^R(\mathfrak{k})) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_n^R(R/(\mathbf{x}), \mathfrak{k})$  is annihilated by  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

Let  $e$  be a generator of  $K(\mathbf{x})_n$ . The image  $\rho_n(e)$  in  $F_n$  is a minimal generator as  $\rho_n$  is split. Set  $\varepsilon = \partial_n \rho_n(e) \in \Omega_n^R(\mathfrak{k})$ ; since  $\mathbf{F}$  is minimal,  $\varepsilon$  is a minimal generator of the syzygy  $\Omega_n^R(\mathfrak{k})$ . The minimal generator  $1 \otimes \varepsilon$  of  $R/(\mathbf{x}) \otimes_R \Omega_n^R(\mathfrak{k})$  is in the kernel of  $(R/(\mathbf{x}) \otimes_R \iota)$ , as the element  $\varepsilon = \partial_n \rho_n(e) = \rho_{n-1} d_n(e)$  is in  $\mathbf{x}F_{n-1}$ . By exactness of  $(*)$  the element  $1 \otimes \varepsilon$  is annihilated by  $\mathfrak{m}$ , hence it generates a 1-dimensional  $\mathfrak{k}$ -vector space that is a direct summand of  $\Omega_n^R(\mathfrak{k})/\mathbf{x}\Omega_n^R(\mathfrak{k})$ .  $\square$

**Proof of (3.4).** We aim to apply Lemma (3.2). By Propositions (2.4) and (2.10), and by faithful flatness of  $\widehat{R}$ , we may assume  $R$  is complete. Set  $d = \mathrm{depth} R$ ; by Lemma (2.9) the  $d$ th syzygy  $\Omega_d^R(\mathfrak{k})$  has a  $\mathcal{B}$ -approximation:

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow B \rightarrow \Omega_d^R(\mathfrak{k}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \dots, x_d$  be an  $R$ -regular sequence in  $\mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$  linearly independent modulo  $\mathfrak{m}^2$ . The Koszul homology modules

$$H_i(K(\mathbf{x}) \otimes_R \Omega_d^R(\mathfrak{k})) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/(\mathbf{x}), \Omega_d^R(\mathfrak{k})) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_{i+d}^R(R/(\mathbf{x}), \mathfrak{k})$$

vanish for  $i > 0$ , so  $\mathbf{x}$  is also  $\Omega_d^R(\mathfrak{k})$ -regular.

Set  $S = R/(\mathbf{x})$ ; by (2.7) and Proposition (2.4) the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S \otimes_R L \rightarrow S \otimes_R B \xrightarrow{\varphi} S \otimes_R \Omega_d^R(\mathfrak{k}) \rightarrow 0$$

is a  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle$ -approximation. Moreover, the category  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle$  is reflexive by Proposition (2.10). By Lemma (3.5) the residue field  $\mathfrak{k}$  is a direct summand of  $S \otimes_R \Omega_d^R(\mathfrak{k})$ , so by (1.4) there is an  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle$ -precover of  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Since  $S$  is complete, it follows from (2.8) that  $\mathfrak{k}$  has a  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle$ -approximation.

Assume  $R$  is not Gorenstein. Then  $S$  is not Gorenstein, so the residue field  $\mathfrak{k}$  is not in  $\mathcal{G}(S)$  and hence not in  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle$ ; see [4, §3.2, Rmk. after Thm. 3] or [13, Thm. (1.4.9)]. By Lemma (3.2) every module in  $\langle S \otimes \mathcal{B} \rangle$  is now free, so for every  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  the module  $S \otimes_R B$  is free over  $S$ . By (2.7) the sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  is  $B$ -regular; therefore,  $B$  is a free  $R$ -module by Nakayama's lemma.  $\square$

An approximation of a module  $M$  is *minimal* if the map onto  $M$  is a cover. When  $R$  is Gorenstein, every  $R$ -module has a minimal CM-approximation by unpublished work of Auslander; see [8, Sec. 4] and [14, Thm. 5.5]. Hence we have

(3.6) **Corollary.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{k})$  be a local ring and assume there is a non-free module in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . The following are then equivalent:*

- (i)  $R$  is Gorenstein.
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{k}$  has a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -approximation.
- (iii) Every finitely generated  $R$ -module has a minimal  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -approximation.  $\square$

(3.7) If  $R$  has a dualizing complex, cf. [17, V.§2], then  $\mathbf{k}$  has a Gorenstein projective precover  $X \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$  by [20, Thm. 2.11]. Assume  $X$  is finitely generated, i.e.,  $X$  is in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  and, further, that  $R$  is henselian. If  $X$  is free, then it follows from (2.8) that  $\mathbf{k}$  has a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -approximation  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow X' \rightarrow \mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$ , where  $X'$  is free. Hence,  $\mathbf{k}$  is in  $\mathcal{G}(R)^\perp$  and then  $\mathcal{G}(R) = \mathcal{F}(R)$ . If  $X$  is not free, then  $R$  is Gorenstein by (3.6).

(3.8) **Questions.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathbf{k})$  be a local ring. If  $\mathbf{k}$  has a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover, is then  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  precovering? If  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is precovering and contains a non-free module, is then  $R$  Gorenstein?

#### 4. ON THE NUMBER OF TOTALLY REFLEXIVE MODULES

In this section we prove Theorems A and B. Note that by (1.3) the latter would follow immediately from a positive answer to the second question in (3.8).

(4.1) **Lemma.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring and  $M$  and  $N$  be finitely generated  $R$ -modules. If only finitely many isomorphism classes of  $R$ -modules  $X$  can fit in a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow X \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , then the  $R$ -module  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$  has finite length.*

**Proof.** Given an  $R$ -module  $X$ , we denote by  $[X]$  the subset of  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$  whose elements have representatives of the form  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow Y \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , where  $Y \cong X$ . By assumption, there exist non-isomorphic  $R$ -modules  $X_0, \dots, X_n$  such that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$  is the disjoint union of the sets  $[X_i]$ . We may take  $X_0 = M \oplus N$ , so  $[X_0]$  is the zero submodule of  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$ . We must prove that there is an integer  $q > 0$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}^q \text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$  is contained in  $[X_0]$ .

By [16, Cor. 1] there are integers  $p_i$  such that if  $M/\mathfrak{m}^p M \oplus N/\mathfrak{m}^p N \cong X_i/\mathfrak{m}^p X_i$  for some  $p \geq p_i$ , then  $X_i \cong M \oplus N$ . Set  $q = \max\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ . Take a short exact sequence  $\xi$  in  $\mathfrak{m}^q \text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$ ; it belongs to some set  $[X_i]$ . By [26, Thm. 1.1] the sequence  $\xi \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m}^q$  splits, so  $M/\mathfrak{m}^q M \oplus N/\mathfrak{m}^q N \cong X_i/\mathfrak{m}^q X_i$ . By the choice of  $q$  this implies  $X_i \cong M \oplus N$ , so  $i = 0$ , i.e.  $\xi$  is in the zero submodule  $[X_0]$ .  $\square$

Let  $R \rightarrow S$  be a flat ring homomorphism. It does not follow from the natural isomorphism  $S \otimes_R \text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}_S^1(S \otimes_R M, S \otimes_R N)$  that every extension of the  $S$ -modules  $S \otimes_R N$  and  $S \otimes_R M$  has the form  $S \otimes_R X$  for some  $R$ -module  $X$ . In a seminar, Roger Wiegand alerted us to the next result.

(4.2) **Lemma.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow (S, \mathfrak{n})$  be a flat ring homomorphism with  $\mathfrak{m}S = \mathfrak{n}$  and  $R/\mathfrak{m} \cong S/\mathfrak{n}$ . Let  $M$  and  $N$  be finitely generated  $R$ -modules and  $\xi$  be an element of the  $S$ -module  $\text{Ext}_S^1(S \otimes_R M, S \otimes_R N)$ . If the  $R$ -module  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$  has finite length, then there is an element  $\chi$  in  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$  such that  $\xi = S \otimes_R \chi$ .*

**Proof.** The functor  $S \otimes_R -$  from the category  $\text{mod}(R)$  to itself induces a natural isomorphism  $K \rightarrow S \otimes_R K$  on  $R$ -modules of finite length. Applied to  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$  this yields the first isomorphism below

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) \xrightarrow{\cong} S \otimes_R \text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ext}_S^1(S \otimes_R M, S \otimes_R N).$$

The composite sends an exact sequence  $\chi$  to  $S \otimes_R \chi$ .  $\square$

The next result is Theorem B from the introduction.

(4.3) **Theorem.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring. If the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable modules in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is finite, then  $R$  is Gorenstein or  $\mathcal{G}(R) = \mathcal{F}(R)$ .*

**Proof.** Assume there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable modules in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . By (1.3) the residue field  $\mathfrak{k}$  then has a  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ -precover  $\varphi: B \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}$ . We claim that  $\widehat{R} \otimes_R \varphi$  is a  $\langle \widehat{R} \otimes \mathcal{G}(R) \rangle$ -precover of  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Since  $\widehat{R}$  is complete, this implies the existence of a  $\langle \widehat{R} \otimes \mathcal{G}(R) \rangle$ -approximation of  $\mathfrak{k}$ , see (2.8), and the desired conclusion follows from Theorem (3.4) and faithful flatness of  $\widehat{R}$ .

To prove the claim, we must show that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\widehat{R}}(H', \widehat{R} \otimes_R \varphi): \mathrm{Hom}_{\widehat{R}}(H', \widehat{R} \otimes_R B) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\widehat{R}}(H', \mathfrak{k})$$

is surjective for every module  $H' \in \langle \widehat{R} \otimes \mathcal{G}(R) \rangle$ . By flatness of  $\widehat{R}$ , surjectivity holds for modules in  $\widehat{R} \otimes \mathcal{G}(R)$  and hence for every module in  $\mathrm{add}(\widehat{R} \otimes \mathcal{G}(R))$ . It is now sufficient to prove that the category  $\mathrm{add}(\widehat{R} \otimes \mathcal{G}(R))$  is closed under extensions, because then  $\langle \widehat{R} \otimes \mathcal{G}(R) \rangle$  is  $\mathrm{add}(\widehat{R} \otimes \mathcal{G}(R))$ .

First we show that  $\widehat{R} \otimes \mathcal{G}(R)$  is closed under extensions. Fix modules  $G$  and  $K$  in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ , and consider short exact sequences  $0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ . Each  $H$  is in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ , and the minimal number of generators of each  $H$  is bounded by the sum of the numbers of minimal generators for  $G$  and  $K$ . Since the number of indecomposable modules in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  is finite, there are, up to isomorphism, only finitely many such modules  $H$ . By Lemma (4.1) the module  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(K, G)$  has finite length, and by (4.2) every element of  $\mathrm{Ext}_{\widehat{R}}^1(\widehat{R} \otimes_R K, \widehat{R} \otimes_R G)$  is extended from  $\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(K, G)$ .

To prove that  $\mathrm{add}(\widehat{R} \otimes \mathcal{G}(R))$  is closed under extensions, let  $G'$  and  $K'$  be summands of extended modules, i.e.,  $G' \oplus G'' \cong \widehat{R} \otimes_R G$  and  $K' \oplus K'' \cong \widehat{R} \otimes_R K$  for modules  $G, K \in \mathcal{G}(R)$ . Consider a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow G' \rightarrow H' \rightarrow K' \rightarrow 0$ . Then a sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow G' \oplus G'' \longrightarrow H' \oplus G'' \oplus K'' \longrightarrow K' \oplus K'' \longrightarrow 0,$$

is exact, so by what has already been proved, the middle term  $H' \oplus G'' \oplus K''$  is in  $\widehat{R} \otimes \mathcal{G}(R)$ ; whence  $H'$  is in  $\mathrm{add}(\widehat{R} \otimes \mathcal{G}(R))$ .  $\square$

In view of (3.3)(a) we have

(4.4) **Corollary.** *Let  $R$  be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring. If  $R$  is of finite CM representation type, then  $R$  is Gorenstein or  $\mathcal{G}(R) = \mathcal{F}(R)$ .*  $\square$

The next result contains Theorem A from the introduction.

(4.5) **Theorem.** *Let  $R$  be a local ring and assume the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable modules in  $\mathcal{G}(R) \setminus \mathcal{F}(R)$  is finite and not empty. Then  $R$  is Gorenstein and an isolated singularity. Further,  $\widehat{R}$  is a hypersurface singularity; if finite CM representation type ascends from  $R$  to  $\widehat{R}$ , then  $\widehat{R}$  is even a simple singularity.*

**Proof.** By Theorem (4.3) the ring  $R$  is Gorenstein. From (3.3)(b) it follows that  $R$  is of finite CM representation type and hence an isolated singularity by [19, Cor. 2]. By [18, Satz 1.2] the completion  $\widehat{R}$  is a hypersurface singularity and, assuming that also  $\widehat{R}$  is of finite CM representation type, it follows from [32, Cor. (8.16)] that  $\widehat{R}$  is a simple singularity.  $\square$

(4.6) **Remark.** In [23] Schreyer conjectured that a Cohen–Macaulay local  $\mathfrak{k}$ -algebra  $R$  is of finite CM representation type if and only if  $\widehat{R}$  is of finite CM representation type. In [30] R. Wiegand proved descent of finite CM representation type from  $\widehat{R}$  to  $R$  for any local ring  $R$ . Ascent is verified in [30] when  $R$  is Cohen–Macaulay

and either  $\widehat{R}$  is an isolated singularity or  $\dim R \leq 1$ . Ascent also holds for excellent Cohen–Macaulay local rings by work of Leuschke and R. Wiegand [22].

(4.7) **Remarks.** Constructing rings with infinitely many totally reflexive modules is easy using Theorem (4.3). Indeed, let  $Q$  be a local ring of positive dimension and set  $R = Q[[X]]/(X^2)$ . As  $R$  is not reduced, it is not an isolated singularity. The  $R$ -module  $R/(X)$  is in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$  and is not free, cf. [13, exa. (4.1.5)], so by (4.3) there are infinitely many non-isomorphic indecomposable modules in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ .

More generally, Avramov, Gasharov, and Peeva [9] construct a non-free totally reflexive module<sup>2</sup>  $G$  over any ring of the form  $R \cong Q/(\mathbf{x})$ , where  $(Q, \mathfrak{q})$  is local and  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{q}^2$  is a  $Q$ -regular sequence. Such a ring  $R$  is said to have an embedded deformation of codimension  $c$ , where  $c$  is the length of  $\mathbf{x}$ . Again (4.3) implies the existence of infinitely many non-isomorphic indecomposable modules in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ . If  $\widehat{R}$  has an embedded deformation of codimension  $c \geq 2$ , a recent argument of Avramov and Iyengar builds from  $G$  an infinite family of non-isomorphic indecomposable modules in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ ; see [10, Thm. 6.8 and proof of 6.4.(1)]. For such  $R$ , this gives a constructive proof of the abundance of modules in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ .

(4.8) **Question.** Let  $R$  be a local ring that is not Gorenstein. Given an indecomposable totally reflexive  $R$ -module  $G \not\cong R$ , are there constructions that produce infinite families of non-isomorphic indecomposable modules in  $\mathcal{G}(R)$ ?

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Luchezar Avramov for supporting R.T.’s visit to the University of Nebraska and for advice in the process of writing up this work.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Vladimir I. Arnol’d, *Critical points of smooth functions*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Vancouver, B. C., 1974), Vol. 1, Canad. Math. Congress, Montreal, Quebec, 1975, pp. 19–39. MR0431217
- [2] M. Auslander and Sverre O. Smalø, *Preprojective modules over Artin algebras*, J. Algebra **66** (1980), no. 1, 61–122. MR591246
- [3] Maurice Auslander, *Coherent functors*, Proc. Conf. Categorical Algebra (La Jolla, Calif., 1965), Springer, New York, 1966, pp. 189–231. MR0212070
- [4] ———, *Anneaux de Gorenstein, et torsion en algèbre commutative*, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1967, Séminaire d’Algèbre Commutative dirigé par Pierre Samuel, 1966/67. Texte rédigé, d’après des exposés de Maurice Auslander, par Marquerite Mangeney, Christian Peskine et Lucien Szpiro. École Normale Supérieure de Jeunes Filles. Available from <http://www.numdam.org>. MR0225844
- [5] ———, *Finite type implies isolated singularity*, Orders and their applications (Oberwolfach, 1984), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1142, Springer, Berlin, 1985, pp. 1–4. MR812487
- [6] Maurice Auslander and Mark Bridger, *Stable module theory*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 94, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1969. MR0269685
- [7] Maurice Auslander and Ragnar-Olaf Buchweitz, *The homological theory of maximal Cohen–Macaulay approximations*, Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.) (1989), no. 38, 5–37, Colloque en l’honneur de Pierre Samuel (Orsay, 1987). MR1044344
- [8] Maurice Auslander, Songqing Ding, and Øyvind Solberg, *Liftings and weak liftings of modules*, J. Algebra **156** (1993), no. 2, 273–317. MR1216471
- [9] Luchezar L. Avramov, Vesselin N. Gasharov, and Irena V. Peeva, *Complete intersection dimension*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1997), no. 86, 67–114 (1998). MR1608565

---

<sup>2</sup>Actually, even a module of CI-dimension 0 as defined in [9, (1.2)].

- [10] Luchezar L. Avramov and Srikanth B. Iyengar, *Constructing modules with prescribed cohomological support*, Illinois J. Math. **51** (2007), no. 1, 1–20. MR2346182
- [11] Luchezar L. Avramov and Alex Martsinkovsky, *Absolute, relative, and Tate cohomology of modules of finite Gorenstein dimension*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **85** (2002), no. 2, 393–440. MR1912056
- [12] Ragnar-Olaf Buchweitz, Gert-Martin Greuel, and Frank-Olaf Schreyer, *Cohen-Macaulay modules on hypersurface singularities II*, Invent. Math. **88** (1987), no. 1, 165–182. MR877011
- [13] Lars Winther Christensen, *Gorenstein dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1747, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000. MR1799866
- [14] Edgar E. Enochs, Overtoun M. G. Jenda, and Jinzhong Xu, *A generalization of Auslander’s last theorem*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **2** (1999), no. 3, 259–268. MR1715748
- [15] Edgar E. Enochs and J. A. López-Ramos, *Kaplansky classes*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **107** (2002), 67–79. MR1926201
- [16] Robert M. Guralnick, *Lifting homomorphisms of modules*, Illinois J. Math. **29** (1985), no. 1, 153–156. MR769764
- [17] Robin Hartshorne, *Residues and duality*, Lecture notes of a seminar on the work of A. Grothendieck, given at Harvard 1963/64. With an appendix by P. Deligne. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 20, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966. MR0222093
- [18] Jürgen Herzog, *Ringe mit nur endlich vielen Isomorphieklassen von maximalen, unzerlegbaren Cohen-Macaulay-Moduln*, Math. Ann. **233** (1978), no. 1, 21–34. MR0463155
- [19] Craig Huneke and Graham J. Leuschke, *Two theorems about maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules*, Math. Ann. **324** (2002), no. 2, 391–404. MR1933863
- [20] Peter Jørgensen, *Existence of Gorenstein projective resolutions and Tate cohomology*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **9** (2007), no. 1, 59–76. MR2283103
- [21] Horst Knörrer, *Cohen-Macaulay modules on hypersurface singularities I*, Invent. Math. **88** (1987), no. 1, 153–164. MR877010
- [22] Graham Leuschke and Roger Wiegand, *Ascent of finite Cohen-Macaulay type*, J. Algebra **228** (2000), no. 2, 674–681. MR1764587
- [23] Frank-Olaf Schreyer, *Finite and countable CM-representation type*, Singularities, representation of algebras, and vector bundles (Lambrecht, 1985), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1273, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 9–34. MR915167
- [24] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Local algebra*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000. MR1771925
- [25] Øyvind Solberg, *Hypersurface singularities of finite Cohen-Macaulay type*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **58** (1989), no. 2, 258–280. MR977477
- [26] Janet Striuli, *On extensions of modules*, J. Algebra **285** (2005), no. 1, 383–398. MR2119119
- [27] Ryo Takahashi, *Modules of G-dimension zero over local rings of depth two*, Illinois J. Math. **48** (2004), no. 3, 945–952. MR2114261
- [28] ———, *On the category of modules of Gorenstein dimension zero II*, J. Algebra **278** (2004), no. 1, 402–410. MR2068085
- [29] ———, *On the category of modules of Gorenstein dimension zero*, Math. Z. **251** (2005), no. 2, 249–256. MR2191025
- [30] Roger Wiegand, *Local rings of finite Cohen-Macaulay type*, J. Algebra **203** (1998), no. 1, 156–168. MR1620725
- [31] Jinzhong Xu, *Flat covers of modules*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1634, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. MR1438789
- [32] Yuji Yoshino, *Cohen-Macaulay modules over Cohen-Macaulay rings*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 146, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. MR1079937

DEPARTMENT OF MATH. AND STAT., TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY, LUBBOCK, TX 79409, U.S.A.  
*E-mail address:* `lars.w.christensen@ttu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA, LINCOLN, NE 68588, U.S.A.  
*Current address:* Department of Math., University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, U.S.A.  
*E-mail address:* `greg@math.missouri.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA, LINCOLN, NE 68588, U.S.A.  
*E-mail address:* `jstriuli2@math.unl.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, SHINSHU UNIVERSITY 3-1-1  
ASAHI, MATSUMOTO, NAGANO 390-8621, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* `takahasi@math.shinshu-u.ac.jp`