MATH 817 Notes

JD Nir

jnir@huskers.unl.edu www.math.unl.edu/~jnir2/817.html November 13, 2015

 \mathbb{R} is a \mathbb{Q} -vector space and so it has a basis:

 \exists a subset B of \mathbb{R} such that every real number is unquiely expressible as a \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of some finite subset B.

B cannot be described. B is uncountable.

<u>Def</u> A vector space V over a field F is <u>finite dimensional</u> if \exists a finite subset S such that Span(S) = V.

Note By Theorem', V has a finite basis.

Theorem If V is a finite dimensional vector space, then any two basis of V have the same (finite) cardinality.

Pf: Let $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ be any finite basis of V and let B be any basis of V.

Step 1: For any $b_1 \in B$, after reordering $A, A' = (b_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n)$ is also a basis.

* $b_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i a_i$. $b_1 \neq 0 \rightarrow \exists i, c_i \neq 0$. Reorder so that $c_1 \neq 0$. Then $a_1 = b_1 - \frac{c_2}{c_1} a_2 - \cdots - \frac{c_n}{c_1} a_n \Rightarrow a_1 \in \operatorname{Span}(A') \Rightarrow \operatorname{Span}(A') = V$.

If $\lambda_1 b_1 + \lambda_2 a_2 + \lambda_3 a_3 + \cdots + \lambda_n a_n = 0$, then $\lambda_1 c_1 a_1 + (\lambda_1 c_2 + \lambda_2) a_2 + \cdots = 0$. $\therefore \lambda_1 c_1 = 0$. $\therefore \lambda_1 = 0 \therefore \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_n = 0$.

Step 2: For any $b_2 \neq b_1, b_2 \in B$, after reordering $a_2, \ldots, a_n, A'' = (b_1, b_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, \ldots, a_n)$ is also a basis.

* $b_2 = c_1b_1 + c_2a_2 + c_3a_3 + \cdots + c_na_n$. If $c_2 = c_3 = \cdots = c_n = 0$, I reach a contradiction to B being linearly independent. Reorder so $c_2 \neq 0$.

I claim $A'' = (b_1, b_2, a_3, a_4, \dots, a_n)$ is a basis:

- $a_2 \in \operatorname{Span}(A'') \Rightarrow \operatorname{Span}(A'') \supseteq \operatorname{Span}(A') = V$
- If $\lambda_1 b_1 + \lambda_2 b_2 + \lambda_3 a_3 + \dots + \lambda_n a_n = 0$ then $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 c_1)\underline{b_1} + \lambda_2 c_2\underline{a_2} + (\lambda_3 + \lambda_2 c_3)\underline{a_3} + \dots = 0$ $\therefore \lambda_2 = 0$ and so $\lambda_i = 0 \ \forall i$.

Step 3: $\forall b_3 \notin \{b_1, b_2\}$, upon reordering a_3, \ldots, a_n $A''' = (b_1, b_2, b_3, a_4, a_5, \ldots, a_n)$ is a basis too.

The proof of step 3 is nearly identitical to that of step 2.

This process can be continued, provided $\#B \ge n$, n times to get:

 $\forall b_1, \ldots, b_n \in B$, distinct elements, (b_1, \ldots, b_n) is a basis of V.

So
$$B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}.$$

If #B < n, redo whole argument with roles of A and B switched.

<u>Def</u> If V and W are F-vector spaces, a <u>linear transformation</u> from V to W is a function $\varphi: V \to W$ such that

•
$$\varphi(v+v') = \varphi(v) + \varphi(v') \ \forall v, v' \in V$$

•
$$\varphi(c \cdot v) = c \cdot \varphi(v) \ \forall v \in V_1 \ c \in F$$

 $\underline{\underline{\operatorname{Ex}}} \ \widehat{\ \ } \ \int_0^1 (--) \ dx : \mathscr{C}[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is linear.

$$(2)$$
 $F =$ any field. $V = F^n$ $W = F^m$

 $A = \text{any } m \times n \text{ matrix in } F T_A : V \to W \text{ defined by } T_A(v) = A \cdot v \text{ is } F\text{-linear.}$

Theorem [UMP of a basis]

If V is a F-vector space, B is a basis of V and W is any other F-vector space, then the function

$$\operatorname{Hom}_F(V,W) \to \operatorname{Functions}(B,W),$$

given by $\varphi \mapsto (\varphi|_B : B \to W)$, is bijective.

Note $\operatorname{Hom}_F(V, W) := \{ \varphi : V \to W \mid U \text{ is a } F\text{-linear transformation.} \}$

Functions $(A, B) = \{g : A \to B\}$

So, given any function $g: B \to W \exists !$ an F-linear transformation $\varphi_g: V \to W$ so that $\varphi_g(b) = g(b) \ \forall b \in B$.

Pf: If $g: B \to W$ is any function, define $\varphi_g: V \to W$ by

$$\varphi_g(v) = \sum_{b \in B} c_b g(b)$$

where $v = \sum_{b \in B} c_b \cdot b$, $c_b \in F$, is the unique expression of this form.

One checks that $\varphi_q \in \operatorname{Hom}_F(V, W)$.

Let $\operatorname{Hom}_F(V,W) \stackrel{\psi}{\underset{h'}{\longleftrightarrow}} \operatorname{Functions}(B,W)$ be defined as $\psi(\varphi) = \varphi|_B$ and $\psi'(g) = \varphi_g$.

$$\psi(\psi'(g)) = \varphi_q|_B = g \text{ since } \varphi_q(b) = g(b). \checkmark$$

$$\psi'(\psi(\varphi)) = \varphi_{(\varphi|_B)} = \varphi$$
, since $\varphi_{(\varphi|_B)}$ and φ agree on B .