Instructions: Do any four of the seven problems. Don't forget to put your name on your answer sheets.
[1] Let $G$ be a cyclic group. Let $S$ be the union of all of the proper subgroups of $G$.
(a) If $G$ is infinite, show that $|G-S|=2$ (i.e., show that there are only two elements in $G$ that are not in $S$ ).
(b) If $G$ is finite, show that $|G-S|=\phi(|G|)$ (i.e., show that there are $\phi(|G|)$ elements in $G$ that are not in $S$ ).
(c) Prove that a cyclic group $G$ is never a union of proper subgroups.

Answer: (a) If $G=<g>$ is an infinite cyclic group, then it has only two generators: $g$, which is given, and $g^{-1}$. (Clearly, any subgroup that has either $g$ or $g^{-1}$ has the other, so one generates if and only if the other does. But if $n$ is an integer but not either 1 or -1 , then $<g^{n}>$ can't contain $g$, since then $\left(g^{n}\right)^{m}=g$ implies that $g^{n m-1}=e_{G}$, and hence that $g$, and so $G$, has finite order. A similar argument shows that $<g^{n}>$ can't contain $g^{-1}$.) Every element $x \in G$ that is not a generator of $G$ is in $S$, since $<x>$ is a proper subgroup. Thus $G-S=\left\{g, g^{-1}\right\}$, so $|G-S|=2$.
Answer: (b) As in (a), $G-S$ is the set of elements of $G$ which are generators of $G$; if $G$ is a finite cyclic group of order $n$, then it is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_{n}$, and hence has $\phi(n)$ generators. So $|G-S|=\phi(n)$.
Answer: (c) Since $|G-S|>0$, we see that $G$ is never the union $S$ of its proper subgroups.
[2] Let $G$ be a group.
(a) Let $F$ and $H$ be subgroups of $G$, and assume that $F$ does not contain $H$ and that $H$ does not contain $F$. Let $f$ be an element of $F$ that is not in $H$ and let $h$ be an element of $H$ that is not in $F$. Show that $f h$ is not in either $F$ nor $H$ (i.e., show that $f h$ is not in $F \cup H$ ).
(b) Show that $G$ is not the union of any two proper subgroups.

Answer: (a) If $f h \in F$, then $f h=g$ for some $g \in F$, so $h=f^{-1} g \in F$, contradicting our assumption. Similarly, if $f h \in H$, then $f \in H$, which is a contradiction. This means that $f h$ is in neither $F$ nor $H$.
Answer: (b) Say $G$ were the union of two proper subgroups; call them $F$ and $H$. If $F \subset H$, then $G=F \cup H=H$, which contradicts $H$ being proper. Likewise, we can't have $H \subset F$. Thus neither of $F$ and $H$ contains the other, so there is an $f \in F-H$ and an $h \in H-F$, so $f h$ is in neither $F$ nor $H$, which means that $G$ can't be the union of $F$ and $H$.
[3] Let $F_{0}, F_{1}, \ldots$ be the Fibonacci sequence (thus $F_{0}=1, F_{1}=1$ and $F_{n+1}=F_{n}+F_{n-1}$ for every $n \geq 1$ ). Prove that $F_{n} \geq 1.5^{n}$ for all $n \geq 5$.
Answer: Clearly, $F_{5}=8 \geq 8(243 / 256)=243 / 32=1.5^{5}$, and $F_{6}=13 \geq 12(243 / 256)=1.5^{6}$. And if $F_{k} \geq 1.5^{k}$ and $F_{k-1} \geq 1.5^{k-1}$ for some $k \geq 6$, then $F_{k+1}=F_{k}+F_{k-1} \geq 1.5^{k}+1.5^{k-1}=1.5^{k-1}(2.5)>1.5^{k-1}(2.25)=1.5^{k+1}$. Now $F_{n} \geq 1.5^{n}$ for all $n \geq 5$ follows by induction.
[4] Prove that $\mathbf{Z}_{12} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{30}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_{60} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{6}$, but not to $\mathbf{Z}_{24} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{15}$.
Answer: First, by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, $\mathbf{Z}_{12} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{30}=\mathbf{Z}_{12} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{5 * 6} \cong \mathbf{Z}_{12} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{5} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{6} \cong \mathbf{Z}_{12 * 5} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{6}=\mathbf{Z}_{60} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{6}$. But no element of $\mathbf{Z}_{12} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{30}$ has order more than 60 , since 60 is the lcm of 12 and 30, whereas $(1,1) \in \mathbf{Z}_{24} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{15}$ has order 120 , so $\mathbf{Z}_{12} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{30}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_{24} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{15}$.
[5] Let $f: G \rightarrow H$ be a homomorphism of groups.
(a) Define the kernel of $f$.
(b) Prove that the kernel of $f$ is a subgroup of $G$.
(c) Prove that the kernel of $f$ is a normal subgroup of $G$.

Answer: (a) ker $f=\left\{x \in G \mid f(x)=e_{H}\right\}$
Answer: (b) Since $e_{G} \in \operatorname{ker} f$, we know ker $f$ is not empty. If $x, y \in \operatorname{ker} f$, then $f(x y)=f(x) f(y)=e_{H} e_{H}=e_{H}$, so $x y \in \operatorname{ker} f$, so $\operatorname{ker} f$ is closed under the group operation. And if $x \in \operatorname{ker} f$, then $f\left(x^{-1}\right)=(f(x))^{-1}=e_{H}^{-1}=e_{H}$, so $x^{-1} \in \operatorname{ker} f$, hence $\operatorname{ker} f$ is closed under taking inverses. Thus ker $f$ is a subgroup.
Answer: (c) Let $x \in \operatorname{ker} f$ and let $g \in G$. Then $f\left(g x g^{-1}\right)=f(g) f(x) f(g)^{-1}=f(g) e_{H} f(g)^{-1}=f(g) f(g)^{-1}=e_{H}$, so $g x g^{-1} \in \operatorname{ker} f$, hence ker $f$ is normal.
[6] Let $n>1$ be a positive integer.
(a) Prove that the number of elements of order $n$ in $S_{n}$ is at least $(n-1)$ !. [Hint: look at $n$-cycles.]
(b) Prove that $S_{n}$ has an element of order $n$ that is not an $n$-cycle if and only if $n$ is not a power of a prime.

Answer: (a) There are $n$ ! ways to write down an $n$-cycle (since this is the number of ways of ordering the numbers 1 to $n$ ). But these can be grouped into sets of $n$ orderings which define the same $n$-cycle, so there are $n!/ n=(n-1)!n$-cycles in $S_{n}$. Answer: (b) If $n$ is not a power of a prime, then we can factor $n$ so that $n=k m$, where $1<k<m<n, \operatorname{gcd}(k, m)=1$, but $n=k m$. Now $k+m<2 m \leq k m=n$, so we can find a disjoint $k$-cycle (call it $\sigma$ ) and $m$-cycle (call it $\tau$ ) in $S_{n}$. Then $\sigma \tau$ has order $n$, since $n$ is the lcm of $k$ and $m$. Conversely, assume $S_{n}$ has an element $\tau$ of order $n$ but that $\tau$ is not an $n$-cycle. If $\tau$ is a cycle, it must be an $r$-cycle with $r<n$, but then it has order $r<n$. Thus $\tau$ is a product of disjoint cycles, and the lcm of the lengths of the cycles is $n$. If $n$ were a power of a prime, then since each length divides $n$, the lengths are powers of the same prime. Thus the lcm is the length which is the largest power, but all of the lengths are less than $n$, so the order would be less than $n$, contrary to assumption. Thus $n$ can't be a power of a prime.

