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ABSTRACT. In this work we investigate the boundedness and uniqueness of solutions to systems of dynamic equations on time scales. We define suitable Lyapunov-type functions and then formulate appropriate inequalities on these functions that guarantee all solutions to first-order initial value problems are uniformly bounded and/or unique. Several examples are given.

1. Introduction

This paper considers the boundedness and uniqueness of solutions to the first-order dynamic equation

$$x^\Delta = f(t, x), \quad t \geq 0,$$

subject to the initial condition

$$x(t_0) = x_0, \quad t_0 \geq 0, \quad x_0 \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $f : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a continuous function and $t$ is from a so-called “time scale” $\mathbb{T}$ (which is a nonempty closed subset of $\mathbb{R}$). Equation (1) subject to (2) is known as an initial value problem (IVP) on time scales.
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If \( T = \mathbb{R} \) then \( x^\Delta = x' \) and (1), (2) become the following IVP for ordinary differential equations
\[
\begin{align*}
x' &= f(t, x), \quad t \geq 0, \\
x(t_0) &= x_0, \quad t_0 \geq 0.
\end{align*}
\]

Recently, Raffoul [8] used Lyapunov-type functions to formulate some sufficient conditions that ensure all solutions to (3), (4) are bounded, while in a more classical setting, Hartman [3, Chapter 3] employed Lyapunov-type functions to prove that solutions to (3), (4) are unique. Motivated by [8] and [3] (see also references therein), we investigate the boundedness and uniqueness of solutions to systems of dynamic equations in the more general time scale setting. We define suitable Lyapunov-type functions on time scales and then formulate appropriate inequalities on these functions that guarantee solutions to (1), (2) are uniformly bounded and / or unique. In fact, our theory generalizes some of the results in [8] and [3] for the special case \( T = \mathbb{R} \).

To understand the notation used above and the idea of time scales some preliminary definitions are needed.

**Definition** A time scale \( T \) is a nonempty closed subset of the real numbers \( \mathbb{R} \). We assume that \( 0 \in T \) (for convenience) and \( T \) is unbounded above.

Since a time scale may or may not be connected, the concept of the jump operator is useful.

**Definition** Define the forward jump operator \( \sigma(t) \) at \( t \) by
\[
\sigma(t) = \inf\{ \tau > t : \tau \in T \}, \quad \text{for all} \ t \in T,
\]
and define the graininess function \( \mu : T \to [0, \infty) \) as \( \mu(t) = \sigma(t) - t \). Also let \( x^\sigma(t) = x(\sigma(t)) \), that is \( x^\sigma \) is the composite function \( x \circ \sigma \). The jump operator \( \sigma \) then allows the classification of points in a time scale in the following way: If \( \sigma(t) > t \) then call the point \( t \) right-scattered; while \( \sigma(t) = t \) then call the point \( t \) right-dense.

Throughout this work the assumption is made that \( T \) has the topology that it inherits from the standard topology on the real numbers \( \mathbb{R} \).

**Definition** Fix \( t \in T \) and let \( x : T \to \mathbb{R}^n \). Define \( x^\Delta(t) \) to be the vector (if it exists) with the property that given \( \epsilon > 0 \) there is a neighbourhood \( U \) of \( t \) with
\[
||x_i(\sigma(t)) - x_i(s) - x_i^\Delta(t)(\sigma(t) - s)|| \leq \epsilon |\sigma(t) - s|, \quad \text{for all} \ s \in U \ \text{and each} \ i = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

Call \( x^\Delta(t) \) the (delta) derivative of \( x(t) \) and say that \( x \) is (delta) differentiable.

**Definition** If \( G^\Delta(t) = g(t) \) then define the Cauchy integral by
\[
\int_a^t g(s) \Delta s = G(t) - G(a).
\]

For a more general definition of the delta integral see [1], [2].

The following theorem is due to Hilger [4].

**Theorem 1.** Assume that \( g : T \to \mathbb{R}^n \) and let \( t \in T \).
(i) If \( g \) is differentiable at \( t \) then \( g \) is continuous at \( t \).
(ii) If \( g \) is continuous at \( t \) and \( t \) is right-scattered then \( g \) is differentiable at \( t \) with
\[
g^\Delta(t) = \frac{g(\sigma(t)) - g(t)}{\sigma(t) - t}.
\]
(iii) If \(g\) is differentiable and \(t\) is right-dense then
\[
g^\Delta(t) = \lim_{s\to t} \frac{g(t) - g(s)}{t - s}.
\]

(iv) If \(g\) is differentiable at \(t\) then \(g(\sigma(t)) = g(t) + \mu(t)g^\Delta(t)\).

We assume throughout that \(t_0 \geq 0\) and \(t_0 \in \mathbb{T}\). By the interval \([t_0, \infty)\) we mean the set \([t_0, \infty) \cap \mathbb{T}\).

**Definition** Define \(S\) to be the set of all functions \(x : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}^n\) such that
\[
S = \{x : x \in C([t_0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^n)\}.
\]

A solution to (1) is a function \(x \in S\) which satisfies (1) for each \(t \geq t_0\).

The theory of time scales dates back to Hilger [4]. The monographs [1], [2] and [5] also provide an excellent introduction.

## 2. Lyapunov Functions

The following Chain Rule shall be very useful throughout the remainder of the paper.

**Theorem 2.** Let \(p : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) be continuously differentiable and suppose that \(q : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}\) is delta differentiable. Then \(p \circ q\) is delta differentiable and
\[
[p(q(t))]^\Delta = \left( \int_{0}^{1} p'(q(t) + h\mu(t)q^\Delta(t))dh \right) q^\Delta(t),
\]

**Proof** Keller [6] and Potzsche [7]. See also Bohner and Peterson [1], Theorem 1.90.

**Definition** Call \(V : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}\) a “type I” function when
\[
V(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i(x_i) = V_1(x_1) + \cdots + V_n(x_n),
\]

where each \(V_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) is continuously differentiable.

Now assume that \(V : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}\) is a type I function and \(x\) is a solution to (1). Consider
\[
[V(x(t))]^\Delta = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} V_i(x_i(t)) \right]^\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [V_i(x_i(t))]^\Delta,
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1} V_i'(x_i(t) + h\mu(t)x_i^\Delta(t))dh \cdot x_i^\Delta(t),
\]

\[
= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1} V_i'(x_i(t) + h\mu(t)f_i(t, x(t)))f_i(t, x(t)) dh,
\]

\[
= \int_{0}^{1} \nabla V(x(t) + h\mu(t)f(t, x(t))) \cdot f(t, x(t)) dh,
\]

where \(\nabla = (\partial/\partial x_1, \cdots, \partial/\partial x_n)\) is the gradient operator.

This motivates us to define \(\dot{V} : \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}\) by
\[
\dot{V}(t, x) = \int_{0}^{1} \nabla V(x + h\mu(t)f(t, x)) \cdot f(t, x) dh.
\]
Next we find another formula for $\dot{V}(t, x)$. If $\mu(t) = 0$, then we simply get

$$\dot{V}(t, x) = \nabla V(x) \cdot f(t, x).$$

On the other hand if $\mu(t) \neq 0$, then

$$\dot{V}(t, x) = \int_0^1 \nabla V(x + h\mu(t)f(t, x)) \cdot f(t, x) \, dh$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n V'_i(x_i + h\mu(t)f_i(t, x))f_i(t, x) \, dh$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \int_0^1 V'_i(x_i + h\mu(t)f_i(t, x))\mu(t)f_i(t, x) \, dh$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{V_i(x_i + \mu(t)f_i(t, x)) - V_i(x_i)}{\mu(t)}.$$

Summarizing, we get that

$$\dot{V}(t, x) = \begin{cases} 
\sum_{i=1}^n [V_i(x_i + \mu(t)f_i(t, x)) - V_i(x_i)]/\mu(t), & \text{when } \mu(t) \neq 0, \\
\nabla V(x) \cdot f(t, x), & \text{when } \mu(t) = 0.
\end{cases}$$

(6)  

If, in addition to the above, $V : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$ then we call $V$ a type I Lyapunov function. Sometimes the domain of $V$ will be a subset $D$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Note that $V = V(x)$ and even if the vector field associated with the dynamic equation is autonomous then $\dot{V}$ still depends on $t$ (and $x$ of course) when the graininess function of $\mathbb{T}$ is nonconstant.

Using formulas (5) and (6) we can easily calculate $\dot{V}(t, x)$ for each of the following examples:

**Example 1** Let $V(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{1/2}$, for $x \in D$, where

$$D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i > 0, x_i + \mu(t)f_i(t, x) \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}.$$

Then

$$\dot{V}(t, x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f_i(t, x)}{x_i + \mu(t)f_i(t, x) + x_i^{1/2}}.$$

**Example 2** Let $V(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_ix_i^2$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $a_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define the associated weighted vector by

$$w(x) := (a_1x_1, a_2x_2, \ldots, a_nx_n).$$

Then

$$\dot{V}(t, x) = 2w(x) \cdot f(t, x) + \mu(t)w(f(t, x)) \cdot f(t, x).$$

In particular, if $V(x) = \|x\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$, then

$$\dot{V}(t, x) = 2x \cdot f(t, x) + \mu(t)\|f(t, x)\|^2.$$  

(7)
Example 3 Let \( V(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i^4 \) for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( a_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \). Then
\[
\dot{V}(t, x) = \begin{cases} 
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i [(x_i + \mu(t)f_i(t, x))^4 - x_i^4]/\mu(t), & \text{when } \mu(t) \neq 0, \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} 4a_i x_i^3 f_i(t, x), & \text{when } \mu(t) = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Example 4 Let \( V(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i^{4/3} \) for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( a_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \cdots, n \). Then
\[
\dot{V}(t, x) = \begin{cases} 
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i [(x_i + \mu(t)f_i(t, x))^{4/3} - x_i^{4/3}]/\mu(t), & \text{when } \mu(t) \neq 0, \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} 4a_i x_i^{1/3} f_i(t, x)/3, & \text{when } \mu(t) = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

Example 5 For Lyapunov functions which may not be power functions, let
\[
V(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{x_i} p_i(u) du,
\]
where each \( p_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) is continuous. Then
\[
\dot{V}(t, x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1} p_i(x_i + h\mu(t)f_i(t, x)) f_i(t, x) dh.
\]
\[
= P(t, x) \cdot f(t, x),
\]
where
\[
P(t, x) := \left( \int_{0}^{1} p_1(x_1 + h\mu(t)f_1(t, x)) dh, \ldots, \int_{0}^{1} p_n(x_n + h\mu(t)f_n(t, x)) dh \right).
\]

Note that if \( \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R} \), then
\[
P(t, x) = P(x) = (p_1(x_1), \ldots, p_n(x_n)).
\]

3. Boundedness of Solutions

In this section we present some results on the boundedness of solutions to (1), (2).

**Definition** We say solutions \( x \) of the IVP (1), (2) \( t_0 \geq 0, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \) are uniformly bounded provided there is a constant \( C = C(x_0) \) which may depend on \( x_0 \) but not on \( t_0 \) such that
\[
\|x(t)\| \leq C
\]
for all \( t \in [t_0, \infty) \).

First a few more preliminaries.

**Definition** Assume \( g : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R} \). Define and denote \( g \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}; \mathbb{R}) \) as right-dense continuous (rd-continuous) if \( g \) is continuous at every right-dense point \( t \in \mathbb{T} \) and \( \lim_{t \to t^-} g(s) \) exists and is finite, at every left-dense point \( t \in \mathbb{T} \).

Now define the so-called set of regressive functions, \( \mathcal{R} \), by
\[
\mathcal{R} = \{ p : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}; p \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}; \mathbb{R}) \text{ and } 1 + p(t)\mu(t) \neq 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{T} \}
\]
and define the set of positively regressive functions by
\[
\mathcal{R}^+ = \{ p \in \mathcal{R} : 1 + p(t)\mu(t) > 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{T} \}.
\]
For \( p \in \mathcal{R} \), we define (see Theorem 2.35, [1]) the exponential function \( e_p(\cdot, t_0) \) on the time scale \( \mathbb{T} \) as the unique solution to the IVP
\[
x^{\Delta} = p(t)x, \quad x(t_0) = x_0.
\]
If \( p \in \mathcal{R}^+ \), then (see Theorem 2.48, [1]) \( e_p(t, t_0) > 0 \) for \( t \in \mathbb{T} \).

We are now ready to present some results.

**Theorem 3.** Assume \( D \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) and there exists a type I Lyapunov function \( \mathcal{V}: D \to [0, \infty) \) such that for all \((t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times D\):
\[
\begin{align*}
V(x) & \to \infty, \quad \text{as} \quad \|x\| \to \infty; \\
V(x) & \leq \phi(\|x\|); \\
\dot{\mathcal{V}}(t, x) & \leq \frac{\psi(\|x\|) + L}{1 + \mu(t)}; \\
\psi(\phi^{-1}(\mathcal{V}(x))) + \mathcal{V}(x) & \leq \gamma;
\end{align*}
\]
where \( \phi, \psi \) are functions such that \( \phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty) \), \( \psi : [0, \infty) \to (-\infty, 0] \), \( \psi \) is nonincreasing, and \( \phi^{-1} \) exists; \( L \) and \( \gamma \) are nonnegative constants. Then all solutions of (1), (2) that stay in \( D \) are uniformly bounded.

**Proof** Let \( x \) be a solution to (1), (2) that stays in \( D \) for all \( t \geq t_0 \geq 0 \). Consider \( V(x(t))e_1(t, t_0) \) \((e_1(t, t_0) \) is the unique solution to the IVP \( x^{\Delta} = x \), \( x(t_0) = 1 \)). Since \( p = 1 \in \mathcal{R}^+ \), \( e_1(t, t_0) \) is well defined and positive on \( \mathbb{T} \). Now consider
\[
[V(x(t))e_1(t, t_0)]^{\Delta} = \dot{\mathcal{V}}(t, x(t))\epsilon^\gamma_1(t, t_0) + V(x(t))\epsilon^\Delta_1(t, t_0),
\]
\[
\leq \frac{(\psi(\|x(t)\|) + L)}{1 + \mu(t)}\epsilon_1(t, t_0) + V(x(t))\epsilon_1(t, t_0), \quad \text{by (10)},
\]
\[
= (\psi(\|x(t)\|) + L + V(x(t)))\epsilon_1(t, t_0),
\]
\[
\leq (\psi(\phi^{-1}(V(x(t)))) + V(x(t)) + L)\epsilon_1(t, t_0), \quad \text{by (9)},
\]
\[
\leq (\gamma + L)\epsilon_1(t, t_0), \quad \text{by (11)}.
\]
Integrating both sides from \( t_0 \) to \( t \) we obtain
\[
V(x(t))\epsilon_1(t, t_0) \leq V(x_0) + (\gamma + L)(\epsilon_1(t, t_0) - 1),
\]
where \( x_0 = x(t_0) \). It follows that
\[
\begin{align*}
V(x(t)) & \leq V(x_0)/\epsilon_1(t, t_0) + (\gamma + L), \\
& \leq V(x_0) + (\gamma + L), \quad \text{for all} \quad t \in [t_0, \infty).
\end{align*}
\]
Thus by (8),
\[
V(x(t)) \leq V(x_0) + \gamma + L\]
implies that \( \|x(t)\| \leq R \) for some \( R > 0 \),
and \( R \) will depend on \( V(x_0) \) and \( \gamma \) and \( L \). Hence all solutions of (1), (2) that stay in \( D \) are uniformly bounded. This concludes the proof.

We now provide a special case of Theorem 3 for certain functions \( \phi \) and \( \psi \).
Theorem 4. Assume $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and there exists a type I Lyapunov function $V : D \to [0, \infty)$ such that for all $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times D$:

(13) \quad V(x) \to \infty, \quad \text{as} \quad \|x\| \to \infty;

(14) \quad V(x) \leq \lambda_2 \|x\|^q;

(15) \quad \dot{V}(t, x) \leq -\lambda_3 \|x\|^r + L \frac{1}{1 + \mu(t)};

(16) \quad V(x) - V^{r/q}(x) \leq \gamma;

where $\lambda_2$, $\lambda_3$, $q$, $r$ are positive constants; $L$ and $\gamma$ are nonnegative constants, and $M := \lambda_3 / \lambda_2^{r/q}$. Then all solutions of (1), (2) that stay in $D$ are uniformly bounded.

Proof Note that $M := \lambda_3 / \lambda_2^{r/q} \in \mathbb{R}^+$, so $e_M(t, t_0)$ is well defined and positive. Consider

$$[V(x(t))e_M(t, t_0)]^\Delta.$$

Following the steps in the proof of Theorem 3 we obtain

(17) \quad V(x(t)) \leq V(x_0) + (\gamma + L)/M, \quad \text{for all } t \in [t_0, \infty),

with a bound on solutions following from (13).

Corollary 1. Assume that the conditions of Theorem 4 are satisfied with (13) replaced with

(18) \quad \lambda_1 \|x\|^p \leq V(x),

where $\lambda_1$ and $p$ are positive constants. Then all solutions of (1), (2) that stay in $D$ satisfy

(19) \quad \|x(t)\| \leq \lambda_1^{-1/p} (V(x_0) + (\gamma + L)/M)^{1/p}, \quad \text{for all } t \in [t_0, \infty).

Proof Let $x$ be a solution of (1), (2) that stays in $D$. Then (17) and (18) imply that

$$\lambda_1 \|x(t)\|^p \leq V(x(t)) \leq V(x_0) + (\gamma + L)/M,$$

and (19) follows. This concludes the proof.

Theorem 5. Assume $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and there exists a type I Lyapunov function $V : D \to [0, \infty)$ such that for all $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times D$:

(20) \quad V(x) \to \infty, \quad \text{as} \quad \|x\| \to \infty;

(21) \quad \dot{V}(t, x) \leq -\lambda_3 V(x) + L \frac{1}{1 + \lambda_3 \mu(t)};

where $\lambda_3 > 0$ and $L \geq 0$ are constants. Then all solutions of (1), (2) that stay in $D$ are uniformly bounded.

Proof Let $x$ be a solution to (1), (2) that stays in $D$ for all $t \in [t_0, \infty)$. Since $\lambda_3 \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $e_{\lambda_3}(t, t_0)$ is well defined and positive. Now consider

$$[V(x(t))e_{\lambda_3}(t, t_0)]^\Delta = \dot{V}(t, x(t))e_{\lambda_3}(t, t_0) + V(x(t))e_{\lambda_3}(t, t_0) - (\gamma + L)e_{\lambda_3}(t, t_0) + V(x(t))e_{\lambda_3}(t, t_0),$$

by (21),

$$= L e_{\lambda_3}(t, t_0).$$
Integrating both sides from $t_0$ to $t$ we obtain
\[ V(x(t))e_{\lambda_3}(t, t_0) \leq V(x_0) + Le_{\lambda_3}(t, t_0)/\lambda_3, \]
and therefore
\[ V(x(t)) \leq V(x_0)/e_{\lambda_3}(t, t_0) + L/\lambda_3, \]
\[ \leq V(x_0) + L/\lambda_3. \] 
(22)

Thus by (20),
\[ V(x(t)) \leq V(x_0) + L/\lambda_3 \text{ implies that } \|x(t)\| \leq R \text{ for some } R > 0, \]
and $R$ will depend on $V(x_0)$, $L$ and $\lambda_3$. Hence all solutions of (1), (2) that stay in $D$ are uniformly bounded. This concludes the proof.

**Corollary 2.** Assume that the conditions of Theorem 5 are satisfied with (20) replaced with
\[ \lambda_1\|x\|^p \leq V(x), \]
where $\lambda_1$ and $p$ are positive constants. Then all solutions of (1), (2) that stay in $D$ satisfy
\[ \|x(t)\| \leq \lambda_1^{-1/p} (V(x_0) + L/\lambda_2)^{1/p}. \] 
(24)

**Proof** Let $x$ be a solution of (1), (2) that stays in $D$. Then (22) and (23) imply that
\[ \lambda_1\|x(t)\|^p \leq V(x(t)) \leq V(x_0) + L/\lambda_2, \]
and (24) follows. This concludes the proof.

### 4. Examples

We now present some examples to illustrate the theory developed in Section 3.

**Example** Consider the IVP
\[ x^\Delta = ax + bx^{1/3}, \quad x(t_0) = x_0, \]
(25)
where $a$, $b$ are constants, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $t_0 \in [0, \infty)$. If there is a constant $\lambda_3 > 0$ such that
\[ (2a + a^2 \mu(t) + \frac{4}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\mu(t))(1 + \lambda_3\mu(t)) \leq -\lambda_3, \] 
(26)
and
\[ (|b + ab\mu(t)|^3 + \mu(t)|b|^3)(1 + \lambda_3\mu(t)) \leq M, \]
for some constant $M \geq 0$ and all $t \in [0, \infty)$, then all solutions to (25) are uniformly bounded.

**Proof** We shall show that under the above assumptions, the conditions of Theorem 4 are satisfied. Choose $D = \mathbb{R}$ and $V(x) = x^2$ so $q = 2$, $\lambda_2 = 1$ and (13) holds. Now
Now the polynomial
\[ \hat{V}(t, x) = 2x \cdot f(t, x) + \mu(t)\|f(t, x)\|^2, \]
\[ = 2x(ax + bx^{1/3}) + \mu(t)(ax + bx^{1/3})^2, \]
\[ = (2a + a^2 \mu(t))x^2 + 2(b + ab \mu(t))x^{4/3} + b^2 \mu(t)x^{2/3}, \]
\[ \leq (2a + a^2 \mu(t))x^2 + 2 \left[ \frac{x^{4/3/2}}{3/2} + \frac{b + ab \mu(t)}{3} \right] + \mu(t) \left[ \frac{x^{2/3}}{3} + \frac{(b^2)^{1/2}}{2} \right], \]
where we have made use of Young’s inequality twice. Dividing and multiplying the right hand side by \((1 + \lambda_3 \mu(t))\) we see that (15) holds under the above assumptions with \(r = 2\) and \(\gamma = 0\). Therefore all the conditions of Theorem 4 are satisfied and we conclude that all solutions to (25) are uniformly bounded.

**Case 1:** If \(T = \mathbb{R}\) (Raffoul, [8]) then \(\mu(t) = 0\) and (26) reduces to \(2a + 4/3 \leq -\lambda_3\). If \(a \leq -2/3\) then we take \(\lambda_3 = -(2a + 4/3) > 0\) and we can choose \(M = |b|^3\), concluding that all solutions to (25) are uniformly bounded.

**Case 2:** If \(T = h\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, h, 2h, \ldots\}\) then \(\mu(t) = h\) and (26) reduces to
\[ (2a + a^2 h + 4/3 + h/3) \leq -\lambda_3/(1 + \lambda_3 h). \]
Therefore we want to find those \(h > 0\) such that
\[ ha^2 + 2a + (4 + h)/3 < 0. \]
Now the polynomial
\[ p(a) := ha^2 + 2a + (4 + h)/3, \]
will have distinct real roots
\[ a_1(h) = (-\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{3 - 4h - h^2})/(\sqrt{3}h) \]
\[ a_2(h) = (-\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{3 - 4h - h^2})/(\sqrt{3}h) \]
if \(0 < h < \sqrt{7} - 2\). Therefore if \(0 < h < \sqrt{7} - 2\) and \(a_1(h) < a < a_2(h)\), then
\[ A := ha^2 + 2a + (4 + h)/3 < 0. \]
Now, for such an \(h\), let \(\lambda_3\) be defined by
\[ -\lambda_3(1 + \lambda_3 h) = A < 0, \]
that is
\[ \lambda_3 := -A/(1 + hA). \]
Therefore if \(0 < h < \sqrt{7} - 2\) then for \(a_1(h) < a < a_2(h)\) all solutions are uniformly bounded by Theorem 4.

**Remark 1.** It is interesting to note that
\[ \lim_{h \to 0^+} a_2(h) = \lim_{h \to 0^+} (-\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{3 - 4h - h^2})/(\sqrt{3}h) = -2/3, \]
and
\[ \lim_{h \to 0^+} a_1(h) = \lim_{h \to 0^+} (-\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{3 - 4h - h^2})/(\sqrt{3}h) = -\infty, \]
recalling that if $T = \mathbb{R}$ then for $-\infty < a \leq -2/3$ then all solutions are uniformly bounded.

**Example** Consider the the following system of IVPs for $t \geq t_0 \geq 0$

\begin{align*}
(27) \quad &x_1^\Delta = -ax_1 + ax_2, \\
(28) \quad &x_2^\Delta = -ax_1 - ax_2, \\
(29) \quad &(x_1(t_0), x_2(t_0)) = (c, d),
\end{align*}

for certain constants $a > 0$; $c$ and $d$. If there is a constant $\lambda_3 > 0$ such that for all $t \in [0, \infty)$

\begin{equation}
\lambda_3/(1 + \lambda_3\mu(t)) \leq 2a(1 - a\mu(t)),
\end{equation}

then all solutions to (27) - (29) are uniformly bounded.

**Proof** We will show that, under the above assumptions, the conditions of Theorem 5 are satisfied. Choose $D = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $V(x) = \|x\|^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2$ so (20) holds. From (7) we see that

\[
\dot{V}(t, x) = 2x \cdot f(t, x) + \mu(t)\|f(t, x)\|^2,
\]

\[
= -2a(1 - a\mu(t))\|x\|^2,
\]

\[
\leq -\lambda_3\|x\|^2/(1 + \lambda_3\mu(t)), \quad \text{by (30)},
\]

\[
= -\lambda_3V(x)/(1 + \lambda_3\mu(t)).
\]

Hence (21) holds under the above assumptions with $L = 0$. Therefore all the conditions of Theorem 5 are satisfied and we conclude that all solutions to (27) - (29) are uniformly bounded.

In fact, if there is a constant $K$ such that

\begin{equation}
0 \leq a\mu(t) \leq K < 1
\end{equation}

for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ then (30) will hold.

**Case 1:** If $T = \mathbb{R}$ then $\mu(t) = 0$ and (31) will hold for any $0 \leq K < 1$ which, in turn, will make (30) hold and we conclude that all solutions are uniformly bounded.

**Case 2:** If $T = \{H_n\}_{0}^{\infty}$ defined by

\[
H_0 = 0, \quad H_n = \sum_{r=1}^{n} 1/r, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
\]

then $\mu(t) = 1/(n + 1)$ and (31) will hold when $a < 1$ which, in turn, will make (30) hold and we conclude that all solutions are uniformly bounded.

**Case 3:** If $T = h\mathbb{N}_0$ then $\mu(t) = h$ and (31) will hold when $ah < 1$ which, in turn, will make (30) hold and we conclude that all solutions are uniformly bounded.

**Remark 2.** By using standard methods [1], the system (27) - (29) has solutions

\[
x_1(t) = c_1e^{-a+ia}(t, t_0) + c_2e^{-a-ia}(t, t_0),
\]

\[
x_2(t) = ac_1(-1 + i)e^{-a+ia}(t, t_0) - ac_2(1 + i)e^{-a-ia}(t, t_0),
\]

and for $T = h\mathbb{N}_0$ we see by closely investigating these exponentials that when $h < 1/a$ all solutions are uniformly bounded and when $h > 1/a$ all nontrivial solutions are unbounded.
It is interesting to note that even though the eigenvalues of the coefficient matrix
\begin{equation}
A = \begin{pmatrix} -a & a \\ -a & -a \end{pmatrix},
\end{equation}
are complex with negative real parts, our system is not stable when \( ah > 1 \).

5. Uniqueness of Solutions

In this brief section we present a result on the uniqueness of solutions of the IVP (1), (2).

**Theorem 6.** Assume that \( f \) satisfies
\[(x_2 - x_1) \cdot (f(t, x_2) - f(t, x_1)) + \mu(t)\|f(t, x_2) - f(t, x_1)\|^2 \leq 0,
\]
for \( t \in [t_0, \infty) \) and \( x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Then there is, at most, one solution to the IVP (1), (2).

**Proof** Let \( x_1, x_2 \) be two solutions to (1), (2) and let
\[x(t) = x_2(t) - x_1(t).
\]
Choose \( V(x) = \|x\|^2 \) and note that
\[
[V(x(t))]^\Delta = 2x(t) \cdot x^\Delta(t) + \mu(t)\|x^\Delta(t)\|^2,
\]
\[= (x_2(t) - x_1(t)) \cdot (f(t, x_2(t)) - f(t, x_1(t)))
\]+\[\mu(t)\|f(t, x_2(t)) - f(t, x_1(t))\|^2 \leq 0.
\]
Hence \( V(x(t)) \) is nonincreasing and since \( V(x(t_0)) = V(0) = 0 \) we conclude that \( V \) is identically equal to 0 along \( x(t) \). This implies that \( x(t) = x_2(t) - x_1(t) = 0 \) for \( t \in [t_0, \infty) \) and solutions of (1), (2) are unique and this concludes the proof.
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