

Computing Gorenstein Colength

H. Ananthnarayan

October 6, 2008

Abstract

Given an Artinian local ring R , we define (in [1]) its Gorenstein colength $g(R)$ to measure how closely we can approximate R by a Gorenstein Artin local ring. In this paper, we show that $R = T/\mathfrak{b}$ satisfies the inequality $g(R) \leq \lambda(R/\text{soc}(R))$ in the following two cases: (a) T is a power series ring over a field of characteristic zero and \mathfrak{b} an ideal that is the power of a system of parameters or (b) T is a 2-dimensional regular local ring with infinite residue field and \mathfrak{b} is primary to the maximal ideal of T .

In the first case, we compute $g(R)$ by constructing a Gorenstein Artin local ring mapping onto R . We further use this construction to show that an ideal that is the n th power of a system of parameters is directly linked to the $(n - 1)$ st power via Gorenstein ideals. A similar method shows that such ideals are also directly linked to themselves via Gorenstein ideals.

Keywords: Gorenstein colength; Gorenstein linkage.

1 Introduction

Let us first recall the definition of Gorenstein colength and review some of its basic properties from [1] in this section.

Definition 1.1. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{k})$ be an Artinian local ring. Define the Gorenstein colength of R , denoted $g(R)$ as:

$g(R) = \min\{\lambda(S) - \lambda(R) : S \text{ is a Gorenstein Artin local ring mapping onto } R\}$,
where $\lambda(-)$ denotes length.

The main questions one would like to answer are the following:

Question 1.2.

- a) How does one intrinsically compute $g(R)$?
- b) How does one construct a Gorenstein Artin local ring S mapping onto R such that $\lambda(S) - \lambda(R) = g(R)$?

In order to answer Question 1.2(a), we prove the following inequalities in [1], which give bounds on $g(R)$.

$$\lambda(R/(\omega^*(\omega))) \leq \min\{\lambda(R/\mathfrak{a}) : \mathfrak{a} \text{ is an ideal in } R, \mathfrak{a} \simeq \mathfrak{a}^\vee\} \leq g(R) \leq \lambda(R),$$

Fundamental Inequalities

where ω is the canonical module of R , $\omega^*(\omega) = \langle f(\omega) : f \in \text{Hom}_R(\omega, R) \rangle$ is the trace ideal of ω in R and $\mathfrak{a}^\vee = \text{Hom}_R(\mathfrak{a}, \omega)$.

A natural question one can ask in this context is Question 3.10 in [1], which is the following:

Question 1.3. Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{k})$ be an Artinian local ring and \mathfrak{a} an ideal in R such that $\mathfrak{a} \simeq \mathfrak{a}^\vee$. Does there exist a Gorenstein Artinian local ring S mapping onto R , such that $\lambda(S) - \lambda(R) = \lambda(R/\mathfrak{a})$?

The socle of R , $\text{soc}(R)$, is a direct sum of finitely many copies of \mathfrak{k} , hence it is isomorphic to $\text{soc}(R)^\vee$. Hence a particular case of the above question is the following:

Question 1.4. Is there a Gorenstein Artin local ring S mapping onto R such that $\lambda(S) - \lambda(R) = \lambda(R/\text{soc}(R))$?

A weaker question one can ask is the following:

Question 1.5. Is $g(R) \leq \lambda(R/\text{soc}(R))$?

We answer Question 1.5 in two cases in this paper. In section 3, we show that if T is a power series ring over a field and $\mathfrak{d} = (f_1, \dots, f_d)$ is an ideal generated by a system of parameters, then $g(T/\mathfrak{d}^n) \geq \lambda(T/\mathfrak{d}^{n-1})$. Further, if the residue field of T has characteristic zero, we construct a Gorenstein Artin local ring S mapping onto T/\mathfrak{d}^n such that $\lambda(S) - \lambda(T/\mathfrak{d}^n) = \lambda(T/\mathfrak{d}^{n-1})$ using a theorem of L. Ried, L. Roberts and M. Roitman proved in [7]. This shows that $g(T/\mathfrak{d}^n) = \lambda(T/\mathfrak{d}^{n-1})$. In particular, this proves that $R = T/\mathfrak{d}^n$ satisfies the inequality in Question 1.5.

In [5], Kleppe, Migliore, Miro-Roig, Nagel and Peterson show that \mathfrak{d}^n can be linked to \mathfrak{d}^{n-1} via Gorenstein ideals in 2 steps and hence to \mathfrak{d} in $2(n-1)$ steps. In section 4, we use the ideal corresponding to the Gorenstein ring constructed in section 3, to show that \mathfrak{d}^n can be directly linked to \mathfrak{d}^{n-1} and hence to \mathfrak{d} in $(n-1)$ steps.

When R is an Artinian quotient of a two-dimensional regular local ring with an infinite residue field, we use a formula due to Hoskin and Deligne (Theorem 5.6) in order to answer Question 1.5 in section 5.

2 Computing $\omega^*(\omega)$

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{k})$ be an Artinian local ring with canonical module ω . As noted in [1], maps from ω to R play an important role in the study of Gorenstein colength. In this section, we prove a lemma which helps us compute the trace ideal $\omega^*(\omega)$ of ω in R . We use the following notation in this section.

Notation: Let $(T, \mathfrak{m}_T, \mathfrak{k})$ be a regular local ring mapping onto R . Let

$$0 \rightarrow T^{b_d} \xrightarrow{\phi} T^{b_{d-1}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow T \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0 \quad (\#)$$

be a minimal resolution of R over T . Then a resolution of the canonical module ω of R over T is given by taking the dual of the above resolution, i.e., by applying $\text{Hom}_T(-, T)$ to the above resolution. Hence a presentation of ω is $T^{b_{d-1}} \xrightarrow{\phi^*} T^{b_d} \rightarrow \omega \rightarrow 0$. Tensor with R and apply $\text{Hom}_R(-, R)$ to get an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \omega^* \rightarrow R^{b_d} \xrightarrow{\phi \otimes R} R^{b_{d-1}}$. Let ω^* be generated minimally by b_{d+1} elements. Thus we have an exact sequence $R^{b_{d+1}} \xrightarrow{\psi} R^{b_d} \xrightarrow{\phi \otimes R} R^{b_{d-1}}$, where $\omega^* = \text{im}(\psi)$.

Lemma 2.1. *With notation as above, let ψ be given by the matrix (a_{ij}) . Then the trace ideal of ω , $\omega^*(\omega)$, is the ideal generated by the a_{ij} 's.*

The above lemma is a particular case of the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{k})$ be a Noetherian local ring and M a finitely generated R -module. Let $R^n \xrightarrow{B} R^m \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be a minimal presentation of M . Apply $\text{Hom}_R(-, R)$ to get an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow (R^*)^m \xrightarrow{B^*} (R^*)^n$. Map a free R -module, say R^k , minimally onto M^* to get an exact sequence $R^k \xrightarrow{A} (R^*)^m \xrightarrow{B^*} (R^*)^n$, where $M^* = \ker(B^*) = \text{im}(A)$. Then the trace ideal of M , $M^*(M) = (a_{ij} : a_{ij} \text{ are the entries of the matrix } A)$.*

Proof. Let m_1, \dots, m_n be a minimal generating set of M , e_1, \dots, e_m be a basis of R^m such that $e_i \mapsto m_i$, and e_1^*, \dots, e_m^* be the corresponding dual basis of $(R^*)^m$.

Let $f \in M^*$. Write $f = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i e_i^* \in (R^*)^m$. Then f acts on M by sending m_j to r_j . Hence if $A = (a_{ij})$, then the generators of M^* are $f_j = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} e_i^*$, $1 \leq j \leq k$. Thus $f_j(m_i) = a_{ij}$. Thus $M^*(M) = (a_{ij})$. \square

Corollary 2.3. *With notation as above, let $(T', \mathfrak{m}_{T'}, \mathfrak{k})$ be a regular local ring which is a flat extension of T such that $\mathfrak{m}_T T' \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_{T'}$ and let $R' = T' \otimes_T R$. Then $\omega_{R'}^*(\omega_{R'}) = \omega^*(\omega)T'$.*

Proof. Since T' is flat over T , $R' = T' \otimes_T R$ and $\mathfrak{m}_T T' \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_{T'}$, a minimal resolution of R' over T' is obtained by tensoring $(\#)$ by T' over T . Therefore $\omega_{R'}^*(\omega_{R'})$ is the ideal generated by the entries of the matrix $\psi \otimes_T T'$. Now, by Lemma 2.1, the ideal in R generated by the entries of ψ is $\omega^*(\omega)$. Therefore, $\omega_{R'}^*(\omega_{R'}) = \omega^*(\omega)T'$. \square

3 Powers of Ideals Generated by a System of Parameters

In this section, the main theorem we prove is the following:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $T = \mathfrak{k}[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]$ be a power series ring over a field \mathfrak{k} of characteristic zero. Let f_1, \dots, f_d be a system of parameters in T and $R = T/(f_1, \dots, f_d)^n$. Then $g(R) = \lambda(T/(f_1, \dots, f_d)^{n-1})$.*

In order to prove this, we first prove the theorem when $f_i = X_i$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, and then use the fact that T is flat over $T' = \mathfrak{k}[[f_1, \dots, f_d]]$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $T = \mathfrak{k}[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]$ be a power series ring over a field \mathfrak{k} with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_T = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$. Let $R = T/\mathfrak{m}_T^n$ and ω be the canonical module of R . Then $\omega^*(\omega) = \text{soc}(R) = \mathfrak{m}_T^{n-1}/\mathfrak{m}_T^n$.*

Proof. In order to prove this, we show that if $\phi \in \text{Hom}(\omega, R)$, then $\phi(\omega) \subseteq \text{soc}(R)$. Since $\text{soc}(R) \subseteq \omega^*(\omega)$, this will prove the theorem.

Note that we can consider R to be the quotient of the polynomial ring $\mathfrak{k}[X_1, \dots, X_d]$ by $(X_1, \dots, X_d)^n$. Thus change notation so that $T = \mathfrak{k}[X_1, \dots, X_d]$ and $\mathfrak{m}_T = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$ is its unique homogenous maximal ideal.

The injective hull of \mathfrak{k} over T , $E_T(\mathfrak{k})$, is $\mathfrak{k}[X_1^{-1}, \dots, X_d^{-1}]$, where the multiplication is defined by

$$(X_1^{a_1} \dots X_d^{a_d}) \cdot (X_1^{-b_1} \dots X_d^{-b_d}) = \begin{cases} X_1^{a_1-b_1} \dots X_d^{a_d-b_d} & \text{if } a_i \leq b_i \text{ for all } i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and extended linearly (e.g., see [6]).

Let $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{m}_T^n$. The canonical module ω of R is isomorphic to the injective hull of the residue field of R . Hence $\omega \simeq \text{Hom}_R(R, E_T(\mathfrak{k})) \simeq (0 :_{\mathfrak{k}[X_1^{-1}, \dots, X_d^{-1}]} \mathfrak{b})$. Note that $\mathfrak{b} \cdot (X_1^{-a_1} \cdots X_d^{-a_d}) = 0$ whenever $a_i \geq 0$ and $n > \sum a_i$. Since $\lambda(\omega) = \lambda(R)$, we conclude that

$$\omega \simeq \mathfrak{k}\text{-span of } \left\{ X_1^{-a_1} \cdots X_d^{-a_d} : a_i \geq 0; n > \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \right\}.$$

Observe that ω is generated by $\{X_1^{-a_1} \cdots X_d^{-a_d} : \sum_{i=1}^d a_i = n - 1\}$ as an R -module. Let $\phi \in \omega^*$. We will now show that $\phi(X_1^{-a_1} \cdots X_d^{-a_d}) \in \text{soc}(R)$ by induction on a_1 . Let $w = X_1^{-a_1} \cdots X_d^{-a_d}$, $\sum_{i=1}^d a_i = n - 1$.

If $a_1 = 0$, then $X_1 \cdot w = 0$. Hence $\phi(w) \in (0 :_R X_1) = \text{soc}(R)$. If not, then $X_1 w = X_2(X_1^{-(a_1-1)} X_2^{-(a_2+1)} \cdots X_d^{-a_d})$. We have $\phi(X_1^{-(a_1-1)} X_2^{-(a_2+1)} \cdots X_d^{-a_d}) \in \text{soc}(R)$ by induction. Thus $X_2 \phi(X_1^{-(a_1-1)} X_2^{-(a_2+1)} \cdots X_d^{-a_d}) = 0$ which yields $X_1 \phi(w) = 0$. But $(0 :_R X_1) = \text{soc}(R)$, which proves that $\phi(\omega) \subseteq \text{soc}(R)$. \square

Since we know that $\lambda(R/(\omega^*(\omega))) \leq g(R)$ by the fundamental inequalities, we immediately get the following:

Corollary 3.3. *With notation as in Theorem 3.2, $g(R) \geq \lambda(R/\text{soc}(R))$.*

We prove the reverse inequality in Theorem 3.8 by constructing a Gorenstein Artin ring S mapping onto R such that $\lambda(S) - \lambda(R) = \lambda(R/\text{soc}(R))$. The following theorem of Ried, Roberts and Roitman is used in the construction.

Theorem 3.4 (Reid, Roberts, Roitman). *Let \mathfrak{k} be a field of characteristic zero, $S = \mathfrak{k}[X_1, \dots, X_d]/(X_1^{n_1}, \dots, X_d^{n_d}) = \mathfrak{k}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$. Let $m \geq 1$ and f be a nonzero homogeneous element in S such that $(x_1 + \cdots + x_d)^m f = 0$. Then $\deg(f) \geq (t - m + 1)/2$, where $t = \sum_{i=1}^d (n_i - 1)$.*

We use the following notation in this section.

Notation: Let \mathfrak{k} be a field. For any graded ring S (with $S_0 = \mathfrak{k}$), by $h_S(i)$ we mean the \mathfrak{k} -dimension of the i th graded piece of the ring S and if S is Artinian, $\text{Max}(S) := \max\{i : h_S(i) \neq 0\}$. All \mathfrak{k} -algebras in this section are standard graded, i.e., they are generated as a \mathfrak{k} -algebra by elements of degree 1.

We also need the following basic fact in order to prove Theorem 3.8.

Remark 3.5. Let $S = \mathfrak{k}[X_1, \dots, X_d]/(X_1^{n_1}, \dots, X_d^{n_d})$ be a quotient of the polynomial ring over a field \mathfrak{k} and f be a non-zero homogeneous element in S of degree s . Then $S/(0 :_S f)$ is Gorenstein and $\text{Max}(S/(0 :_S f)) = \text{Max}(S) - s$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $T = \mathfrak{k}[X_1, \dots, X_d]$ be a polynomial ring over \mathfrak{k} and $\mathfrak{m}_T = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$ be its unique homogeneous maximal ideal. Let f be a homogeneous element and $\mathfrak{c} = (X_1^n, \dots, X_d^n) :_T f$ be such that $\mathfrak{c} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_T^n$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- i) $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}_T^n/\mathfrak{c}) = \lambda(T/\mathfrak{m}_T^{n-1})$.
- ii) $\text{Max}(T/\mathfrak{c}) = 2(n - 1)$.
- iii) $\deg(f) = (d - 2)(n - 1)$.

Proof. Since $\text{Max}(T/(X_1^n, \dots, X_d^n)) = d(n - 1)$, (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) follows from Remark 3.5.

Let $R = T/\mathfrak{m}_T^n$ and $S = T/\mathfrak{c}$. Since $T/(X_1^n, \dots, X_d^n)$ is a Gorenstein Artin local ring, so is S . Note that $\text{soc}(R) = \mathfrak{m}_T^{n-1}/\mathfrak{m}_T^n$ and $\lambda(S) - \lambda(R) = \lambda(\mathfrak{m}_T^n/\mathfrak{c})$.

The rings R and S are quotients of the polynomial ring $k[X_1, \dots, X_d]$ by homogeneous ideals. Thus, both R and S are graded under the standard grading. Since $\mathfrak{c} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_T^n$,

$$h_S(i) = h_R(i) \text{ for } i < n. \quad (*)$$

Since S is Gorenstein,

$$h_S(i) = h_S(\text{Max}(S) - i). \quad (**)$$

Using $(*)$ and $(**)$, we see that the Hilbert function of S is:

| | | | | | | |
|------------|---|-----|------------------|------------------|-----|----------------------|
| degree i | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | ... | $n-1$ |
| $h_R(i)$ | 1 | d | $\binom{d+1}{2}$ | $\binom{d+2}{3}$ | ... | $\binom{d+n-2}{n-1}$ |
| $h_S(i)$ | 1 | d | $\binom{d+1}{2}$ | $\binom{d+2}{3}$ | ... | $\binom{d+n-2}{n-1}$ |

| | | | | | | |
|------------|-----|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----|---------------------|-----------------|
| degree i | ... | $\text{Max}(S) - (n-1)$ | $\text{Max}(S) - (n-2)$ | ... | $\text{Max}(S) - 1$ | $\text{Max}(S)$ |
| $h_R(i)$ | ... | 0 | 0 | ... | 0 | 0 |
| $h_S(i)$ | ... | $\binom{d+n-2}{n-1}$ | $\binom{d+n-3}{n-2}$ | ... | d | 1 |

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(T/\mathfrak{m}_T^{n-1}) &= h_R(n-2) + h_R(n-3) + \dots + h_R(0) \\ &= h_S(n-2) + h_S(n-3) + \dots + h_S(0) \\ &= h_S(\text{Max}(S) - (n-2)) + h_S(\text{Max}(S) - (n-3)) + \dots + h_S(\text{Max}(S)) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq \text{Max}(S) - (n-2)} h_S(i) \\ &\leq \lambda(S) - \lambda(R) = \lambda(\mathfrak{m}_T^n/\mathfrak{c}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from the above table, equality holds if and only if $\text{Max}(S) - (n-1) = n-1$, proving (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). \square

In the following corollary, we show that $f = (X_1 + \dots + X_d)^{(d-2)(n-1)}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 3.6.

Corollary 3.7. *Let $T = k[X_1, \dots, X_d]$ be a polynomial ring over k , a field of characteristic zero, and $\mathfrak{m}_T = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$ be its unique homogeneous maximal ideal. Let $\mathfrak{c}_n = (X_1^n, \dots, X_d^n) : l^{(d-2)(n-1)}$, where $l = X_1 + \dots + X_d$. Then $\mathfrak{c}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_T^n$.*

Moreover, $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}_T^n/\mathfrak{c}_n) = \lambda(T/\mathfrak{m}_T^{n-1})$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.4, if F is a homogeneous element in T such that $l^m F \in (X_1^n, \dots, X_d^n)$, then $\deg(F) \geq (d(n-1) - m + 1)/2$. Therefore, for $m = (d-2)(n-1)$, we see that $\deg(F) \geq n-1/2$, i.e., $F \in \mathfrak{m}_T^n$. Thus $(X_1^n, \dots, X_d^n) : (X_1 + \dots + X_d)^{(d-2)(n-1)} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_T^n$.

Moreover, by Proposition 3.6, since $\deg(l^{(d-2)(n-1)}) = (d-2)(n-1)$, $\lambda(\mathfrak{m}_T^n/\mathfrak{c}_n) = \lambda(T/\mathfrak{m}_T^{n-1})$. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let $T = k[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]$ be a power series ring over a field k of characteristic zero, with unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_T = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$. Let $R := T/\mathfrak{m}_T^n$. Then $g(R) \leq \lambda(R/\text{soc}(R)) = \lambda(T/\mathfrak{m}_T^{n-1})$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{c}_n = (X_1^n, \dots, X_d^n) :_T l^{(d-2)(n-1)}$, where $l = X_1 + \dots + X_d$. Let $S = T/\mathfrak{c}_n$. Then S is a Gorenstein Artin local ring mapping onto R . Note that $R \simeq \mathfrak{k}[X_1, \dots, X_d]/(X_1, \dots, X_d)^n$ and $S \simeq \mathfrak{k}[X_1, \dots, X_d]/((X_1^n, \dots, X_d^n) :_T l^{(d-2)(n-1)})$.

Hence, by Corollary 3.7, $\lambda(S) - \lambda(R) = \lambda(R/\text{soc}(R)) = \lambda(T/\mathfrak{m}_T^{n-1})$. This shows that $g(R) \leq \lambda(R/\text{soc}(R))$. \square

Remark 3.9. The ring S constructed in the proof of the theorem does not work when $\text{char}(\mathfrak{k}) = 2$. For example, when $d = 3$ and $n = 3$, we have $h_R(i) = 1, 3, 6$ and $h_S(i) = 1, 2, 5, 2, 1$.

Remark 3.10. Let S be a graded Gorenstein Artin quotient of $T = \mathfrak{k}[X_1, \dots, X_d]$, where \mathfrak{k} is a field of characteristic zero. We say that S is a compressed Gorenstein algebra of socle degree $t = \text{Max}(S)$, if for each i , $h_S(i)$ is the maximum possible given d and t , i.e., $h_S(i) = \min\{h_T(i), h_T(t-i)\}$ (e.g., see [3]). Note that the proofs of Proposition 3.6 and Corollary 3.7 show that $S = T/((X_1^n, \dots, X_d^n) :_T l^{(d-2)(n-1)})$ is a compressed Gorenstein Artin algebra of socle degree $2n - 2$. A similar technique also shows that $S = T/((X_1^n, \dots, X_d^n) :_T l^{(d-2)(n-1)-1})$ is a compressed Gorenstein Artin algebra of socle degree $2n - 1$.

In the following remark, we record some key observations which we will use to prove Theorem 3.1.

Remark 3.11. Let $T = \mathfrak{k}[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]$ be a power series ring over a field \mathfrak{k} . Let f_1, \dots, f_d be a system of parameters. Then $T' = \mathfrak{k}[[f_1, \dots, f_d]]$ is a power series ring and T is free over T' of rank $e = \lambda(T/(f_1, \dots, f_d))$. Thus, if \mathfrak{b} and \mathfrak{c} are ideals in T' , then $(\mathfrak{c} :_{T'} \mathfrak{b})T = (\mathfrak{c}T :_T \mathfrak{b}T)$ and $\lambda(T/\mathfrak{b}T) = e \cdot \lambda(T'/\mathfrak{b})$.

Firstly, we construct a Gorenstein Artin ring S mapping onto R such that $\lambda(S) - \lambda(R) = \lambda(T/(f_1, \dots, f_d)^{n-1})$ which proves $g(R) \leq \lambda(T/(f_1, \dots, f_d)^{n-1})$. We do this as follows:

Suppose that $\text{char}(\mathfrak{k}) = 0$. Let $\mathfrak{d} = (f_1, \dots, f_d)$, $\mathfrak{c} = (f_1^n, \dots, f_d^n) :_{T'} l^{(d-2)(n-1)}$, where $l = (f_1 + \dots + f_d)$. We see that since $(f_1^n, \dots, f_d^n) :_{T'} l^{(d-2)(n-1)} \subseteq \mathfrak{d}^n$ in T' by Corollary 3.7, the same holds in T by using Remark 3.11. Moreover, since $\lambda(\mathfrak{d}^n T/\mathfrak{c}T) = e\lambda(\mathfrak{d}^n/\mathfrak{c})$ and $\lambda(T/\mathfrak{d}^{n-1}T) = e\lambda(T'/\mathfrak{d}^{n-1})$, the length condition in Corollary 3.7 gives $\lambda(\mathfrak{d}^n T/\mathfrak{c}T) = \lambda(T/\mathfrak{d}^{n-1}T)$.

This implies that if $R = T/\mathfrak{d}^n T$, then $S = T/\mathfrak{c}T$ is a Gorenstein Artin ring mapping onto R and $\lambda(S) - \lambda(R) = \lambda(\mathfrak{d}^n T/\mathfrak{c}T) = \lambda(T/\mathfrak{d}^{n-1}T)$. Therefore $g(R) \leq \lambda(T/\mathfrak{d}^{n-1}T)$. Thus as a consequence of Theorem 3.8, we have proved

Theorem 3.12. *Let $T = \mathfrak{k}[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]$ be a power series ring over a field \mathfrak{k} of characteristic zero, f_1, \dots, f_d be a system of parameters and $\mathfrak{d} = (f_1, \dots, f_d)$. Let $R = T/\mathfrak{d}^n$. Then $g(R) \leq \lambda(T/\mathfrak{d}^{n-1})$.*

In order to prove Theorem 3.1, we know need to show that $g(R) \geq \lambda(T/\mathfrak{d}^{n-1})$. We prove this by first computing the trace ideal $\omega^*(\omega)$ of the canonical module and use the fundamental inequalities. We use the lemmas concerning the computation of $\omega^*(\omega)$ proved in section 2.

Theorem 3.13. *Let $T = \mathfrak{k}[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]$ be a power series ring over a field \mathfrak{k} . Let f_1, \dots, f_d be a system of parameters and $R = T/(f_1, \dots, f_d)^n$. Then $\omega^*(\omega) = (f_1, \dots, f_d)^{n-1}/(f_1, \dots, f_d)^n$, where ω is the canonical module of R .*

Proof. Let $T' = \mathfrak{k}[[f_1, \dots, f_d]]$, $\mathfrak{d} = (f_1, \dots, f_d)^n T'$ and $R' \simeq T'/\mathfrak{d}$. By Theorem 3.2, $\omega_{R'}^*(\omega_{R'}) = \mathfrak{d}^{n-1}/\mathfrak{d}$. Therefore, since T is free over T' , by Corollary 2.3, $\omega^*(\omega) = \mathfrak{d}^{n-1}T/\mathfrak{d}^n T = (f_1, \dots, f_d)^{n-1}/(f_1, \dots, f_d)^n$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By Theorem 3.12, $g(R) \leq \lambda(T/(f_1, \dots, f_d^{n-1}))$. The other inequality follows from Theorem 3.13 which can be seen as follows:

Let ω be the canonical module of R . We know that $g(R) \geq \lambda(R/\omega^*(\omega))$ by the fundamental inequalities. This yields $g(R) \geq \lambda(T/(f_1, \dots, f_d^{n-1}))$ since $R = T/(f_1, \dots, f_d)^n$ and $\omega^*(\omega) = (f_1, \dots, f_d)^{n-1}/(f_1, \dots, f_d)^n$. This gives us the equality $g(R) = \lambda(T/(f_1, \dots, f_d^{n-1}))$ proving the theorem. \square

Corollary 3.14. *Let $T = k[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]$ be a power series ring over a field k of characteristic zero. Let f_1, \dots, f_d be a system of parameters and $R = T/(f_1, \dots, f_d)^n$. Then $g(R) \leq \lambda(R/\text{soc}(R))$.*

Proof. We have $\lambda(R/\text{soc}(R)) \geq \lambda(T/(f_1, \dots, f_d)^{n-1}) = g(R)$, since $(f_1, \dots, f_d)^n :_T (X_1, \dots, X_d) \subseteq (f_1, \dots, f_d)^n :_T (f_1, \dots, f_d) = (f_1, \dots, f_d)^{n-1}$. \square

Remark 3.15. Let $T = k[[X, Y]]$, $R = T/(X, Y)^n$ and $S = T/(X^n, Y^n)$. Then S is a Gorenstein Artin local ring mapping onto R such that $\lambda(S) - \lambda(R) = \lambda(T/\mathfrak{m}_T^{n-1}) = \lambda(R/\text{soc}(R))$. This, together with Corollary 3.3, shows that $g(R) = \lambda(R/\mathfrak{m}_T^{n-1})$ without any assumptions on the characteristic of k . Thus, when $d = 2$, using the technique described in Remark 3.11, we see that the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 is independent of the characteristic of k .

Remark 3.16. By taking \mathfrak{d} to be the maximal ideal in Theorem 3.1, we get the following: Let k be a field of characteristic zero and $T = k[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]$ be a power series ring over k . Let $\mathfrak{m}_T = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$ be the maximal ideal of T and $R := T/\mathfrak{m}_T^n$. Then

$$g(R) = \lambda(T/\mathfrak{m}_T^{n-1}) = \lambda(R/\text{soc}(R)).$$

This also follows immediately from Theorems 3.2 and 3.8.

Remark 3.17. If $R = k[X_1, \dots, X_d]/(X_1, \dots, X_d)^n$, where k is a field of characteristic zero, it follows from Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.8 that $g(R) = \lambda(R/\omega^*(\omega))$. Thus Question 3.9 in [1] has a positive answer, i.e., in this case,

$$\min\{\lambda(R/\mathfrak{a}) : \mathfrak{a} \simeq \mathfrak{a}^\vee\} = g(R).$$

4 Applications to Gorenstein Linkage

Proposition 4.1. *Let (S, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a graded Gorenstein Artin local ring such that $\deg(\text{soc}(S)) = t$. Let $f \in \mathfrak{m}$ be a homogeneous element in S of degree s and $\mathfrak{c} = (0 :_S f)$. Then $(\mathfrak{c} :_S \mathfrak{m}^n) = \mathfrak{m}^{(t+1)-(s+n)} + \mathfrak{c}$.*

Proof. Note that $\mathfrak{m}^{(t+1)-(s+n)} \cdot \mathfrak{m}^n \cdot f \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{t+1} = 0$. Hence $\mathfrak{m}^{(t+1)-(s+n)} + \mathfrak{c} \subseteq \mathfrak{c}_n :_S \mathfrak{m}^n$. To prove the other inclusion, let g be a homogeneous form of degree less than $(t+1) - (s+n)$. Then $g \cdot f$ is a homogeneous form of degree $t-n$ or less. If $g \cdot f = 0$, then $g \in \mathfrak{c}$. If $g \cdot f \neq 0$, since S is Gorenstein, there is some element $h \in \mathfrak{m}^n$ such that $(gf) \cdot h$ generates $\text{soc}(S)$ and hence is not zero. Thus $gf\mathfrak{m}^n \neq 0$ for $g \notin \mathfrak{m}^{(t+1)-(s+n)} + \mathfrak{c}$. Therefore $(\mathfrak{c} :_S \mathfrak{m}^n) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{(t+1)-(s+n)} + \mathfrak{c}$, proving the proposition. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Let k be a field of characteristic zero and $T = k[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]$ be a power series ring. Let $\mathfrak{m} = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$ and $\mathfrak{c}_n = ((X_1^n, \dots, X_d^n) :_T l^s)$, where $l = (X_1 + \dots + X_d)$ and $s \geq (d-2)(n-1) - 1$. Then $(\mathfrak{c}_n :_T \mathfrak{m}^n) = \mathfrak{m}^{(d-1)(n-1)-s}$.*

Proof. By taking $S = T/(X_1^n, \dots, X_d^n)$, it follows from Proposition 4.1 that $(\mathfrak{c}_n :_T \mathfrak{m}^n) = \mathfrak{m}^{(d-1)(n-1)-s} + \mathfrak{c}_n$. It remains to prove that $\mathfrak{c}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{(d-1)(n-1)-s}$.

Let f be a homogeneous element of T such that $f \in \mathfrak{c}$, i.e., $f \cdot l^s \subseteq (X_1^n, \dots, X_d^n)$. Hence by Theorem 3.4, $\deg(f) \geq \frac{(d(n-1)-s+1)}{2} \geq (d-1)(n-1) - s$ by the hypothesis on s . This shows that $\mathfrak{c}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{(d-1)(n-1)-s}$. \square

Let $T = \mathbb{k}[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]$ be a power series ring over a field \mathbb{k} . Let f_1, \dots, f_d be a system of parameters. Let $T' = \mathbb{k}[[f_1, \dots, f_d]]$, $\mathfrak{d} = (f_1, \dots, f_d)^n T'$ and $\mathfrak{c}_n = (f_1^n, \dots, f_d^n) :_{T'} l^s$, where $l = f_1 + \dots + f_d$ and $s \geq (d-2)(n-1) - 1$. Since, by Corollary 4.2, $(\mathfrak{c}_n :_{T'} \mathfrak{d}^n) = \mathfrak{d}^{(d-1)(n-1)-s}$ in T' , the same holds in T by Remark 3.11. Therefore $(\mathfrak{c}_n T :_T \mathfrak{d}^n T) = \mathfrak{d}^{(d-1)(n-1)-s} T$. Thus we see that

Proposition 4.3. *Let \mathbb{k} be a field of characteristic zero and $T = \mathbb{k}[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]$ be a power series ring. Let $\mathfrak{d} = (f_1, \dots, f_d)$, where f_1, \dots, f_d form a system of parameters. Let $l = f_1 + \dots + f_d$ and $s \geq (d-2)(n-1) - 1$. Then $\mathfrak{c}_n = ((f_1^n, \dots, f_d^n) :_T l^s)$ is a Gorenstein ideal such that $(\mathfrak{c}_n :_T \mathfrak{d}^n) = \mathfrak{d}^{(d-1)(n-1)-s}$.*

Definition 4.4. *Let $(T, \mathfrak{m}_T, \mathbb{k})$ be a regular local ring. An unmixed ideal $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq T$ is said to be in the Gorenstein linkage class of a complete intersection (glicci) if there is a sequence of ideals $\mathfrak{c}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{b}_n$, $\mathfrak{b}_0 = \mathfrak{b}$, satisfying*

- 1) T/\mathfrak{c}_n is Gorenstein for every n
- 2) $\mathfrak{b}_{n+1} = (\mathfrak{c}_n :_T \mathfrak{b}_n)$ and
- 3) \mathfrak{b}_n is a complete intersection for some n .

We say that \mathfrak{b} is *linked* to \mathfrak{b}_n via Gorenstein ideals in n steps.

Remark 4.5.

1. Let \mathbb{k} be a field of characteristic zero and $T = \mathbb{k}[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]$ be a power series ring. Let $\mathfrak{d} = (f_1, \dots, f_d)$, where f_1, \dots, f_d form a system of parameters. In [5], Kleppe, Migliore, Miro-Roig, Nagel and Peterson show that \mathfrak{d}^n can be linked to \mathfrak{d}^{n-1} via Gorenstein ideals in 2 steps and hence to \mathfrak{d} in $2(n-1)$ steps. But in Proposition 4.3, by taking $s = (d-2)(n-1)$, we see that \mathfrak{d}^n can be linked directly via the Gorenstein ideal $(f_1^n, \dots, f_d^n) :_{T'} l^{(d-2)(n-1)}$ to \mathfrak{d}^{n-1} , and hence to \mathfrak{d} , a complete intersection, in $n-1$ steps.

2. In a private conversation, Migliore asked if this technique will show that \mathfrak{d}^n is self-linked. We see that this can be done by taking $s = (d-2)(n-1) - 1$ in Proposition 4.3. Thus \mathfrak{d}^n is linked to itself via the Gorenstein ideal $(f_1^n, \dots, f_d^n) :_{T'} l^{(d-2)(n-1)-1}$.

A Possible Approach to the Glicci Problem

The Glicci problem: Given any homogeneous ideal $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq T := \mathbb{k}[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]$, such that $R := T/\mathfrak{b}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, is it true that \mathfrak{b} is glicci?

A possible approach to the glicci problem is the following: Choose $\mathfrak{c}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{b}_n$ to be the closest Gorenstein. The question is: Does this ensure that \mathfrak{b}_n is a complete intersection for some n ?

Example 4.6. Let $T = \mathbb{k}[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]$, where $\text{char}(\mathbb{k}) = 0$. Let $\mathfrak{d} = (f_1, \dots, f_d)$ be an ideal generated minimally by a system of parameters. We know by Theorems 3.1 and 3.12 that the ideal $\mathfrak{c}_n = (f_1^n, \dots, f_d^n) :_T (f_1 + \dots + f_d)^{(d-2)(n-1)}$ is a Gorenstein ideal closest to \mathfrak{d}^n . Now by taking $s = (d-2)(i-1)$ in Proposition 4.3, we see that $\mathfrak{c}_i :_T \mathfrak{d}^i = \mathfrak{d}^{i-1}$, $2 \leq i \leq n$. Thus \mathfrak{d}^n can be linked to \mathfrak{d} by choosing a closest Gorenstein ideal at each step.

5 The Codimension Two Case

We begin this section by recalling the following result of Serre characterizing Gorenstein ideals of codimension two.

Remark 5.1. Let $(T, \mathfrak{m}_T, \mathfrak{k})$ be a regular local ring of dimension two. Let \mathfrak{c} be an \mathfrak{m}_T primary ideal such that $S = T/\mathfrak{c}$ is a Gorenstein Artin local ring. Then S is a complete intersection ring, i.e., \mathfrak{c} is generated by 2 elements.

Notation: For the rest of this section, we will use the following notation: Let $(T, \mathfrak{m}_T, \mathfrak{k})$ be a regular local ring of dimension 2, where that \mathfrak{k} is infinite. By $\mu(-)$, we denote the minimal number of generators of a module and by $e_0(-)$, we denote the multiplicity of an \mathfrak{m}_T -primary ideal in T . For an ideal \mathfrak{b} in T , by $\bar{\mathfrak{b}}$, we denote the integral closure of \mathfrak{b} in T .

Remark 5.2. We state the basic facts needed in this section in this remark. Their proofs can be found in [2] (Chapter 14).

1. Let \mathfrak{b} be an \mathfrak{m}_T -primary ideal. We define the order of \mathfrak{b} as $\text{ord}(\mathfrak{b}) = \max\{i : \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_T^i\}$.

Since \mathfrak{m}_T is integrally closed, $\text{ord}(\mathfrak{b}) = \text{ord}(\bar{\mathfrak{b}})$.

2. Let \mathfrak{b} be an \mathfrak{m}_T -primary ideal. Since \mathfrak{k} is infinite, a minimal reduction of \mathfrak{b} is generated by 2 elements.

Further, if \mathfrak{c} is a minimal reduction of \mathfrak{b} , the multiplicity of \mathfrak{b} , $e_0(\mathfrak{b}) = \lambda(T/\mathfrak{c})$.

3. The product of integrally closed \mathfrak{m}_T -primary ideals is integrally closed. In particular, if \mathfrak{b} is an integrally closed \mathfrak{m}_T -primary ideal, then so is \mathfrak{b}^n for each $n \geq 2$.

4. For an \mathfrak{m}_T -primary ideal \mathfrak{b} , $\lambda((\mathfrak{b} : \mathfrak{m}_T)/\mathfrak{b}) = \mu(\mathfrak{b}) - 1 \leq \text{ord}(\mathfrak{b})$. Further, if \mathfrak{b} is integrally closed, $\mu(\mathfrak{b}) - 1 = \text{ord}(\mathfrak{b})$.

In particular, this yields $\mu(\mathfrak{b}) \leq \mu(\bar{\mathfrak{b}})$.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $(T, \mathfrak{m}_T, \mathfrak{k})$ be a regular local ring of dimension two and let \mathfrak{b} be an \mathfrak{m}_T -primary ideal. The closest (in terms of length) Gorenstein ideals contained in \mathfrak{b} are its minimal reductions.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{c} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ be any Gorenstein ideal (and hence a complete intersection by the above remark). It is easy to see that $\lambda(T/\mathfrak{c}) \geq \lambda(T/(f, g))$, where $(f, g) \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ is a minimal reduction of \mathfrak{b} . The reason is that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(T/\mathfrak{c}) &= e_0(\mathfrak{c}) \\ &\geq e_0(\mathfrak{b}) && \text{since } \mathfrak{c} \subseteq \mathfrak{b} \\ &= \lambda(T/(f, g)). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(T/\mathfrak{c}) - \lambda(T/\mathfrak{b}) &\geq \lambda(T/(f, g)) - \lambda(T/\mathfrak{b}), \\ \text{i.e., } \lambda(\mathfrak{b}/\mathfrak{c}) &\geq \lambda(\mathfrak{b}/(f, g)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the closest Gorenstein ideal contained in \mathfrak{b} is a minimal reduction (f, g) . \square

We now prove the following theorem which shows that $g(R) \leq \lambda(R/\text{soc}(R))$ where R is the Artinian quotient of a 2-dimensional regular local ring.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $(T, \mathfrak{m}_T, \mathfrak{k})$ be a regular local ring of dimension 2, with infinite residue field \mathfrak{k} . Set $R = T/\mathfrak{b}$ where \mathfrak{b} is an \mathfrak{m}_T -primary ideal. Then $g(R) \leq \lambda(R/\text{soc}(R))$, i.e., there is a Gorenstein ring S mapping onto R such that $\lambda(S) - \lambda(R) \leq \lambda(R/\text{soc}(R))$.*

In order to prove Theorem 5.4, we use a couple of formulae for $e_0(\mathfrak{b})$ and $\lambda(R)$ (which can be found, for example, in [4]). We need the following notation.

Let (T, \mathfrak{m}) and (T', \mathfrak{n}) be two-dimensional regular local rings. We say that T' birationally dominates T if $T \subseteq T'$, $\mathfrak{n} \cap T = \mathfrak{m}$ and T and T' have the same quotient field. We denote this by $T \leq T'$. Let $[T' : T]$ denote the degree of the field extension $T/\mathfrak{m} \subseteq T'/\mathfrak{n}$.

Further if \mathfrak{b} is an \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal in T , let $\mathfrak{b}^{T'}$ be the ideal in T' obtained from \mathfrak{b} by factoring $\mathfrak{b}T' = x\mathfrak{b}^{T'}$, where x is the greatest common divisor of the generators of $\mathfrak{b}T'$. The following theorem ([4], Theorem 3.7) gives a formula for $e_0(\mathfrak{b})$.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $(T, \mathfrak{m}_T, \mathfrak{k})$ be a two-dimensional regular local ring and \mathfrak{b} be an \mathfrak{m}_T -primary ideal. Then*

$$e_0(\mathfrak{b}) = \sum_{T \leq T'} [T' : T] \text{ord}(\mathfrak{b}^{T'})^2.$$

The following formula ([4], Theorem 3.10) is attributed to Hoskin and Deligne.

Theorem 5.6 (Hoskin-Deligne Formula). *Let T , \mathfrak{b} and R be as in Theorem 5.4. Further assume that \mathfrak{b} is an integrally closed ideal. Then,*

$$\lambda(R) = \sum_{T \leq T'} \binom{\text{ord}(\mathfrak{b}^{T'}) + 1}{2} [T' : T].$$

Corollary 5.7. *Let T , \mathfrak{b} and R be as in the Hoskin-Deligne formula. Then we have the inequality*

$$e_0(\mathfrak{b}) + \text{ord}(\mathfrak{b}) \leq 2\lambda(R).$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.5, we have $e_0(\mathfrak{b}) = \sum_{T \leq T'} \text{ord}(\mathfrak{b}^{T'})^2 [T' : T]$.

Using the Hoskin-Deligne formula, we see that

$$\lambda(R) = \sum_{T \leq T'} \frac{\text{ord}(\mathfrak{b}^{T'})^2 + \text{ord}(\mathfrak{b}^{T'})}{2} [T' : T]$$

giving us

$$2\lambda(R) = e_0(\mathfrak{b}) + \sum_{T \leq T'} \text{ord}(\mathfrak{b}^{T'}) [T' : T].$$

Since $T \leq T$ and $\mathfrak{b}^T = \mathfrak{b}$, we get the required inequality. \square

Corollary 5.8. *Let T , R and \mathfrak{b} be as in Theorem 5.4. Then*

$$e_0(\mathfrak{b}) + \mu(\mathfrak{b}) - 1 \leq 2\lambda(T/\mathfrak{b}).$$

Proof: Let $\bar{\mathfrak{b}}$ be the integral closure of \mathfrak{b} . By the previous corollary, we have $e_0(\bar{\mathfrak{b}}) + \text{ord}(\bar{\mathfrak{b}}) \leq 2\lambda(T/\bar{\mathfrak{b}})$. Since $\bar{\mathfrak{b}}$ is integrally closed, $\text{ord}(\bar{\mathfrak{b}}) = \mu(\bar{\mathfrak{b}}) - 1$. Thus we get $e_0(\bar{\mathfrak{b}}) + \mu(\bar{\mathfrak{b}}) - 1 \leq 2\lambda(T/\bar{\mathfrak{b}})$. Now $e_0(\mathfrak{b}) = e_0(\bar{\mathfrak{b}})$, $\mu(\mathfrak{b}) \leq \mu(\bar{\mathfrak{b}})$ and $\lambda(T/\bar{\mathfrak{b}}) \leq \lambda(T/\mathfrak{b})$, giving the required inequality. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.4: For any ideal \mathfrak{b} in T , we have $\mu(\mathfrak{b}) - 1 = \lambda((\mathfrak{b} : \mathfrak{m})/\mathfrak{m})$. But $(\mathfrak{b} : \mathfrak{m})/\mathfrak{b} \simeq \text{soc}(R)$. Thus by the previous corollary, we have

$$e_0(\mathfrak{b}) + \lambda(\text{soc}(R)) \leq 2\lambda(R). \quad (\#\#)$$

Let (f, g) be a minimal reduction of \mathfrak{b} . Then $S := T/(f, g)$ is a complete intersection ring (and hence Gorenstein) mapping onto R . Moreover $\lambda(S) = e_0(\mathfrak{b})$. Thus $(\#\#)$ can be read as $\lambda(S) + \lambda(\text{soc}(R)) \leq 2\lambda(R)$. Rearranging, we get $\lambda(S) - \lambda(R) \leq \lambda(R) - \lambda(\text{soc}(R))$. This proves that $g(R) \leq \lambda(R/\text{soc}(R))$. \square

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank my advisor Craig Huneke for valuable discussions and encouragement.

References

- [1] H. Ananthnarayan, *The Gorenstein Colength of an Artinian Local Ring*, Journal of Algebra **320** (2008), 3438-3446.
- [2] C. Huneke, I. Swanson, *Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules*, Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [3] A. Iarrobino, V. Kanev, *Power sums, Gorenstein algebras and determinantal loci*, Lecture Notes in Math., 1721, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [4] B. Johnston, J. K. Verma, *On the length formula of Hoskin and Deligne and associated graded rings of two-dimensional regular local rings*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., **111** (1992), 423 - 432.
- [5] J. Kleppe, J. Migliore, R.M. Miro-Roig, U. Nagel, C. Peterson, *Gorenstein Liaison, Complete Intersection Liaison Invariants and Unobstructedness*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **154** (2001), no. 732, viii+116 pp.
- [6] D. G. Northcott, *Injective Modules and Inverse Polynomials*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), **8** (1974), 290-296.
- [7] L. Reid, L. Roberts, M. Roitman, *On complete intersections and their Hilbert functions*, Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, **34** (1991), 525-535.